

Fast Protection of H.264/AVC by Selective Encryption of CAVLC and CABAC for I & P Frames

Zafar Shahid, Marc Chaumont, William Puech

▶ To cite this version:

Zafar Shahid, Marc Chaumont, William Puech. Fast Protection of H.264/AVC by Selective Encryption of CAVLC and CABAC for I & P Frames. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2011, 21 (5), pp.565-576. 10.1109/TCSVT.2013.2248588 . lirmm-00603198

HAL Id: lirmm-00603198 https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-00603198v1

Submitted on 24 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fast Protection of H.264/AVC by Selective Encryption of CAVLC and CABAC for I and P Frames

Zafar Shahid, Marc Chaumont, and William Puech, Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper presents a novel method for the protection of bitstreams of state-of-the-art video codec H.264/AVC. The 2 problem of selective encryption (SE) is addressed along with the 3 compression in the entropy coding modules. H.264/AVC supports 4 two types of entropy coding modules. Context-adaptive variable 5 length coding (CAVLC) is supported in H.264/AVC baseline 6 profile and context-adaptive binary arithmetic coding (CABAC) 7 is supported in H.264/AVC main profile. SE is performed in both 8 types of entropy coding modules of this video codec. For this purpose, in this paper the encryption step is done simultaneously 10 with the entropy coding CAVLC or CABAC. SE is performed by 11 using the advanced encryption standard (AES) algorithm with 12 the cipher feedback mode on a subset of codewords/binstrings. 13 For CAVLC, SE is performed on equal length codewords from 14 a specific variable length coding table. In case of CABAC, it is 15 done on equal length binstrings. In our scheme, entropy coding 16 module serves the purpose of encryption cipher without affecting 17 18 the coding efficiency of H.264/AVC by keeping exactly the same bitrate, generating completely compliant bitstream and utilizing 19 negligible computational power. Owing to no escalation in bitrate, 20 our encryption algorithm is better suited for real-time multimedia 21 streaming over heterogeneous networks. It is perfect for playback 22 on handheld devices because of negligible increase in processing 23 power. Nine different benchmark video sequences containing 24 different combinations of motion, texture, and objects are used 25 for experimental evaluation of the proposed algorithm. 26

Index Terms—AES algorithm, CABAC, CAVLC, selective en cryption, stream cipher, video security.

I. INTRODUCTION

29

WITH THE RAPID growth of processing power and network bandwidth, many multimedia applications have emerged in the recent past. As digital data can easily be copied and modified, the concern about its protection and authentication have surfaced. Digital rights management (DRM) has emerged as an important research field to protect the copyrighted multimedia data. DRM systems enforce the rights

Manuscript received December 9, 2009; revised May 18, 2010; accepted July 6, 2010. This work is supported in part by the VOODDO Project (2008–2011), which is a French national project of Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), and the region of Languedoc Roussillon, France. This paper was recommended by Associate Editor M. Barni.

The authors are with the Laboratory of Informatics, Robotics, and Microelectronics, University of Montpellier II, Montpellier 34392, France (e-mail: zafar.shahid@lirmm.fr; marc.chaumont@lirmm.fr; william.puech@lirmm.fr).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCSVT.2011.2129090

of the multimedia property owners while ensuring the efficient rightful usage of such property.

Multimedia data requires either full encryption or selective encryption (SE) depending on the application requirements. For example, military and law enforcement applications require full encryption. Nevertheless, there is a large spectrum of applications that demands security on a lower level, as, e.g., that ensured by SE. SE encrypts part of the plaintext and has two main advantages. First, it reduces the computational requirements, since only a part of plaintext is encrypted [6]. Second, encrypted bitstream maintains the essential properties of the original bitstream [3]. SE just prevents abuse of the data. In the context of video, it refers to destroying the commercial value of video to a degree which prevents a pleasant viewing experience.

SE schemes based on H.264/AVC have been already presented on context-adaptive variable length coding (CAVLC) [29] and context-adaptive binary arithmetic coding (CABAC) [30]. These two previous methods fulfill real-time constraints by keeping the same bitrate and by generating completely compliant bitstream. In this paper, we have enhanced the previous proposed approaches by encryption of more syntax elements for CAVLC and extending it for P frames. Here, we have also used advanced encryption standard (AES) [7] in the cipher feedback (CFB) mode which is a stream cipher algorithm. Security of the proposed schemes has also been analyzed in detail.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, overview of H.264/AVC and AES algorithm is presented. We explain the whole system architecture of the proposed methods in Section III. Section IV contains experimental evaluation and security analysis. In Section V, we present the concluding remarks about the proposed schemes.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE H.264/AVC-BASED VIDEO ENCRYPTION SYSTEM

A. Overview of H.264/AVC

H.264/AVC (also known as MPEG4 Part 10) [1] is stateof-the-art video coding standard of ITU-T and ISO/IEC. H.264/AVC has some additional features and outperforms previous video coding standards including MPEG2 and MPEG4 Part II [35]. We review the basic working of CAVLC in Section II-A1 and of CABAC in Section II-A2.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71



Fig. 1. Block diagram of level coding in CAVLC of H.264/AVC.

1) *CAVLC:* In CAVLC, run-length coding is performed first as it encodes levels and runs separately. CAVLC is designed to exploit the characteristics of NZs and works in several steps.

To adapt to the local statistical features of discrete cosine 83 transform (DCT) coefficients, CAVLC uses seven fixed vari-84 able length coding (VLC) tables. For example, "2" will be 85 coded as "010" using VLC1 table, while it will be coded as 86 "1010" using VLC3 table. If magnitude of NZ lies within 87 the range of that VLC table, it is coded by regular mode, 88 otherwise escape mode is used. Adaptive nature is introduced 89 by changing the table for the next NZ based on the magnitude 90 of the current NZ as shown in Fig. 1. For the first NZ, VLC0 91 table is used unless there are more than ten NZs and less than 92 three trailing ones, in which case it is coded with VLC1 table. 93

CABAC: CABAC is designed to better exploit the 2) 94 characteristics of NZs as compared to CAVLC, consumes more 95 processing, and offers about 10% better compression than 96 CAVLC on average [22]. Run-length coding has been replaced 97 by significant map coding which specifies the position of NZs 98 in the 4×4 block. Binary arithmetic coding (BAC) module of 99 CABAC uses many context models to encode NZs and context 100 model for a specific NZ depends on recently coded NZs. 101

CABAC consists of multiple stages as shown in Fig. 2(a). 102 First of all, binarization is done in which non-binary syntax 103 elements are converted to binary form called binstrings which 104 are more amenable to compression by BAC. Binary repre-105 sentation for a non-binary syntax element is done in such a 106 way that it is close to minimum redundancy code. In CABAC, 107 there are four basic code trees for binarization step, namely, 108 the unary code, the truncated unary code, the kth order Exp-109 Golomb code (EGk), and the fixed length code as shown in 110 Fig. 2(b). 111

For an unsigned integer value $x \ge 0$, the unary code consists 112 of x 1s plus a terminating 0 bit. The truncated unary code is 113 only defined for x with $0 \le x \le s$. For x < s, the code is 114 given by the unary code, whereas for x = s the terminating 115 "0" bit is neglected. EGk is constructed by a concatenation 116 of a prefix and a suffix parts and is suitable for binarization 117 of syntax elements that represent prediction residuals. For a 118 given unsigned integer value x > 0, the prefix part of the 119 EGk binstring consists of a unary code corresponding to the 120 length $l(x) = \lfloor log_2(\frac{x}{2k} + 1) \rfloor$. The EGk suffix part is computed 121 as the binary representation of $x + 2^k(1 - 2^{l(x)})$ using k + l(x)122 significant bits. Consequently for EGk binarization, the code 123 length is 2l(x) + k + 1. When k = 0, 2l(x) + k + 1 = 2l(x) + 1. 124



Fig. 2. (a) Block diagram of CABAC of H.264/AVC. (b) Binarization stage.

