

## A New Scan-BIST Structures to Test delay Faults in Sequential Circuits

Patrick Girard, Christian Landrault, Véronique Moreda, Serge

Pravossoudovitch, Arnaud Virazel

### ▶ To cite this version:

Patrick Girard, Christian Landrault, Véronique Moreda, Serge Pravossoudovitch, Arnaud Virazel. A New Scan-BIST Structures to Test delay Faults in Sequential Circuits. Journal of Electronic Testing: : Theory and Applications, 1999, 14, pp.95-102. 10.1023/A:1008305507376. lirmm-00345797

## HAL Id: lirmm-00345797 https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-00345797v1

Submitted on 20 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### A Scan-BIST Structure to Test Delay Faults in Sequential Circuits

P. GIRARD, C. LANDRAULT, V. MOREDA, S. PRAVOSSOUDOVITCH AND A. VIRAZEL

Laboratoire d'Informatique de Robotique et de Microélectronique de Montpellier,

Université Montpellier II/CNRS, 161 rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

girard@lirmm.fr landrault@lirmm.fr moreda@lirmm.fr pravo@lirmm.fr virazel@lirmm.fr

Received June 11, 1998; Revised July 24, 1998

Editor: V.D. Agrawal

**Abstract.** Delay testing that requires the application of consecutive two-pattern tests is not an easy task in a scan-based environment. This paper proposes a novel approach to the delay fault testing problem in scan-based sequential circuits. This solution is based on the combination of a BIST structure with a scan-based design to apply delay test pairs to the circuit under test.

Keywords: delay faults, scan design, BIST

#### 1. Introduction

Delay fault test generation has been the subject of extensive investigations [1–5]. Concerning sequential circuits, various issues are illustrated in [6–9]. For such circuits, the use of scan design rather than non-scan design is generally recommended. Scan techniques reduce test generation complexity of these circuits [10] by improving testability of the CUTs. However, a remaining important barrier to the practical use of delay testing in scan environment is the application of twopattern tests to the combinational logic portion of the circuit. Actually, in a standard scan design, it is not possible to apply a pre-determined test pattern pair by scan shifting the bits of the test patterns.

Despite the fact that many different solutions have been investigated until now to generate tests for delay faults in a scan environment [11–17], the application of two-pattern test remains a problem due to the poor delay fault coverage or the prohibitive area overhead it induces. A solution to solve this problem can be to associate a BIST structure with a scan-based design [18, 19]. In this case, the scan design removes the sequentiality problem by reducing the test generation complexity while BIST allows to directly apply the desired test pair without the need to load scan registers by shifting bits. Moreover, BIST allows to apply the test sequence at the system clock speed that is needed to test delay faults.

In recent papers [20, 21], we presented a new BIST test pattern generator (TPG) for delay testing in combinational circuits. Here, we propose to combine the BIST structure with a scan-based design to test delay faults in sequential circuits. The main contribution of this paper is first to present the new scan-BIST TPG (Section 2), and then to give comparative results with a pure scan implementation and the double-strobe flip-flop structure proposed in [12] in terms of robust delay fault coverage, test sequence length and area overhead (Section 3).



Fig. 1. The SIC TPG design (a) and the associated subsequence (b).

#### 2. Delay Fault-Oriented BIST Test Pattern Generator

#### 2.1. Principle of BIST Test Pattern Generator

For the reader's convenience, we briefly remind the principle of the BIST TPG we propose in [20, 21] (see Fig. 1). The even parallel outputs of a Scan Shift Register (SSR) with 2 \* n + 1 stages are combined with the *n* outputs of a Random Pattern Generator (RPG) using n XOR gates. The RPG produces a sequence of random patterns of n bits (n being the number of primary inputs of the CUT). By initializing the SSR with (10...0) and activating its clock, a walking one is obtained. For each random pattern outputed by the RPG, this walking one produces on the XOR outputs the complete Single Input Change (SIC) sequence of n patterns. This SIC sequence is sufficient to detect all robustly testable path delay faults [5, 22]. For the whole sequence of Nr random patterns generated by the RPG, this structure produces a sequence of  $(2 * n + 1) \cdot Nr$ patterns.

For example, Fig. 1(b) presents the sequence obtained from an initial vector V provided by a 4 bits RPG (V = 0011). Each bit of the initial pattern is shifted once from 0 to 1 and once from 1 to 0. So, if a path delay fault is tested with a subsequence of SIC patterns, it is tested for both rising and falling transitions on its inputs.

