



HAL
open science

Complexity of the Cardpath Constraint

Christian Bessiere

► **To cite this version:**

| Christian Bessiere. Complexity of the Cardpath Constraint. 05036, 2005, 4 p. lirmm-00106686

HAL Id: lirmm-00106686

<https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-00106686v1>

Submitted on 16 Oct 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Complexity of the `cardpath` constraint

Christian Bessiere

TR LIRMM 05036
(January 2005)

Abstract

The `cardpath` constraint enforces a constraint C to be satisfied a given number of times over a sequence of variables. In this note, we give a polynomial propagation algorithm for a special case of this constraint, which in fact is the only case which was not known to be intractable.

The cardinality path constraint [BC01], `cardpath`($N, [X_1, \dots, X_m], C$), where C is a constraint of arity $k < m$ and N is an integer variable, ensures that $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m-k+1} C(X_i, \dots, X_{i+k-1})$. ($C()$ returns 1 when satisfied, 0 otherwise.) In other words, we slide C down the sequence X_1, \dots, X_m and ensure it holds N times.

In [BHHW04a] and [BHHW04b], we proved that enforcing arc consistency on the constraint `cardpath`($N, [X_1, \dots, X_m], C$) is NP-hard if C has unbounded arity (even if arc consistency is polynomial on it) or if we allow repetition of variables in the sequence X_1, \dots, X_m . The only remaining open question was therefore about the complexity of `cardpath` when C has bounded arity and we don't allow repetitions in X_1, \dots, X_m . We show that it is in fact polynomial to achieve arc consistency on such a constraint. We present an algorithm for C being binary, which is simpler to present. But the technique can be extended to any arity as long as it remains bounded.

The idea of the algorithm is the following. It first makes a double traversal of the sequence $[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, one from X_1 to X_m (lines 1 to 7) and the other from X_m down to X_1 (lines 8 to 14). This step computes two sets of integers, $w(X_i, v)$ and $dw(X_i, v)$ for each value (X_i, v) . The set $w(X_i, v)$ contains all possible number of times C is satisfied by a tuple on $[X_1, \dots, X_i]$ belonging to $D(X_1) \times \dots \times D(X_i)$, while $dw(X_i, v)$ contains all possible number of times C is satisfied by a tuple on $[X_i, \dots, X_m]$ in $D(X_i) \times \dots \times D(X_m)$.

The second step (lines 15 to 18) makes the join of those two sets of integers, putting in the set $T(X_i, v)$ all possible number of times C is satisfied by a complete tuple in $D(X_1) \times \dots \times D(X_m)$. All values such that $T(X_i, v)$ does not intersect $D(N)$ are removed since there does not exist any tuple in $D(X_1) \times \dots \times D(X_m)$, using v for X_i that satisfies C a number of times allowed by N .

```

Algorithm Propag-cardpath(N, [X1..Xm], C)
1. for each v in D(X1) do w(X1,v) := {0}
2. for i:=2 to m do
3.   for v in D(Xi) do
4.     w(Xi,v) := {}
5.     for u in D(Xi-1) do
6.       if C(u,v) then w(Xi,v) := w(Xi,v) U inc(w(Xi-1,u))
7.       else w(Xi,v) := w(Xi,v) U w(Xi-1,u)
8. for each v in D(Xm) do dw(Xm,v) := {0}
9. for i:=m-1 downto 1 do
10.  for v in D(Xi) do
11.    dw(Xi,v) := {}
12.    for u in D(Xi+1) do
13.      if C(v,u) then dw(Xi,v) := dw(Xi,v) U inc(dw(Xi+1,u))
14.      else dw(Xi,v) := dw(Xi,v) U dw(Xi+1,u)
15. for i:=1 to m do
16.   for v in D(Xi) do
17.     T(Xi,v) := {p+q | p in w(Xi,v), q in dw(Xi,v)}
18.     if T(Xi,v) inter D(N) == {} then remove v from D(Xi)
19. T := union(T(X1,v), v in D(X1))
20. D(N) := D(N) inter T

```

Finally, $D(N)$ is pruned from its impossible values by intersecting its domain with $\bigcup_{v \in D(X_i)} T(X_i, v)$ for any X_i (since after line 18 we have $\bigcup_{v \in D(X_i)} T(X_i, v) = \bigcup_{w \in D(X_j)} T(X_j, v), \forall i, j$).

In the algorithm *Propag-cardpath*($N, [X_1, \dots, X_m], C$), we use the function $inc(S)$, which for any set S of integers returns the set $\{p+1 \mid p \in S\}$.

Theorem 1 *The algorithm Propag-cardpath is a correct algorithm for achieving arc consistency on cardpath, and it runs in $O(m^3d + m^2d^2)$ time.*

Proof. (Very sketch.) If v is pruned from $D(X_i)$, this is because $T(X_i, v)$ doesn't intersect $D(N)$. $T(X_i, v)$ contains the values that are the sum of a value from $w(X_i, v)$ and one from $dw(X_i, v)$, which themselves contain all possible number of times C is satisfied by a tuple from X_1 to X_i or from X_i to X_m using (X_i, v) . This means that any tuple containing v for X_i cannot satisfy the constraint *cardpath*. Same reasoning for values pruned from $D(N)$. Therefore, soundness.

Suppose now that (X_i, v) is not arc consistent. We cannot build a tuple containing it and satisfying *cardpath*. So, after the first step, w and dw don't contain values p and q such that $p+q \in D(N)$. So, v is pruned from $D(X_i)$ in line 18. Same reasoning for N . So, completeness.

Complexity. The algorithm *Propag-cardpath* is composed of a main step (lines 1–14) that traverses the variables and their values. For each pair (variable,value), the sub-loops in lines 5–7 and lines 12–14 are executed. Such sub-loops perform md operations since they traverse the domain of another variable (d possible values) and make the union of two sets of integers of size at most

m (C cannot be satisfied more than m times on a sequence of length m). So, the total complexity of lines 1–14 is $md \cdot md$. In lines 15–18, md sets $T(X_i, v)$ are computed. A set $T(X_i, v)$ contains all possible sums of two elements from two sets of size m , so a cost in $O(m^2)$ for each $T(X_i, v)$. The cost of lines 15–18 is thus in $O(m^3d)$. Lines 19–20 are in $O(md)$. The total time complexity of *Propag-cardpath* is in $O(m^3d + m^2d^2)$. \square

Finally, let us point out that if C is of bounded arity $k > 2$, we need to proceed the same as presented here, but we build the sets w and dw for all instantiations of size $k - 1$, thus introducing md^k such sets, which is polynomial since k is bounded.

References

- [BC01] N. Beldiceanu and M. Carlsson. Revisiting the cardinality operator and introducing cardinality-path constraint family. In *Proceedings ICLP'01*, pages 59–73, 2001.
- [BHHW04a] C. Bessiere, E. Hebrard, B. Hnich, and T. Walsh. The complexity of global constraints. In *Proceedings AAAI'04*, pages 112–117, San Jose CA, 2004.
- [BHHW04b] C. Bessiere, E. Hebrard, B. Hnich, and T. Walsh. The tractability of global constraints. In *Proceedings CP'04*, pages 716–720, Toronto, Canada, 2004. Short paper.