The fixed length code is applied to syntax elements with a 125 nearly uniform distribution or to syntax elements, for which 126 each bit in the fixed length code binstring represents a specific 127 coding decision, e.g., coded block flag. Three syntax elements 128 are binarized by concatenation of the basic code trees, namely, 129 coded block pattern, NZ, and the motion vector difference 130 (MVD). Binarization of absolute level of NZs is done by 131 concatenation of truncated unary code and EG0. The trun-132 cated unary code constitutes the prefix part with cutoff value 133 S = 14. Binarization and subsequent arithmetic coding process 134 is applied to the syntax element *coeff* abs value minus1 = 135 abs level - 1, since quantized transformed coefficients with 136 zero magnitude are encoded using significant map. For MVD, 137 binstring is constructed by concatenation of the truncated 138 unary code and EG3. The truncated unary constitutes the prefix 139 part with cutoff value S = 9. Suffix part of MVDs contains 140 EG3 of |MVD| - 9 for |MVD| > 9 and sign bit. 141

B. AES Encryption Algorithm

The AES algorithm consists of a set of processing steps 143 repeated for a number of iterations called rounds [7]. The 144 number of rounds depends on the size of the key and the 145 size of the data block. The number of rounds is nine, e.g., 146 if both the block and the key are 128 bits long. Given a 147 sequence $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_n\}$ of bit plaintext blocks, each X_i is 148 encrypted with the same secret key k producing the ciphertext 149 blocks $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n\}$. To encipher a data block X_i in AES, 150 you first perform an AddRoundKey step by XORing a subkey 151 with the block. The incoming data and the key are added 152 together in the first AddRoundKey step. Afterward, it follows 153 the round operation. Each regular round operation involves 154 four steps which are SubBytes, ShiftRows, MixColumns, and 155 AddRoundKey. Before producing the final ciphered data Y_i , 156 the AES performs an extra final routine that is composed of 157 SubBytes, ShiftRows, and AddRoundKey steps. 158

142

The AES algorithm can support several cipher modes: 159 electronic code book (ECB), cipher block chaining, output 160 feedback (OFB), CFB, and counter (CTR) [31]. The ECB 161 mode is actually the basic AES algorithm. In CFB mode, as 162

79

80

82

AQ:1 81



Fig. 3. CFB stream cipher. (a) Encryption. (b) Decryption.

shown in Fig. 3, the keystream element Z_i is generated and the ciphertext block Y_i is produced as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} Z_i &= E_k(Y_{i-1}), \ for \ i \ge 1 \\ Y_i &= X_i \oplus Z_i \end{array}$$
(1)

165 where \oplus is the XOR operator.

Although AES is a block cipher, in the OFB, CFB, and CTR modes it operates as a stream cipher.

168 C. SE of Image and Video

40:2

SE is a technique aiming to save computational time or to 169 enable new system functionalities by only encrypting a portion 170 of a compressed bitstream while still achieving adequate 171 security [18]. SE as well as partial encryption (PE) are applied 172 only on certain parts of the bitstream. In the decoding stage, 173 both the encrypted and the non-encrypted information should 174 be appropriately identified and displayed [6], [21], [26]. The 175 copyright protection of the multimedia content is a required 176 feature for DRM systems. The technical challenges posed 177 by such systems are high and previous approaches have not 178 entirely succeeded in tackling them [17]. 179

In [32], Tang proposed a technique called zigzag permutation 180 applicable to DCT-based image and video codecs. On one 181 hand, this method provides a certain level of confidentiality, 182 while on the other hand, it increases the overall bitrate. 183 For image, several SE techniques have been proposed in 184 the literature. In [8], Droogenbroeck and Benedett proposed 185 a technique for encryption of JPEG images. It encrypts a 186 selected number of AC coefficients. The DC coefficients are 187 not ciphered since they carry important visual information 188 and they are highly predictable. In spite of the constancy 189 in the bitrate while preserving the bitstream compliance, the 190 compression and the encryption process are separated and 191 consequently the computational complexity is increased. 192

The AES [7] has been used for SE of image and video in 193 the literature. The AES was applied on the Haar discrete 194 wavelet transform compressed images in [23]. The encryption 195 of color images in the wavelet transform has been addressed 196 in [21]. In this approach, the encryption is performed on the 197 resulting wavelet code bits. In [25], SE was performed on color 198 JPEG images by selectively encrypting only luma component 199 using AES cipher. The protection rights of individuals and the 200 privacy of certain moving objects in the context of security 201 surveillance systems using viewer generated masking and the 202 AES encryption standard has been addressed in [37]. 203

Combining PE and image/video compression using the set
 partitioning in hierarchical trees was used in [6]. Nevertheless,
 this approach requires a significant computational complexity.

A method that does not require significant processing time and 207 which operates directly on the bit planes of the image was 208 proposed in [19]. The robustness of partially encrypted videos 209 to attacks which exploit the information from non-encrypted 210 bits together with the availability of side information was 211 studied in [27]. Fisch et al. [10] proposed a scalable encryption 212 method for a DCT-coded visual data wherein the data are 213 organized in a scalable bitstream form. These bitstreams are 214 constructed with the DC and some AC coefficients of each 215 block which are then arranged in layers according to their 216 visual importance, and PE process is applied over these layers. 217

For video, there are several SE techniques for different 218 video codecs presented in the literature. SE of MPEG4 video 219 standard was studied in [34] wherein data encryption standard 220 was used to encrypt fixed length and variable length codes. In 221 this approach, the encrypted bitstream is completely compliant 222 with MPEG4 bitstream format but it increases the bitrate. 223 A tradeoff has to be made among complexity, security, and 224 the bit overhead. In [38], SE of MPEG4 video standard is 225 proposed by doing frequency domain selective scrambling, 226 DCT block shuffling, and rotation. This scheme is very easy to 227 perform but its limitation is its bitrate overhead. SE of region 228 of interest (ROI) of MPEG4 video has been presented in [9]. 229 It performs SE by pseudo randomly inverting sign of DCT 230 coefficients in ROI. SE of H.264/AVC has been studied in [15] 231 wherein encryption has been carried out in some fields like 232 intra-prediction mode, residual data, inter-prediction mode, 233 and motion vectors. A scheme for commutative encryption 234 and watermarking of H.264/AVC is presented in [16]. Here, 235 SE of some macroblock (MB) header fields is combined 236 with watermarking of magnitude of DCT coefficients. This 237 scheme presents a watermarking solution in encrypted domain 238 without exposing video content. The limitation of techniques 239 proposed in [15] and [16] is that they are not format compliant. 240 Encryption for H.264/AVC has been discussed in [5] wherein 241 they do permutations of the pixels of MBs which are in ROI. 242 The drawback of this scheme is that bitrate increases as the 243 size of the ROI increases. This is due to change in the statistics 244 of ROI as it is no more a slow varying region which is 245 the basic assumption for video signals. SE of H.264/AVC at 246 network abstraction layer (NAL) has been proposed in [14]. 247 Important NAL units, namely, instantaneous decoding refresh 248 picture, sequence parameter set, and picture parameter set are 249 encrypted with a stream cipher. The limitation of this scheme 250 is that it is not format compliant and cannot be parsed even at 251 frame level. SE of H.264/AVC using AES has been proposed 252 in [2]. In this scheme, encryption of I frame is performed, 253 since P and B frame are not significant without I frames. This 254 scheme is not format compliant. 255

The use of general entropy coder as encryption cipher 256 using statistical models has been studied in the literature 257 in [36]. It encrypts by using different Huffman tables for 258 different input symbols. The tables, as well as the order 259 in which they are used, are kept secret. This technique is 260 vulnerable to known plaintext attacks as explained in [12]. 261 Key-based interval splitting of arithmetic coding (KSAC) has 262 used an approach [13] wherein intervals are partitioned in each 263 iteration of arithmetic coding. Secret key is used to decide 264

how the interval will be partitioned. Number of subintervals in 265 which an interval is divided should be kept small as it increases 266 the bitrate of bitstream. Randomized arithmetic coding [11] 267 is aimed at arithmetic coding but instead of partitioning of 268 intervals like in KSAC, secret key is used to scramble the 269 order of intervals. The limitation of these entropy coding-based 270 techniques is that encrypted bitstream is not format compliant. 271 Moreover, these techniques require lot of processing power. 272