#### 2.2. SSR Optimization

The structure of the TPG design described in the previous section can be optimized in order to reduce the

area overhead. For this purpose, a first solution consists in replacing the SSR by a state machine or a counter/decoder based structure. Such a counter/decoder based structure works as follows: the counter produces 2 \* n + 1 states with *n* being the number of circuit inputs. The decoder composed of n + 1 AND gates produces the walking one that allows to generate the required SIC test sequence. As shown in Fig. 2, this structure can be optimized still further. The number of counter states can be divided by 2 and then reduced to n+1 by injecting the clock signal into the AND gates. Then, when the clock is low, the state machine composed of the counter and the decoder produces a vector of 0's and the vector provided by the RPG is transferred through the XOR network to the circuit inputs. When the clock is high, the outputs of the AND gates produce



Fig. 2. The counter/decoder.

a vector with a 1 in only one bit position. A transition is then produced through the XOR network on the corresponding input of the circuit. Note that a signal RST is generated on the state n + 1, and that this signal is used to reset the counter and to increment the RPG.

Due to the reduced number of flip-flops  $(\log_2(n+1))$ required to implement the function, this counter/ decoder reduces the area overhead of the TPG design. For example, consider a circuit with five inputs. Eleven flip-flops are necessary to generate the robust test sequence with the basic SSR structure, while only three flip-flops are needed with the counter. Even if six AND gates have to be added to decode the counter outputs, the area overhead is always reduced compared with the SSR structure. Table 1 presents results obtained on ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits. The third column gives the number of flip-flops of the basic SSR structure and the fourth column gives the number of flip-flops used by the counter/decoder. The area reduction  $(Ar_1)$  between the basic SSR structure and the counter/decoder is given in the fifth column. The evaluation of the area reduction has been done using the ES2 standard cell library with process ECPD10 [23]. For the counterbased structure, this evaluation takes into account the flip-flops and the AND gates of the decoder. An average

of 40.7% area reduction has been obtained on the set of benchmark circuits compared with the basic SSR structure.

#### 2.3. Optimization Related to the CUT Structure

By considering the structural characteristics of the CUT, the area overhead of the TPG structure can be reduced still further. Assume a CUT with n inputs and m outputs. As it has been verified on a number of circuits, all the n inputs do not always influence all the m outputs [20]. In this case, inputs that do not belong to the same input cone of logic can be changed simultaneously without modifying the robust delay fault coverage. In the following, such inputs are called "compatible" inputs. Conversely, inputs that belong to a same cone of logic are called "incompatible" inputs.

Because compatible inputs can be switched simultaneously without modifying the robust delay fault coverage, the TPG design can hence be optimized. More formally, when k inputs of the circuit are compatibles, only one SSR (or counter) stage is needed to switch simultaneously these k inputs. Hence, the total number of SRR (or counter) stages is reduced. This reduction implies a reduction in the number of flip-flops

		SSR replaced by c	ounter/decoder	Optimization related to the CUT		
Circuit	No. of flip-flops Basic SSR	No. of flip-flops Counter/Decoder	( <i>Ar</i> <sub>1</sub> %) Area reduction	No. of flip-flops Counter/Decoder	(Ar <sub>2</sub> %) Area reduction	
s382	49	5	47	4	68	
s386	27	4	45	4	48	
s420	71	6	46	6	48	
s510	51	5	48	5	56	
s526	49	5	47	4	68	
s713	109	6	50	5	74	
s838	135	7	36	7	37	
s953	91	6	49	5	77	
s1238	65	6	45	5	61	
s1494	29	4	47	4	47	
s5378	429	8	36	6	86	
s9234	495	8	36	7	79	
s13207	1401	10	20	8	81	
s15850	1223	10	20	8	81	
s35932	3527	11	16	4	99	
s38417	3329	11	16	7	96	
s38584	2929	11	16	8	93	

Table 1. Area reduction provided by SSR optimization on ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits.

for the SSR-based structure or may imply a reduction in the number of flip-flops for the counter/decoder based structure (N flip-flop =  $\log_2(N \text{ states})$ ). For the counter/decoder, the reduction in the number of states also implies a reduction in the number of AND gates in the decoder. All these reductions directly influence the area overhead but also involve a reduction in the number of test vectors to achieve the desired fault coverage.

