

# TAM Design and Test Data Compression for SoC Test Cost Reduction

Julien Dalmaso, Marie-Lise Flottes, Bruno Rouzeyre

► **To cite this version:**

Julien Dalmaso, Marie-Lise Flottes, Bruno Rouzeyre. TAM Design and Test Data Compression for SoC Test Cost Reduction. ETS: European Test Symposium, May 2007, Freiburg, Germany. 12th IEEE European Test Symposium, pp.241-246, 2007. <lirmm-00159044>

**HAL Id: lirmm-00159044**

**<https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-00159044>**

Submitted on 12 Nov 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# TAM design and Test Data Compression for SoC Test Cost Reduction

Julien DALMASSO, Marie-Lise FLOTTES, Bruno ROUZEYRE


LIRMM, UMR5506 CNRS/Université Montpellier II  
161 rue Ada, 34932 Montpellier cedex 5, France  
tel: (33)467418525, fax: (33)467418500  
{dalmasso, flottes, rouzeyre}@lirimm.fr




## Framework

### Motivations

- > Number of Cores  $\uparrow$
- > Complexity of cores  $\uparrow$
- $\Rightarrow$  Test Time of SoCs  $\uparrow$
- > **Goal: Test Time reduction**
- > **How: Test Parallelism  $\uparrow$**
- Standard Solution: ATE costs  $\uparrow$
- With Compression: ATE costs constant



### Standard Solution




$$W_{1,TAM} + W_{2,TAM} = W_{ATE}$$



WATE

### With Compression




$$W_{ATE} < \sum W_{i,TAM} \Rightarrow \text{Test Parallelism } \uparrow$$

### Remarks on compression

- > Must follow SoC test paradigm:
  - > Circuit netlist independent
  - > Test data independent
  - > No Specific tool
  - > Low hardware decompressor
  - > No Impact on fault Coverage
  - > Allow Sharing of decompressor among cores
  - > May increase individual cores test time

### Compression Technique

- > Compression Technique used here:
  - > [1] Julien Dalmasso, Marie-Lise Flottes, Bruno Rouzeyre: Fitting ATE Channels with Scan Chains: a Comparison between a Test Data Compression Technique and Serial Loading of Scan Chains - DELTA 2006: 295-300
  - > **Note:** Any other technique meeting these requirements can be used in this framework



### Goal: Minimize Test Time

- > How: by determining:
  - > Number of Buses (p)
  - > Compression ratio for each bus ( $W_{ATE_i} / W_{TAM_i}$ )
  - > Assignment of cores to buses

## Test Architecture Exploration

### Partition algorithm

1. For all ATE channels partitions into p parts
2. For each compatible TAM partition into p parts
3. Find the best assignment of the cores to the p lines (that minimize TAT)
  - If this assignment reduces the global TAT, memorize this assignment and ATE/TAM architecture

### Core Assignment algorithm

- // Initial Solution
  - Assign each core on the smallest possible bus
  - Compute TAT
- // Improvement of the solution
  - While TAT is reduced
    - Find the line i with the highest TAT<sub>i</sub>
    - For each core c assigned to i,
      - For all other lines k (k ≠ i)
        - Move core c from i to k
        - Compute new\_TAT and memorize i, k, j, and new\_TAT
        - Move back core c from k to i
      - Move core c from i to k such that:
        - 1/ the smallest TAT has been obtained
        - 2/ the number of useless bits on k is minimized
        - 3/ the standard deviation between TAT<sub>i</sub> of all lines is maximized


### Test Application Time

$$TAT = \max(TAT_i, i = 1, \dots, \# \text{ buses})$$

$$\text{and } TAT_i = \sum_{\text{cores } c \text{ assigned to } i} t_{c,p_i}$$

### Test times interpolation

- > Each Test Time / core / compression ratio must be known
- > IMPOSSIBLE: Too many configurations to be computed
- $\Rightarrow$  For each  $W_{TAM}$ , Identification of test time
- $\Rightarrow$  Only  $2 \cdot W_{TAM}$  computations instead of  $(W_{TAM})^2$



S38417 from ISCAS'89 benchmarks with 16 scan chains test times identification


## Experimentations

### Results


# bits	TAT	Compression parameters ( $W_{ATE-i} / W_{TAM-i}$ )	#bits used on TAM	# config.
2	89892	(16,16) / (16, 48)	28	522
3	63441	(5,13,14) / (9,14,41)	36	44639
4	50249	(3,7,7,15) / (10,14,15,25)	48	1345142
5	42513	(5,5,6,8,8) / (6,10,12,16,16)	60	18605924
6	42513	(1,4,5,6,10,10) / (4,6,10,12,16,16)	60	142238520
7	44090	(1,1,1,1,6,7,15) / (5,5,6,6,12,14,16)	64	648424515

- SoC with 16 cores
- 32 ATE channels
- TAM bitwidth: 64

### Resulting Test Architecture and Scheduling



Resulting Scheduling (5 buses)



Resulting Architecture (5 buses)

### Gains

ATE Interface: 32 channels		
Test Application Time		Gain
No Compression	With Compression	
32 bits / 2 buses	32 $\rightarrow$ 64 bits / 5 buses	+ 53 % test time 0 % ATE Channels
89892	42513	

TAM: 64 bits		
Test Application Time		Gain
No Compression	With Compression	
64 bits / 6 buses	32 $\rightarrow$ 64 bits / 5 buses	-17% test time +50 % ATE channels
35402	42513	

### Conclusion

- > Compression increases test parallelism without any ATE Cost
- $\Rightarrow$  Thus it decreases Test Application Time

**Note:** Any compression technique compliant with SOC test paradigm can be used