In the context of DRM systems, our paper addresses 273 the simultaneous SE and compression for state-of-the-art 274 H.264/AVC. The encrypted bitstream is format compliant with 275 absolutely no escalation in bitrate. Furthermore, it does not 276 require lot of processing power for encryption and decryp-277 tion. In Section III, we describe our proposed approaches to 278 apply SE and H.264/AVC compression in video sequences, 279 simultaneously. 280

III. PROPOSED SE SCHEMES

Our approach consists of SE during the entropy coding 282 stage of H.264/AVC. In baseline profile, SE is performed in 283 CAVLC entropy coding stage (SE-CAVLC). While in main 284 profile, it is performed in CABAC entropy coding stage (SE-285 CABAC). In SE of video, encrypted bitstream compliance is a 286 required feature for some direct operations such as displaying, 287 time seeking, and browsing. Encrypted bitstream will be 288 compliant and fulfills real-time constraints if the following 289 three conditions are fulfilled. 290

To keep the bitrate of encrypted bitstream same as the original bitstream, encrypted codewords/binstrings must have the same size as the original codewords/binstrings.

294 2) The encrypted codewords/binstrings must be valid so
 295 that they may be decoded by entropy decoder.

3) The decoded value of syntax element from encrypted 296 codewords/binstrings must stay in the valid range for 297 that syntax element. Any syntax element which is used 298 for prediction of neighboring MBs should not be en-299 crypted. Otherwise, the drift in the value of syntax ele-300 ment will keep on increasing and after a few iterations, 301 value of syntax element will fall outside the valid range 302 and bitstream will be no more decodable. 303

In each MB, header information is encoded first, which is 304 followed by the encoding of MB data. To keep the bitstream 305 compliant, we cannot encrypt MB header, since it is used 306 for prediction of future MBs. MB data contains NZs and 307 can be encrypted. A MB is further divided into 16 blocks of 308 4×4 pixels to be processed by integer transform module. The 309 coded block pattern is a syntax element used to indicate which 310 8×8 blocks within a MB contain NZs. The macroblock mode 311 (MBmode) is used to indicate whether a MB is skipped or not. 312 If MB is not skipped, then MBmode indicates the prediction 313 method for a specific MB. For a 4×4 block inside MB, if 314 coded block pattern and MBmode are set, it indicates that this 315 block is encoded. Inside 4×4 block, coded block flag is the 316 syntax element used to indicate whether it contains NZs or not. 317 It is encoded first. If it is zero, no further data is transmitted; 318 otherwise, it is followed by encoding of significant map in 319 case of CABAC. Finally, the absolute value of each NZ and 320



Fig. 4. Block diagram of CAVLC of H.264/AVC. Encircled syntax elements are used for SE-CAVLC.

its sign are encoded. Similar to MB header, header of 4×4 321 block which includes coded block flag and significant map, 322 should not be encrypted for the sake of bitstream compliance. 323 Available encryption space (ES) which fulfills the above-324 mentioned conditions for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC is pre-325 sented in Sections III-A and III-B, respectively. Encryption 326 and decryption of the protected bitstream are presented in 327 Sections III-C and III-D, respectively. 328

329

A. ES for SE-CAVLC

In CAVLC, five syntax elements are used to code levels 330 and runs as shown in Fig. 4. NZs are coded by three syntax 331 elements, namely, coeff token, signs of trailing ones, and 332 remaining nonzero levels. Zeros are coded by two syntax 333 elements, namely, total number of zeros and runs of zeros. 334 A single syntax element, namely, coeff token is used to code 335 total NZs and number of trailing ones. It is followed by coding 336 of signs of trailing ones (T1s). Remaining NZs are then coded 337 using seven VLC look-up tables either by regular mode or by 338 escape mode as explained in Section II-A1. They are mapped 339 to some code from a specific VLC look-up table. 340

To keep the bitstream compliant, we cannot encrypt co-341 eff token, total number of zeros, and runs of zeros. Two 342 syntax elements fulfill the above-mentioned conditions for 343 encryptions. First is signs of trailing ones. Second is sign and 344 magnitude of remaining NZs, both in regular and escape mode. 345 For the sake of same bitrate, ES of SE-CAVLC consists of 346 only those NZs whose VLC codewords have the same length. 347 CAVLC uses multiple VLC tables with some threshold for 348 incrementing the table as given in (2). Since the threshold for 349 a specific table is highest possible value possible with that 350 codeword length (this is the case when all the suffix bits of 351 the codeword are 1), magnitude of encrypted NZ is such that 352 VLC table transition is not affected. VLC codes, having same 353 code length, constitute the ES. For VLC *n* table, ES is 2^n as 354 given in (3). For table VLC0, every NZ has different codeword 355 length, consequently we cannot encrypt the NZs in this table 356 as follows: 357

 $TH[0\dots 6] = (0, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, \infty).$ (2)

$$ES[0\dots 6] = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \infty).$$
(3)



Fig. 5. SE of binstrings in SE-CABAC.



Fig. 6. Encryption process for NZs and their signs in CABAC of H.264/AVC.

358 B. ES for SE-CABAC

The main difference between SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 359 is that in SE-CABAC, SE is not performed on CABAC 360 bitstream. Rather it is performed on binstrings which are 36 input to BAC as shown in Fig. 5. Among all the four 362 binarization techniques, the unary and truncated unary codes 363 have different code lengths for each input value as explained 364 in Section II-A2. They do not fulfill the first condition and 365 their encryption will change the bitrate of bitstream. Suffix of 366 EGk and the fixed length code can be encrypted while keeping 367 the bitrate unchanged. EGk is used for binarization of absolute 368 value of levels and MVDs. Number of MVD binstrings have 369 the same length and hence, first and second conditions are 370 fulfilled. But owing to the fact that MVDs are part of MB 371 header and are used for prediction of future motion vectors, 372 their encryption does not fulfill third condition and their 373 encryption makes the bitstream non-compliant. To conclude, 374 the syntax elements which fulfill the criteria for encryption 375 of H.264/AVC compliant bitstream are suffix of EG0 and sign 376 bits of levels. Hence, for each NZ with |NZ| > 14, encryption 377 is performed on l(x) of EG0. It is followed by encryption 378 of syntax element coeff_sign_flag which represents sign of 379 levels of all nonzero levels. The fixed length code is used for 380 binarization of syntax elements which belong to MB header 381 and cannot be encrypted. 382

To keep the bitrate intact, ES for SE-CABAC consists of only those NZs whose EG0 binstrings have the same length as shown in Fig. 6. EG0 codes, having same code length, constitute the ES and it depends upon ||NZ||. The ES is $2^{log_2(n+1)}$ where *n* is the maximum possible value by suffix bits of EG0, i.e., when all the bits in suffix are 1.