This optimization that related to the CUT structure has been validated on ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits. Table 1 presents the area reduction obtained by associating the optimization related to the CUT structure with the use of the counter/decoder presented in the previous section. The sixth column shows the final number of flip-flops required by the counter/decoder based structure. The seventh column shows the complete area reduction ( $Ar_2$ ) with respect to the basic SSR structure. An average of 66.43% area overhead reduction has been obtained compared with the basic SSR structure. The difference between  $Ar_2$  and  $Ar_1$ represents the effect of the optimization related to the CUT structure.

# 3. Insertion of the BIST TPG Structure in a Scan Environment

From a general point of view, scan-design techniques reduce test generation complexity of sequential circuits [10] by improving the testability of the CUT. The basic element of any scan-design technology is a scanable flip-flop, and the simplest form of a scan chain is based on flip-flops connection via a multiplexor with normal mode and test mode. In normal mode, the scan chain operates in its normal parallel load mode. In test mode, it operates as a shift register with a scan input. For stuck-at faults, only single test patterns have to be considered during test generation, and traditionally, this is correctly taken into account by standard scan designs.

Concerning delay faults, the problem is quite different because two pattern tests have to be considered during test. Unfortunately, in a standard scan design, it is not possible to apply a pre-determined two-pattern test by classically scan-shifting the two patterns into the scan chain. It is therefore not possible to get a high delay fault coverage with such a standard scan design. Hence, the only way to improve the delay fault testability of sequential circuits is to modify the scan structure to allow applying dedicated tests for delay faults.

The solution we propose is to associate the BIST structure proposed in [20, 21] with the scan-based

design. Such an association has been considered before in an other context (stuck-at fault testing) and for other purposes like partial scan [14, 19]. In the context of delay testing, scan design removes the sequentiality problem by reducing the test generation complexity while BIST allows to directly apply delay fault oriented tests without the need to load scan registers by shifting bits. In fact, the idea is to add some delay fault detection capabilities to a given stuck-at fault oriented test sequence injected to the circuit through the scan chain. These delay fault detection capabilities can be provided by the BIST structure presented previously. From each test vector loaded into the input scan chain, a robust SIC test subsequence is generated by the SIC test pattern generator. As seen previously, the robust SIC test subsequence is generated from an SSR or a counter/decoder, and an XOR network. Thus, the scan chain replaces the RPG part of the TPG design shown in the previous section. Note that with such an approach, the circuit responses cannot be injected directly into the output scan chain. A signature analyzer (SA) is necessary to compress the test output data from each SIC subsequence. At the end of each SIC subsequence, a new vector is loaded in the scan-in chain and the signature that corresponds to the subsequense is shifted-out.

Note that scan-based sequential circuits are not only composed by an input scan chain and an output scan chain (case illustrated in Fig. 3(a)). In state machines, the register which transmits data to the combinational part of the circuit is the same than the one that receives data from this part of the circuit. In this case, the scan chain has to fill the functions of an input scan chain and of an output scan chain. The Scan-BIST structure adapted to deal with state machines is presented in Fig. 3(b). The scan path IN/OUT represents both the input scan chain and the output scan chain as well as a compressor function (SA) distributed into each scan cell.

According to the considered scan structure, different types of scan cells can be used in the scan chain. In particular, scan cells are different depending on whether they are connected to the CUT inputs only (scan path IN), to the CUT outputs only (scan path OUT) or both to the CUT inputs and outputs (scan path IN/OUT). The three different types of scan cells are represented in Fig. 4. Figure 4(a) shows the scan cell used in an IN scan path. This cell allows to configure the circuit in its normal operation mode (C0C1 = 10) to serially shift a test vector (C0C1 = 01) or to generate the robust test sequence using the walking one generated by the



Fig. 3. Combination of scan and BIST.



Fig. 4. Scan cell used in: (a) input scan chain, (b) output scan chain and (c) input/output scan chain.

SSR (C0C1 = 11). When (C0C1 = 11), the clock is inhibited and the SIC test sequence can be generated by the SSR from the test pattern stored into the flip-flop. The scan cell used in an OUT scan path (Fig. 4(b)) is the standard cell allowing the normal operation mode (C0C1 = 10), the response compaction mode (C0C1 = 11) or the serial shift out mode (C0C1 = 01). The scan cell used in the IN/OUT scan chain (Fig. 4(c)) can be configured as both: register that transmit data to the CUT or register that receive data from the CUT. The test pattern injected in the IN/OUT scan path is stored in the latch during the SIC test sequence generation and the circuit response is captured in the D flip-flop configured for data compaction.