389 C. SE of NZs in the Entropy Coding Stage of H.264/AVC

Let us consider $Y_i = X_i \oplus E_k(Y_{i-1})$ as the notation for the encryption of a *n* bit block X_i , using the secret key *k* with the AES cipher in CFB mode as given by (1), and performed as described in the scheme from Fig. 3. We have chosen to use this mode in order to keep the original compression rate. Indeed, with the CFB mode for each block, the size of the encrypted data Y_i can be exactly the same one as



Fig. 7. (a) CAVLC plaintext. (b) CABAC plaintext. (c) Proposed SE scheme.

the size of the plaintext X_i . In this mode, the code from 397 the previously encrypted block is used to encrypt the current 398 one as shown in Fig. 3. The three stages of the proposed 399 algorithm are the construction of the plaintext X_i , described 400 in Section III-C1, the encryption of X_i to create Y_i which is 401 provided in Section III-C2, and the substitution of the original 402 codeword/binstring with the encrypted information, which is 403 explained in Section III-C3. The overview of the proposed SE 404 method is provided in Fig. 7. 405

1) Construction of Plaintext: As slices are independent 406 coding units, SE should be performed on them independently. 407 In case of SE-CAVLC, the plaintext is created by copying 408 the encrypt-able bits from CAVLC bitstream to the vector X_i 409 until either X_i is completely filled or slice-boundary comes 410 as shown in Fig. 7(a). Let C, the length of the vector X_i , is 411 128. In case of SE-CABAC, we perform SE before BAC as 412 shown in Fig. 7(b). In that case, we transform the non-binary 413 syntax elements to binstrings through process of binarization 414 and at the same time we fill the X_i with encrypted bits until 415 either the vector X_i is completely filled or the slice boundary 416 comes. The binarization of many syntax elements at the same 417 time also makes the CABAC coding faster and increases its 418 throughput [39]. Let $L(X_i)$ be the length up to which vector 419 X_i is filled. In case of slice boundary, if $L(X_i) < C$, we apply 420 a padding function p(j) = 0, where $j \in \{L(X_i) + 1, \dots, C\}$, 421 to fill in the vector X_i with zeros up to C bits. Historically, 422 padding was used to increase the security of the encryption, 423 but in here it is used for rather technical reasons [28]. 424

2) Encryption of the Plaintext with AES in the CFB Mode: In the encryption step with AES in the CFB mode, the previous encrypted block Y_{i-1} is used as the input of the AES algorithm in order to create Z_i . Then, the current plaintext X_i is XORed with Z_i in order to generate the encrypted text Y_i as given by (1). For the initialization, the initialization vector (IV)

is created from the secret key k according to the following 431 strategy. The secret key k is used as the seed of the pseudo 432 random number generator (PRNG). First, the secret key k433 is divided into 8 bits (byte) sequences. The PRNG produces 434 a random number for each byte component of the key that 435 defines the order of IV formation. Then, we substitute Y_0 436 with the IV, and Y_0 is used in AES to produce Z_1 . As 437 illustrated in Fig. 7(c), with the CFB mode of the AES 438 algorithm, the generation of the keystream Z_i depends on the 439 previous encrypted block Y_{i-1} . Consequently, if two plaintexts 440 are identical $X_i = X_i$ in the CFB mode, then always the two 441 corresponding encrypted blocks are different, $Y_i \neq Y_i$. 442

3) Substitution of the Original Bitstream: The third step 443 is the substitution of the original Y_i by the encrypted Y_i . 444 For SE-CAVLC, CAVLC bitstream is accessed in sequential 445 order as in the first step (construction of the plaintext X_i). 446 Given the length in bits of each amplitude $(S_n, S_{n-1}, \ldots, S_1)$, 447 we start substituting the original bits in the bitstream by 448 the corresponding parts of Y_i as shown in Fig. 7. For SE-449 CABAC, binstrings are accessed in sequential order and we 450 start substituting the original bits in them by the corresponding 451 parts of Y_i as shown in Fig. 7. In case of slice boundaries, the 452 total quantity of replaced bits is $L(X_i)$ and consequently we 453 do not necessarily use all the bits of Y_i . 454

455 D. Decryption Process

The decryption process in the CFB mode works as follows. 456 The previous block Y_{i-1} is used as the input to the AES 457 algorithm in order to generate Z_i . By knowing the secret 458 key k, we apply the same function $E_k(\cdot)$ as that used in 459 the encryption stage. The difference is that the input of this 460 process is now the ciphered vector. In case of SE-CAVLC, 461 the ciphered vector is accessed in the sequential way in order 462 to construct the plaintext Y_{i-1} which is then used in the 463 AES to generate the keystream Z_i . The keystream Z_i is then 464 XORed with the current block Y_i to generate X_i , as shown 465 in Fig. 3(b). For SE-CAVLC, the resulting plaintext vector is 466 split into segments in order to substitute the signs of trailing 467 ones and suffixes $(S_n, S_{n-1}, ..., S_1)$ in the ciphered bitstream 468 and to generate the original CAVLC bitstream. Afterward, we 469 apply the entropy decoding and retrieve the quantized DCT 470 coefficients. After the inverse quantization and the inverse 471 DCT we get the decrypted and decoded video frame. In 472 case of SE-CABAC, the difference is that binary arithmetic 473 decoder is used to transform the SE-CABAC bitstream to 474 encrypted binstrings which are then accessed to make the 475 plaintext Y_{i-1} . The plaintext is decrypted and substituted back 476 to generate original binstrings. They are then passed through 477 inverse binarization, inverse quantization, and inverse DCT 478 steps to get the decrypted and decoded video frame. 479

480

AO:3

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we analyze the results for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC. We have used the reference implementation of H.264 JSVM 10.2 in AVC mode for video sequences in quarter common intermediate format (QCIF) and SD resolution. For the experimental results, nine benchmark video sequences have been used for the analysis in QCIF format. Each of 486 them represents different combinations of motion (fast/slow, 487 pan/zoom/rotation), color (bright/dull), contrast (high/low), 488 and objects (vehicle, buildings, people). The video sequences 489 Bus, City, and Foreman contain camera motion while Football 490 and Soccer contain camera panning and zooming along with 491 object motion and texture in background. The video sequences 492 Harbour and Ice contain high luminance images with smooth 493 motion. Mobile sequence contains a complex still background 494 and foreground motion. 495

In Section IV-A, we present an analysis of joint SE and H.264/AVC compression while in Section IV-B, we compare PSNR and quality when applying SE only on I frames and on I+P frames. In Section IV-C, security analysis, showing the efficiency of the proposed method, is developed. 500

A. Analysis of Joint SE and H.264/AVC Compression

We have applied simultaneously our SE and H.264/AVC 502 compression as described in Section III, on all the benchmark 503 video sequences. SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC impart some 504 characteristics to the bitstream. In spatial domain, SE video 505 gets flat regions and change in pixel values mostly occur 506 on MB boundaries. In temporal domain, luma and chroma 507 values rise up to maximum limit and then come back to 508 minimum values. This cycle keeps on repeating. Owing to this 509 phenomenon, the pixel values change drastically in temporal 510 domain. Lot of transitions are observed in values of color and 511 brightness. 512

In a first set of experiments, we have analyzed the available 513 ES in H.264/AVC bitstreams for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-514 CABAC. ES is defined as percentage of total bitstream size. 515 MBs that contain many details and texture will have lot of 516 NZs and, consequently, will be strongly encrypted. On the 517 contrary, the homogeneous MBs, i.e., blocks that contain series 518 of identical pixels, are less ciphered because they contain a lot 519 of null coefficients which are represented by runs in CAVLC 520 and by significant map in CABAC. In Table I, we provide ES 521 for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC for different benchmark video 522 sequences for quantization parameter (QP) value 18. While 523 in Table II, ES for various QP values is shown for Foreman 524 video sequence. Here the average number of bits available 525 for SE per MB are also provided. One can note that ES is 526 inversely proportional to QP value. When QP value is higher 527 and implicitly the video compression is higher, we are able 528 to encrypt fewer bits in the compressed frame. This is due to 529 the fact that H.264/AVC has lesser number of NZs at higher 530 QP values. From both these tables, it is evident that more ES 531 is available for SE-CAVLC as compared to SE-CABAC. But 532 ES is more affected by change in QP values for SE-CAVLC 533 as compared to SE-CABAC. For example, for Foreman video 534 sequence, ES varies from 28.55% to 6.70% for SE-CAVLC 535 when QP varies from 12 to 42. For the same QP range, the 536 change in ES for SE-CABAC is from 19.97% to 9.46% as 537 shown in Table II. From Tables I and II, since PSNR of original 538 H.264/AVC are very similar for both CAVLC and CABAC, in 539 the rest of this section for the sake of comparison, we list only 540 PSNR of CAVLC bitstreams. 541

TABLE I ANALYSIS OF ES FOR SE FOR DIFFERENT BENCHMARK VIDEO SEQUENCES AT QP VALUE 18

	SE-CA	AVLC	SE-CA	ABAC
Sequence	PSNR	ES	PSNR	ES
_	(dB)	(%)	(dB)	(%)
Bus	44.25	31.05	44.24	19.93
City	44.29	26.41	44.27	19.79
Crew	44.82	20.66	44.81	18.97
Football	44.61	25.33	44.59	19.45
Foreman	44.38	22.76	44.36	18.72
Harbor	44.10	30.49	44.09	20.01
Ice	46.47	24.64	46.46	17.72
Mobile	44.44	36.17	44.43	19.80
Soccer	44.27	23.42	44.21	19.94

TABLE II ANALYSIS OF ES FOR SE OVER WHOLE RANGE OF QP VALUES FOR

Foreman VIDEO SEQUENCE

	SE-CA	AVLC	SE-CABAC		
QP	PSNR	ES	PSNR	ES	
	(dB)	(%)	(dB)	(%)	
12	50.07	28.55	50.05	19.97	
18	44.38	22.76	44.36	18.72	
24	39.43	17.13	39.42	17.61	
30	35.08	13.24	35.08	15.65	
36	31.04	9.88	31.06	12.22	
42	27.23	6.70	27.35	9.46	

TABLE III

ANALYSIS OF INCREASE IN PROCESSING POWER FOR SE-CAVLC AND SE-CABAC AT QP VALUE 18

		SE-C/	AVLC		SE-CABAC				
	Encoder D			oder	Enc	oder	Dec	Decoder	
Sequence	Ι	I+P	Ι	I+P	Ι	I+P	I	I+P	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Bus	0.69	0.31	3.77	2.7	0.57	0.25	3.37	2.3	
City	0.5	0.26	3.36	2.4	0.44	0.23	3.06	2.1	
Crew	0.31	0.15	2.52	1.5	0.29	0.14	2.22	1.2	
Football	0.41	0.23	3.46	2.4	0.31	0.18	3.26	2.2	
Foreman	0.47	0.23	3.19	2.2	0.41	0.20	2.99	2.0	
Harbor	0.55	0.30	3.65	2.7	0.47	0.26	3.25	2.3	
Ice	0.41	0.21	3.16	2.1	0.33	0.17	2.96	1.9	
Mobile	0.76	0.35	4.33	3.3	0.72	0.33	4.03	3.0	
Soccer	0.44	0.21	3.17	2.2	0.38	0.18	2.87	1.9	

542

Table III gives a detailed overview of the required processing power for I and I+P video sequences at QP value 18. 543 Intra period has been set 10 for I+P video sequences. One 544 can observe that increase in computation time for encoder is less than 0.4% for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC while 546 it is below than 3% for decoder for I+P sequence. 547

Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows the framewise analysis of increase 548 in processing power for SE-CABAC at QP value 18 for Fore-549 man. For experimentation, 2.1 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo T8100 550 machine with 3072 MB random access memory has been used. 551 For I+P sequence encoding of 100 frames with intra period 552 10, it took 4372.5 s and 4381.3 s for CABAC and SE-CABAC, 553 respectively. While it took 2.005 s and 2.045 s for CABAC and 554 SE-CABAC decoding. It is a negligible increase in processing 555 power and can be managed well even by handheld devices. It 556 is important to note that increase in processing power of SE-557



Fig. 8. Framewise time taken by SE-CABAC of Foreman video sequence for I+P frames at QP value 18 with intra period 10 during (a) encoding and (b) decoding.

CABAC is less than SE-CAVLC owing to two reasons. First, 558 ES of SE-CABAC is lesser than that of SE-CAVLC as shown 559 in Tables I and II. Second, CABAC takes lot more processing 560 power than CAVLC. So increase in processing power because 561 of encryption will be lower in terms of percentage. Thus, SE-562 CAVLC and SE-CABAC is possible in real-time along with 563 compression. 564

B. PSNR and Quality of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC for I Frames and I+P Frames

Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is widely used objective 567 video quality metric. However, it does not perfectly correlate 568 with a perceived visual quality due to nonlinear behavior of 569 human visual system. Structural similarity index (SSIM) [33] 570 takes into account the structural distortion measurement, since 571 human vision system is highly specialized in extracting struc-572 tural information from the viewing field. SSIM has a better 573 correlation to the subjective impression. SSIM ranges from 574 -1 to 1. SSIM is 1 when both the images are the same. To 575 present the visual protection of encrypted video sequences, 576 PSNR and SSIM of I and I+P frames are presented. 577

1) I Frames: To demonstrate the efficiency of our proposed 578 scheme, we have compressed 100 I frames of each sequence 579 at 30 f/s. Figs. 9 and 10 show the encrypted first frame of 580 Foreman video sequence at different QP values for SE-CAVLC 581 and SE-CABAC, respectively. In H.264/AVC, blocks on the 582 top array are predicted only from left while blocks on left 583 are always predicted from top. Owing to this prediction, a 584 band having width of 8 pixels at top of video frames can be 585 observed for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC while this 586 band has width of 4 pixels on left of video frames as shown 587 in Figs. 9 and 10. The average PSNR values of *Foreman* is 588

565



Fig. 9. Decoding of SE-CAVLC frame #1 of *Foreman* sequence with QP value equal to (a) 18, (b) 30, and (c) 42.



Fig. 10. Decoding of SE-CABAC frame #1 of *Foreman* sequence with QP value equal to (a) 18, (b) 30, and (c) 42.



Fig. 11. Framewise PSNR of I and I+P frames for *Foreman* for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC at QP value 18.

given in Table IV over whole QP range. It is also compared with the PSNR obtained for the same video sequence without encryption. In Table IV, we present PSNR of original video only for CAVLC. PSNR for CABAC is very much similar as presented in Table I. One can note that whatever is the QP value, the quality of the encrypted video remains in the same lower range.

Table V compares the average PSNR of 100 I frames 596 of all benchmark video sequences at QP value 18 without 597 encryption and with SE. Average PSNR value of luma for 598 all the sequences at QP value 18 is 9.49 dB for SE-CAVLC 599 and 9.80 dB for SE-CABAC. It confirms that this algorithm 600 works well for various combinations of motion, texture, and 601 objects for I frames. It is also evident in framewise PSNR 602 of luma of I frames of Foreman video sequence as shown in 603 Fig. 11. Table VI contains the experimental results of SE of 604 100 I frames for SD resolution. Here, average PSNR value of 605 luma is 9.82 dB for SE-CAVLC and 9.83 dB for SE-CABAC, 606 which is almost the same as that of QCIF resolution. It is 607 evident that this algorithm is capable to encrypt high-quality 608 information at all resolutions. For the rest of the section, 609 we present analysis for QCIF resolution only, since more 610 benchmark video sequences are available in this resolution. 611

Table VII shows the SSIM values of *luma* of benchmark video sequences without encryption and with SE. Results

TABLE IV PSNR Comparison for I Frames Without Encryption and with SE for *Foreman* at Different QP Values

	PSNR (Y) (dB)			PSN	R (U) ((dB)	PSNR (V) (dB)			
QP	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE	
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC	
12	50.1	8.6	8.4	50.0	19.8	24.1	50.8	9.6	22.6	
18	44.4	8.7	8.6	45.7	24.1	24.4	47.6	10.2	22.1	
24	39.4	8.7	8.7	41.9	26.4	24.4	44.2	24.9	22.8	
30	35.1	9.4	8.7	39.8	27.4	24.6	41.4	25.4	23.6	
36	31.0	9.4	8.5	37.7	28.1	24.9	38.6	24.8	23.2	
42	27.2	9.4	8.7	36.2	25.5	24.9	36.9	24.6	24.0	

TABLE V PSNR Comparison for I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18