#### 4. Experimental Results

The method we propose in this paper has been validated on ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits. A set of experiments has been performed to compare the Scan-BIST structure with (i) a pure scan implementation and (ii) the double-strobe flip-flop scan-based structure proposed in [12]. The main characteristic of this double-scan structure is that it allows to apply deterministic two pattern tests to the CUT, and hence, to reach the maximum robust path delay fault coverage.

Results of the comparison between the proposed Scan-BIST structure and the standard pure scan implementation are summarized in Table 2. Column 2 gives the robust path delay fault coverage achieved with a 100% stuck-at fault coverage deterministic test sequence generated by [24] and applied through the standard scan implementation. Note that each intermediate vector produced during the scan shifting process is considered also as a test vector. Column 3 gives the robust path delay fault coverage achieved with the proposed Scan-BIST structure with a SIC sequence generation activated from the same deterministic stuck-at fault test sequence. As can be seen, the delay fault coverage obtained with the scan-BIST structure is almost always very much higher than the one obtained with a standard scan chain (which is always very low). Note that re-

*Table 2.* Comparison with a pure scan implementation (NA = not available).

	FC	2 (%)	A reas assorbaged		
Circuit	Pure scan	Scan-BIST	Scan-BIST/Pure scan (%)		
s382	25	61.13	77.99		
s386	10.14	99.03	52.51		
s420	12.61	87.98	116.98		
s510	18.66	95.19	70.94		
s526	22.56	82.68	63.57		
s713	6.07	13.10	73.72		
s838	13.03	82.81	124.03		
s953	5.19	95.70	62.8		
s1238	5.70	43.82	41.35		
s1494	12.06	94.06	15.23		
s5378	6.74	52	49.14		
s9234	NA	NA	37.5		
s13207	NA	NA	60.93		
s15850	NA	NA	49.13		
s35932	NA	NA	54.6		

sults in terms of delay fault coverage are not provided for biggest benchmark circuits due to the limitation of the delay fault simulator used for these experiments because of the high number of path delay faults to be considered.

Column 4 reports the results of the area comparison between the two structures. The area of the scan chain has been estimated from data coming from the ES2 standard cell library with the CMOS ECPD10 process [23]. Note that only the cells of the scan chain have been considered in the estimation of the scan area (routing area has not been considered). These results show an average area overhead of the test structures of 50% for the biggest ISCAS'89 circuits. But on the other side, this area overhead has to be considered with a target fault coverage in mind (the robust delay fault coverage increases from 15 to 90% in average).

Results of the second comparison between the proposed Scan-BIST structure and the double-strobe flipflop scan-based structure [12] are listed in Table 3. Two groups of results are first reported for each structure: the number of test patterns loaded in each structure (column 3 and 6), the number of clock cycles required to apply the given test patterns (columns 4 and 7) and the obtained robust delay fault coverage (columns 5 and 8). The values of the last column represents the area comparison between the proposed scan-BIST structure and the double-strobe flip-flop structure. Note that (-)means that our structure requires less area than the double-strobe flip-flop implementation.

The first comment concerning these results is that the area overhead obtained with the proposed Scan-BIST structure is comparable to that obtained with the double flip-flop structure. For the biggest benchmark circuits, it is even more efficient with our solution. A second comment is that the scan-BIST structure requires a drastically shorter test application time. Indeed, the number of clock cycles necessary to apply the complete test sequence by using the double flip-flop implementation is very high even if, on the other hand, the robust delay fault coverage achieved is maximal. Note that deterministic delay test pairs have been used with the double strobe structure while the delay fault coverage achieved by using the scan BIST structure has been obtained by loading the scan-BIST structure with a stuck-at fault test sequence.

A last and important comment concerning these results is that the double scan implementation is not applicable for large circuits (NA = not applicable). Actually, because of the number of path delay faults

Table 3. Fault coverage and test time obtained for ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits (NA = not available).

Circuit	No. of inputs	Scan-BIST			Double flip-flop			A
		No. of stuck-at test patterns	Test time (No. of clock cycles)	Fault coverage (%)	No. of delay test patterns	Test time (No. of clock cycles)	Fault coverage (%)	Area overhead (%)
s382	3	33	1209	61.13	664	28509	86	5.33
s386	7	77	1469	99.03	456	5915	100	20.63
s420	19	64	3280	87.98	1124	37059	100	36.56
s510	19	70	1896	95.19	804	10439	100	37.97
s526	3	71	2577	82.68	752	32293	84.39	4.7
s713	35	45	2134	13.10	1522	59319	19.97	22.66
s838	35	106	10526	82.81	2466	160225	100	41.1
s953	16	107	5272	95.70	2218	130803	100	7.06
s1238	14	188	7914	43.82	2584	95571	53.59	11.18
s1494	8	159	3345	94.06	16684	21203	97.67	7.5
s5378	35	140	33919	52	9754	3501327	69.29	-0.75
s9234	19	299	142552	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.16
s13207	31	270	370569	NA	NA	NA	NA	-0.88
s15850	14	152	184365	NA	NA	NA	NA	-1.08
s35932	35	70	246168	NA	NA	NA	NA	-7.73