			_						
	PSN	JR (Y)	(dB)	PSI	NR (U) (dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIC	5 SE	SE	ORIC	SE SE	SE	ORIC	6 SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
Bus	44.2	7.9	8.2	45.2	26.8	25.0	46.6	26.6	27.2
City	44.3	10.9	11.2	45.8	31.9	30.3	46.8	33.5	31.8
Crew	44.8	9.0	9.9	45.8	24.0	23.4	45.7	19.7	19.8
Football	44.6	11.5	11.5	45.8	14.9	14.4	46.0	24.3	23.6
Foreman	44.4	8.7	8.6	45.7	24.1	24.4	47.6	10.2	22.1
Harbor	44.1	9.2	9.5	45.6	27.1	24.6	46.7	33.2	31.3
Ice	46.5	10.6	10.4	48.8	24.3	25.6	49.3	16.9	20.4
Mobile	44.4	8.3	8.3	44.1	10.4	13.1	44.1	9.6	11.0
Soccer	44.3	9.3	10.6	46.6	22.1	19.7	47.9	28.2	24.4
Average	44.6	9.5	9.8	46.0	22.8	22.3	46.7	22.5	23.5

TABLE VI PSNR Comparison for I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18 (SD Resolution)

	PSN	JR (Y)	(dB)	PSI	JR (II)	(dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIC	G SE	SE	ORIC	i SE	SE	ORIC	G SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
City	44.6	9.9	10.1	47.8	27.3	26.2	49.1	31.4	29.9
Crew	45.2	9.1	9.1	46.6	24.5	22.8	47.7	20.1	20.0
Harbor	44.5	9.4	9.4	47.5	22.9	22.9	48.7	28.8	26.8
Ice	46.2	10.7	10.4	51.5	27.8	27.8	52.0	25.0	26.0
Soccer	45.1	10.0	10.2	47.7	18.4	18.0	49.2	26.7	24.1
Average	45.1	9.8	9.8	48.2	24.2	23.5	49.4	26.4	25.4

verify the proposed scheme has distorted the structural in-614 formation present in the original video. Average SSIM value 615 of video sequences without encryption is 0.993, while it is 616 0.164 and 0.180 for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, respectively. 617 Fig. 12 shows the framewise SSIM of luma of Foreman video 618 sequence for I frames. It is important to note SSIM value of 619 complex video sequences is less than that of simple video 620 sequences. 621

2) I+P Frames: Video data normally consists of an I frame 622 and a trail of P frames. I frames are inserted periodically to 623 restrict the drift because of lossy compression and rounding 624 errors. In these experiments, intra period is set at 10 in a 625 sequence of 100 frames. Results shown in Table VIII verify the 626 effectiveness of our scheme over the whole range of QP values 627 for Foreman video sequence. Table IX verifies the performance 628 of our algorithm for all video sequences for I+P frames at 629 QP value 18. Average PSNR of luma for all the sequences 630 is 9.75 dB and 10.02 dB for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, 631

TABLE VII SSIM Comparison of *luma* of I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18

Sequence	CAVLC	SE-CAVLC	CABAC	SE-CABAC
Bus	0.995	0.069	0.994	0.064
City	0.994	0.115	0.994	0.093
Crew	0.991	0.184	0.991	0.153
Football	0.991	0.219	0.991	0.184
Foreman	0.990	0.198	0.990	0.165
Harbor	0.998	0.047	0.998	0.038
Ice	0.990	0.419	0.990	0.398
Mobile	0.998	0.040	0.998	0.356
Soccer	0.988	0.185	0.988	0.171
Average	0.993	0.164	0.993	0.180



Fig. 12. Framewise SSIM of I frames for *Foreman* for SE-CABAC at QP value 18.

TABLE VIII PSNR COMPARISON FOR I+P FRAMES WITHOUT ENCRYPTION AND WITH SE FOR Foreman AT DIFFERENT OP VALUES

	PSN	PSNR (Y) (dB)			PSNR (U) (dB)			PSNR (V) (dB)		
Sequence	ORIC	G SE	SE	ORIC	S SE	SE	ORIC	G SE	SE	
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC	
12	49.6	8.7	8.1	49.9	18.4	23.0	50.6	10.4	21.6	
18	43.9	9.1	10.4	45.5	23.6	23.9	47.6	8.0	23.2	
24	38.9	9.6	9.7	42.0	26.9	24.9	44.3	25.8	25.0	
30	34.6	9.2	9.2	39.8	28.6	24.9	41.5	26.6	24.0	
36	30.7	10.1	8.2	37.9	28.4	24.3	38.8	22.8	23.3	
42	27.0	9.4	8.6	36.3	26.5	26.8	36.9	25.6	24.6	

respectively. Fig. 11 shows the framewise PSNR of *luma* of *Foreman* video sequence for I+P. Here, PSNR of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC remains almost the same for sequence of P frames and changes at every I frame, thus producing a staircase graph. SSIM quality metric has very low values and is not given here for the sake of brevity.

638 C. Security Analysis

1) Analysis of Entropy and Local Standard Deviation: 639 The security of the encrypted image can be measured by 640 considering the variations (local or global) in the protected 641 image. Entropy is a statistical measure of randomness or 642 disorder of a system which is mostly used to characterize the 643 texture in the input images. Considering this, the information 644 content of image can be measured with the entropy H(X) and 645 local standard deviation $\sigma(j)$. If an image has 2^k gray levels 646 α_i with $0 \leq i \leq 2^k$ and the probability of gray level α_i is 647 $P(\alpha_i)$, and without considering the correlation of gray levels, 648 the first order entropy H(X) is defined as follows: 649

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k}-1} P(\alpha_{i}) log_{2}(P(\alpha_{i})).$$
(4)

TABLE IX Comparison of PSNR Without Encryption and with SE for I+P Frames at QP Value 18

	PSNR (Y) (dB)			PSN	R (U)	(dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIC	SE .	SE	ORIC	5 SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
Bus	43.7	7.6	7.7	45.1	27.2	25.4	46.4	24.7	27.0
City	43.8	11.4	11.1	45.7	32.5	30.2	46.8	32.5	31.7
Crew	44.5	9.0	10.0	45.8	25.1	22.0	45.7	19.6	20.2
Football	44.2	12.1	11.3	45.7	14.3	14.6	46.1	24.8	24.3
Foreman	43.9	9.1	10.4	45.5	23.6	23.9	47.6	8.0	23.2
Harbor	43.7	9.5	9.8	45.4	24.5	22.9	46.6	33.9	31.7
Ice	46.1	10.9	10.4	48.6	23.6	25.3	49.1	19.2	19.7
Mobile	43.8	8.4	8.8	44.2	10.1	12.5	44.1	9.6	11.8
Soccer	43.6	9.6	10.6	46.5	21.8	20.8	47.8	27.4	22.2
Average	44.2	9.75	10.0	45.8	22.5	21.9	46.7	22.2	23.5

If the probability of each gray level in the image is $P(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2^k}$, then the encryption of such image is robust against statistical attacks of first order, and thus $H(X) = log_2(2^k) = k$ bits/pixel. In the image, the information redundancy r is defined as follows: 654

$$r = k - H(X). \tag{5}$$

Similarly, the local standard deviation $\sigma(j)$ for each pixel p(j) taking account of its neighbors to calculate the local mean p(j), is given as follows:

$$\sigma(j) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (p(i) - \overline{p(j)})}$$
(6)

where *m* is the size of the pixel block to calculate the local 658 mean and standard deviation, and $0 \leq j < M$, if M is 659 the image size. In case of full encryption, entropy H(X) is 660 maximized with high values of local standard deviation. But 661 in case of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, the video frame is 662 transformed to flat regions with blocking artifacts as depicted 663 in Figs. 9 and 10. It is generally owing to variation in pixel 664 values at MB boundaries. For all the benchmark sequences, 665 the average information redundancy r for SE-CAVLC and SE-666 CABAC sequences is 0.94 and 0.55, respectively, while it is 667 1.11 for all the original sequences. Despite the fact that SE-668 CAVLC and SE-CABAC transform the video frames into flat 669 region, the entropy of the encrypted video sequences from 670 (4) is higher as compared to the original sequences. These 671 flat regions are because of two reasons. First, flat regions 672 are due to the fact that prediction is performed from edge 673 pixels of neighboring MBs. Second, pixels have either very 674 high value (bright video frame) or very low value (dark video 675 frame) in SE video frame. This is owing to the fact that during 676 reconstruction pixel value are clipped to 255 if they are greater 677 than it and to 0 if they are below this lower range. So if 678 many pixels have value beyond the upper or lower range, all 679 of them will be clipped to the same value, thus creating a flat 680 region which is either dark or bright. Based on this analysis, 681 the statistical characteristics of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 682 bitstreams vary from full encryption systems. 683

From (6), we also analyzed the local standard deviation σ for each pixel while taking into account its neighbors. σ

STANDARD DEVIATION FOR SE OF Foreman VIDEO SEQUENCE AT DIFFERENT OP VALUES

	(CAVLC	CABAC				
QP	ORIG	SE-CAVLC	ORIG	SE-CABAC			
12	6.75	71.49	7.02	69.69			
18	7.21	73.23	7.53	59.97			
24	8.57	91.98	8.63	84.55			
30	6.35	35.99	6.71	57.87			
36	6.90	47.42	6.93	68.04			
42	7.91	75.26	8.11	71.17			

In Table X, the mean local standard deviation for Foreman 686 sequence at different QP values is given. For all benchmark 687 video sequences, the mean local standard deviation of luma 688 equals to 69.15 and 61.48 for the SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 689 bitstreams, respectively, where the mean local standard devia-690 tion is less than ten gray levels for the original benchmark 691 sequences. One can note that local standard deviation of 692 encrypted sequences is higher than original sequences. 693

2) Correlation of Adjacent Pixels: Visual data is highly 694 correlated, i.e., pixels values are highly probable to repeat in 695 horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions. A correlation of a 696 pixel with its neighboring pixel is then given by a tuple (x_i, y_i) 697 where y_i is the adjacent pixel of x_i . Since there is always three 698 directions in images, i.e., horizontal, vertical, and diagonal, so 699 we can define correlation direction between any two adjacent 700 pixels as follows: 701

$$corr_{(x,y)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{0}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \overline{x_i}}{\sigma_x}\right) \left(\frac{y_i - \overline{y_i}}{\sigma_y}\right)$$
(7)

where *n* represents the total number of tuples (x_i, y_i) , $\overline{x_i}$ and $\overline{y_i}$ represent the local mean, and σ_x and σ_y represent the local standard deviation, respectively.

Owing to the flat regions in SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC video sequences, the correlation values in these sequences will be higher as compared to original image which contain texture and edges. For all the benchmark sequences, the average horizontal correlation coefficient is 0.88 and 0.87 for the SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, respectively, while it is 0.80 for the original sequences.

3) Key Sensitivity Test: Robustness against cryptanalyst 712 can be improved if the cryptosystem is highly sensitive toward 713 the key. The more the visual data is sensitive toward the key, 714 the more we would have data randomness. For this purpose, a 715 key sensitivity test is assumed where we pick one key and then 716 apply the proposed technique for encryption and then make a 717 1 bit change in the key and decode the bitstream. Numerical 718 results show that the proposed technique is highly sensitive 719 toward the key change, i.e., a different version of encrypted 720 video sequence is produced when the keys are changed, as 721 shown in Fig. 13. PSNR of luma of decrypted frames with 1-722 bit different key is 10.39 dB and 8.31 dB for SE-CAVLC and 723 SE-CABAC as shown in Table XI. It lies in the same lower 724 range as decoded frames without decryption. 725

4) *Removal of Encrypted Data Attack:* In another experiment, we have replaced the encrypted bits with constant
 values in order to measure the strength of SE-CAVLC and SE-



Fig. 13. Key sensitivity test for encrypted frame #1 of *Foreman* video sequence for QP value 18. Encrypted frames are decrypted and decoded with (a) original key, (b) 1-bit different key (SE-CAVLC), and (c) 1-bit different key (SE-CABAC).

TABLE XI KEY SENSITIVITY TEST OF SE-CAVLC AND SE-CABAC ENCRYPTED VIDEO FOR FRAME #1 Foreman VIDEO SEQUENCE FOR QP VALUE 18

	PSNR (Y)	PSNR (U)	PSNR (V)
	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
Original key	44.60	45.73	47.35
SE-CAVLC	10.39	24.46	14.02
(1-bit different key)			
SE-CABAC	8.31	25.13	24.82
(1-bit different key)			



Fig. 14. Attack in the selectively encrypted image by removing the encrypted data. (a) SE-CAVLC encrypted image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{10.01, 26.86, 25.24\} dB.$ (b) SE-CAVLC attacked image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{8.87, 27.3, 26.3\} dB.$ (c) SE-CABAC encrypted image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{8.20, 17.95, 24.53\} dB.$ (d) SE-CABAC attacked image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{7.72, 28.6, 24.6\} dB.$

CABAC proposed method as described in [27]. Here we have 729 used frame #1 of Foreman video sequence with QP value 24. 730 Fig. 14 shows both encrypted and attacked video frames for 731 SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC. For example, Fig. 14(a) shows 732 SE-CAVLC video frame with PSNR = 10.01 dB for luma. If 733 we set the encrypted bits of all NZs to zero, we get the video 734 frame illustrated in Fig. 14(b) with *luma* PSNR = 8.87 dB. 735 Similarly, Fig. 14(c) shows SE-CABAC video frame having 736 PSNR = 8.20 dB while the attacked SE-CABAC video frame 737 has PSNR = 7.72 dB as shown in Fig. 14(d). 738

D. Comparative Evaluation

For the sake of comparative evaluation of our scheme, we have compared it with six other recent techniques, which include scrambling [9], NAL unit encryption [14], MB header encryption [16], reversible ROI encryption [5], I frame encryption [2], and multiple Huffman table permutation [36]. These techniques are different from each other in several

Video SE Scheme	Format Compliant	Robust to Transcoding	Domain	Bitrate Increase	Compression Independent	Encryption Algorithm
Scrambling for privacy protection [9]	Yes	No	Transform	Yes	Yes	Pseudo random sign inversion
NAL unit encryption [14]	No	No	Bitstream	No	No	Stream cipher
MB header data encryption [16]	No	No	Transform	No	No	Stream cipher
Reversible encryption of ROI [5]	Yes	Yes	Pixel	Yes	Yes	Pseudo random pixel permutations
I frame encryption [2]	No	No	Bitstream	No	No	AES
Multiple Huffman tables [36]	No	No	Bitstream	Yes	No	Huffman table permutations
Our scheme	Yes	No	Bitstream ^a	No	No	AES (CFB mode)

TABLE XII COMPARISON OF PROPOSED SCHEME WITH OTHER RECENT METHODS

^aFor SE-CAVLC, bitstream is encrypted, while for SE-CABAC, binstrings are encrypted as explained in Section III-B.

aspects, e.g., working domain (pixel, transform, or bitstream) 746 747 and encryption algorithm (pseudo random permutation, stream cipher, or AES). The comparison has been made based on 748 several important characteristics of SE systems and is summa-749 rized in Table XII. Encryption algorithm used in SE scheme 750 is of vital importance for the security level. AES has the 751 highest security among all the known ciphers and our proposed 752 scheme utilizes AES. Among the recent techniques, AES has 753 been used only in [2] but their SE scheme is very naive and 754 encrypts only I frames. 755

SE should not result in increase of bitrate. For example, if 756 a video for 3G wireless connection has bitrate of 384 kb/s, its 757 encrypted version should have the same bitrate. Otherwise, it 758 cannot be played back on 3G connection. Our scheme keeps 759 the bitrate intact. It is in contrast to other schemes which either 760 allow increase in bitrate [5], [9], [36] or use stream cipher 761 for the sake of same bitrate [14], [16], thus compromising on 762 the security of the system. 763

Format compliance is another important aspect for en-764 crypted video data. Most of the schemes are not format 765 complaint and their encrypted bitstreams cannot be decoded 766 by reference decoder except SE schemes which work in pixel 767 domain [5] and transform domain [9]. 768

Our SE-CABAC scheme is the first format compliant tech-769 nique which is for arithmetic coding-based entropy coding 770 module, while keeping the bitrate unchanged. Recent encryp-771 tion techniques for arithmetic coding [11], [13] are not format 772 complaint and require lot of processing power. 773