to consider during the ATPG process, it is not possible to derive a deterministic robust test sequence for path delay faults for such large circuits. By using the scan-BIST structure, we can generate the test sequence to test large circuits even if the delay fault coverage cannot be provided for biggest circuits. This is due to the limitations of the delay simulator (not the ATPG process).

#### 5. Conclusion

One problem in delay fault testing for sequential circuits using a standard-scan methodology is the inability to provide an acceptable robust delay fault coverage. In this paper, we propose a new structure that allows to apply two-pattern test sequences to a circuit designed with scan. This structure is based on the combination of BIST and Scan. The Scan design removes the sequentiality problem by reducing the test generation complexity while BIST allows to directly apply the desired test pattern pair to the circuit under test.

Experimental results obtained from ISCAS'89 benchmark circuits indicate that our structure allows to achieve a high robust delay fault coverage from a deterministic stuck-at fault test sequence in a short application time. The comparison with the double scan implementation have shown that the scan BIST structure is comparable in terms of area overhead and it presents an advantage for large circuits for which generating deterministic test sequences is increasingly difficult.

#### References

- S. Koeppe, "Modeling and Simulation of Delay Faults in CMOS Logic Circuits," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, Oct. 1986, pp. 530–536.
- W. Mao and M.D. Ciletti, "A Simplified Six-Waveform Type Method for Delay Fault Testing," *Proc. of 26th Design Automation Conference*, 1989, pp. 730–733.
- E.S. Park and M.R. Mercer, "Robust and Non-Robust Tests for Path Delay Faults in Combinational Logic," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1987, pp. 1027–1034.
- A.K. Pramarick and S.M. Reddy, "On the Detection of Delay Faults," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1988, pp. 845– 856.
- G.L. Smith, "Model for Delay Faults Based upon Paths," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, Nov. 1997, pp. 342– 349.
- T.J. Chakraborty, V.D. Agrawal, and M.L. Bushnell, "Delay Fault Models and Test Generation for Random Logic Sequential Circuits," *Proc. 29th Design Automation Conference*, 1992, pp. 165–172.
- S. Devadas, "Delay Test Generation for Synchronous Sequential Circuits," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1989, pp. 144– 152.
- Y.K. Malaiya and R. Narayanaswamy, "Testing for Timing Failures in Synchronous Sequential Integrated Circuits," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1983, pp. 560–571.

- I. Pomeranz and S.M. Reddy, "At-Speed Delay Testing of Synchronous Sequential Circuits," 29th Design Automation Conference, 1992, pp. 177–181.
- M. Abramovici, M.A. Breuer, and D. Friedman, *Digital Systems Testing and Testable Design*, Computer Science press, ISBN 0-7167-8179-4, 1990.
- K.T. Cheng, S. Devadas, and K. Keutzer, "Delay-Fault Test Generation and Synthesis for Testability under a Standard Scan Design Methodology," *IEEE Trans. on CAD*, Vol. 12, No. 8, pp. 1217–1231, 1993.
- B.I. Dervisoglu and G.E. Stong, "Design for Testability: Using Scanpath Techniques for Path-Delay Test and Measurement," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1991, pp. 365–374.
- C.T. Glover and M.R. Mercer, "A Method of Delay Fault Test Generation," *Proc. of the 25th Design Automation Conference*, 1988, pp. 90–95.
- J. Leenstra, M. Koch, and T. Schwederski, "On Scan Path Design for Stuck-Open and Delay Fault Detection," *Proc. of European Test Conference*, 1993, pp. 201–210.
- W. Mao and M.D. Cilatti, "Arrangement of Latches in Scan-Path Design to Improve Delay Fault Coverage," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1990, pp. 387–393.
- J. Savir and S. Patil, "Scan-Based Transition Test," *IEEE on Trans. on CAD*, Vol. 12, No. 8, pp. 1232–1241, 1993.
- P. Varma, "On Path Delay Testing in a Standard Scan Environment," *Proc. of International Test Conference*, 1994, pp. 164–173.
- J. Savir, "Scan Latch Design for Delay Test," Proc. of International Test Conference, Sept. 1985, pp. 446–453.
- N.A. Touba and E.J. McCluskey, "Applying Two-Pattern Tests Using Scan-Mapping," *Proc. of 14th VLSI Test Symposium*, 1996, pp. 393–397.
- P. Girard, C. Landrault, V. Moréda, and S. Pravossoudovitch, "An Optimized BIST Test Pattern Generator for Delay Testing," *Proc. of 15th VLSI Test Symposium*, April 1997, pp. 94–99.
- P. Girard, C. Landrault, V. Moréda, and S.Pravossoudovitch, "BIST Test Pattern Generator for Delay Testing," *Electronics Letters*, Vol. 33, No. 17, pp. 1429–1431, 1997.
- D. Bhattacharya, P. Agrawal, and V.D. Agrawal, "Delay Fault Test Generation for Scan/Hold Circuits Using Boolean Expressions," *Proc. of 29th Design Automation Conference*, 1992, pp. 159–164.
- European Silicon Structures ES2, process ECPD10, library databook.
- 24. SUNRISE test system, version 2.3.b, May 1997.

**Patrick Girard** is presently Researcher at CNRS (French National Center for Scientific Research), and works in the Microelectronics Department of the LIRMM (Laboratory of Informatics, Robotics and Microelectronics of Montpellier, France). His research interests include the various aspects of digital testing, with special emphasis on logic BIST, fault diagnosis, delay fault testing, and low power test. Patrick Girard was born in France in 1964. He holds a B.Sc. and an M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering, and obtained the Ph.D. degree in Microelectronics from the University of Montpellier in 1992.

Christian Landrault received the Certified Engineering degree from the Higher National School for Aeronautical Constructions (ENSICA), Toulouse, France in 1970, the Doctor in Engineering degree in Automatic Control, and the Doctor es-sciences degree in Computer Science from the National Polytechnic Institute of Toulouse, in 1973 and 1977, respectively. He is currently a "Directeur de Recherche" at CNRS. He joined LAAS-CNRS in Toulouse in 1970 where he was a member of the Fault Tolerance and Dependable Computing research group until late 1980. From 1970 to 1980, he worked on self checking circuits, dependability architecture and dependability evaluation of digital systems. Since 1980, he is working with LIRMM where he is presently head of the Microelectronics Research Department. C. Landrault's interests include fault modelling, testing, fault simulation, ATPG, design for testability and BIST. He has authored or co-authored over 150 papers for international and national journals and conferences. Dr. Landrault is serving most of the test conference Programme committees (ITC, VTS, ATS, ETW). Dr. Landrault has founded the European Test Workshop, he served as General Chairman in 1996 and programme chair in 1998 and 1999. Dr. Landrault currently chairs the European Test Technology Technical Committee of the IEEE Computer Society and the European Sub-committee of the International Test Conference Programme Committee. He is a member of the editorial board of the Journal of Electronic Testing: Theory and Applications.

Véronique Moreda was born in France in 1969. She is presently Ph.D. student, and works in the Microelectronics Department of the LIRMM (Laboratory of Informatics, Robotics and Microelectronics of Montpellier, France). She is interested in "Delay Fault Testing" with special emphasis on Built-In Self-Test. Véronique Moréda holds a B.Sc. and an M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering.

Serge Pravossoudovitch was born in 1957. He is currently professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering department of the University of Montpellier and his research activities are performed at LIRMM (Laboratoire d'Informatique, de Robotique et de Microélectronique de Montpellier). He received the master degree in Electrical Engineering in 1979 from the University of Montpellier. He got his Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1983 on symbolic layout for IC design. Since 1984, he was been interested in the testing domain. He obtained the "doctorat d'état" degree in 1987 for his works on switch level automatic test pattern generation. He is presently interested in delay fault diagnostic, delay fault test pattern generation and design for testability. He has authored and coauthored numerous papers on these fields, and has supervised several Ph.D. dissertations. He has also participated to several European projects (Microelectronic regulation, Esprit, Medea).

Arnaud Virazel received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in Electrical Engineering from Sciences University of Montpellier, France. He is presently Ph.D. student, and works in the Microelectronics Departement of the LIRMM (Laboratory of Informatics, Robotics and Microelectronics of Montpellier, France). His research interests are in Delay Fault Testing and Built-In-Self-Test.