To summarize, our proposed schemes (SE-CAVLC and 774 SE-CABAC) meet all the requirements of an integrated 775 compression-encryption system. Our proposed system is fully 776 compliant to H.264/AVC decoder, with no change in bitrate 777 and has the security of AES cipher. 778

779

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an efficient SE system has been proposed for 780 H.264/AVC video codec for CAVLC and CABAC. The SE 781 is performed in the entropy coding stage of the H.264/AVC 782 using the AES encryption algorithm in the CFB mode. In 783 this way, the proposed encryption method does not affect 784 the bitrate and the H.264/AVC bitstream compliance. The SE 785 is performed in CAVLC codewords and CABAC binstrings 786 such that they remain a valid codewords/binstrings thereafter 787 having exactly the same length. Experimental analysis has 788

been presented for I and P frames. The proposed scheme 789 can be used for B frames without any modification, since B 790 frames are also inter-frames but have bidirectional prediction. 791 The proposed method has the advantage of being suitable for 792 streaming over heterogeneous networks because of no change 793 in bitrate. The experiments have shown that we can achieve 794 the desired level of encryption, while maintaining the full 795 bitstream compliance, under a minimal set of computational 796 requirements. The presented security analysis confirmed a 797 sufficient security level for multimedia applications in the 798 context of SE. The proposed system can be extended for ROI-799 specific video protection [26] for video surveillance and can 800 be applied to medical video transmission [24]. 801

REFERENCES

- [1] Draft ITU-T Recommendation and Final Draft International Standard of Joint Video Specification (ITU-T Rec. H.264/ISO/IEC 14496-10 AVC), document JVT-G050, Joint Video Team (JVT), Mar. 2003.
- [2] M. Abomhara, O. Zakaria, O. Khalifa, A. Zaiden, and B. Zaiden, "En-806 hancing selective encryption for H.264/AVC using advanced encryption standard," Int. J. Comput. Electric. Eng., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 223-229, 808 2010
- [3] M. Bellare, T. Ristenpart, P. Rogaway, and T. Stegers, "Formatpreserving encryption," in Proc. 16th Annu. Int. Workshop Selected Areas Cryptography, 2009, pp. 295-312.
- [4] G. Bjontegaard and K. Lillevold, Context-Adaptive VLC Coding of 813 Coefficients, document JVT-C028, Joint Video Team, Fairfax, VA, May 814 815
- [5] P. Carrillo, H. Kalva, and S. Magliveras, "Compression independent reversible encryption for privacy in video surveillance," EURASIP J. Inform. Security, vol. 2009, p. 13, 2009.
- [6] H. Cheng and X. Li, "Partial encryption of compressed images and videos," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 2439-2445, Aug. 2000.
- J. Daemen and V. Rijmen, "AES proposal: The Rijndael clock cipher," [7] Proton World Int., Katholieke Univ. Leuven, ESAT-COSIC, Leuven, Belgium, Tech. Rep., 2002
- M. V. Droogenbroeck and R. Benedett, "Techniques for a selective [8] encryption of uncompressed and compressed images," in Proc. ACIVS, Sep. 2002, pp. 90-97.
- [9] F. Dufaux and T. Ebrahimi, "Scrambling for privacy protection in video surveillance systems," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1168-1174, Aug. 2008.
- M. M. Fisch, H. Stgner, and A. Uhl, "Layered encryption techniques [10] 831 for DCT-coded visual data," in Proc. 12th EUSIPCO, Sep. 2004, pp. 821-824.
- [11] M. Grangetto, E. Magli, and G. Olmo, "Multimedia selective encryption by means of randomized arithmetic coding," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 905-917, Oct. 2006. 836
- [12] G. Jakimoski and K. Subbalakshmi, "Cryptanalysis of some multimedia 837 encryption schemes," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 838 330–338, Apr. 2008. 839

802

803

804

805

807

809

810

811

812

816

821

826

822 823

824 AQ:6 825

827 828

829 830

- 832
- 833 834

- [13] W. Jiangtao, K. Hyungjin, and J. Villasenor, "Binary arithmetic coding 840 with key-based interval splitting," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 13, 841 no. 2, pp. 69-72, Feb. 2006. 842
- C. Li, \overline{X} . Zhou, and Y. Zong, "NAL level encryption for scalable video [14] 843 coding," in Proc. PCM, no. 5353. 2008, pp. 496-505. 844
- [15] S. Lian, Z. Liu, Z. Ren, and Z. Wang, "Selective video encryption based 845 on advanced video coding," in Proc. PCM, no. 3768. 2005, pp. 281-290. 846
- [16] S. Lian, Z. Liu, Z. Ren, and H. Wang, "Commutative encryption and 847 watermarking in video compression," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video 848 Technol., vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 774-778, Jun. 2007. 849
- E. Lin, A. Eskicioglu, R. Lagendijk, and E. Delp, "Advances in digital 850 [17] video content protection," Proc. IEEE, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 171-183, Jan. 851 2005 852
- [18] T. Lookabaugh and D. Sicker, "Selective encryption for consumer 853 applications," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 124-129, May 854 2004 855
- [19] R. Lukac and K. Plataniotis, "Bit-level based secret sharing for image 856 encryption," Patt. Recog., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 767-772, May 2005. 857
- [20] 858 D. Marpe, H. Schwarz, and T. Wiegand, "Context-based adaptive binary arithmetic coding in the H.264/AVC video compression standard," IEEE 859 Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 620-636, Jul. 860 861 2003.
- [21] K. Martin, R. Lukac, and K. Plataniotis, "Efficient encryption of wavelet-862 based coded color images," Patt. Recog., vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1111-1115, 863 864 Jul. 2005.
- [22] I. Moccagatta and K. Ratakonda, A Performance Comparison of CABAC 865 and VCL-Based Entropy Coders for SD and HD Sequences, document 866 JVT-E079r2, Joint Video Team (JVT), Oct. 2002. 867
- [23] S. Ou, H. Chung, and W. Sung, "Improving the compression and 868 encryption of images using FPGA-based cryptosystems," Multimedia 869 Tools Applicat., vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 5-22, Jan. 2006. 870
- [24] W. Puech and J. Rodrigues, "A new crypto-watermarking method for 871 medical images safe transfer," in Proc. 12th EUSIPCO, 2004, pp. 1481-872 1484 873
- [25] J.-M. Rodrigues, W. Puech, and A. Bors, "A selective encryption for 874 heterogenous color JPEG images based on VLC and AES stream cipher, 875 in Proc. Eur. Conf. CGIV, Jun. 2006, pp. 34-39. 876
- 877 [26] J.-M. Rodrigues, W. Puech, and A. Bors, "Selective encryption of human skin in JPEG images," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process, Oct. 878 2006, pp. 1981-1984. 879
- [27] A. Said, "Measuring the strength of partial encryption scheme," in Proc. 880 IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., vol. 2. Sep. 2005, pp. 1126-1129. 881
- B. Schneier, Applied Cryptography. New York: Wiley, 1995. 882
- Z. Shahid, M. Chaumont, and W. Puech, "Fast protection of H.264/AVC 883 [29] by selective encryption," in Proc. SinFra IPAL Symp., Feb. 2009, pp. 884 11 - 21. 885
- [30] Z. Shahid, M. Chaumont, and W. Puech, "Fast protection of H.264/AVC 886 by selective encryption of CABAC for I&P frames," in Proc. 17th 887 EUSIPCO, Aug. 2009, pp. 2201-2205 888
- D. R. Stinson, Cryptography: Theory and Practice (Discrete Mathemat-889 [31] ics and Its Applications). New York: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 890 Nov. 2005 891
- [32] L. Tang, "Methods for encrypting and decrypting MPEG video data 892 efficiently," in Proc. ACM Multimedia, vol. 3. 1996, pp. 219-229. 893
- [33] Z. Wang, A. Bovik, H. Sheikh, and E. Simoncelli, "Image quality 894 assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," IEEE Trans. 895 Image Process., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600-612, Apr. 2004. 896
- [34] J. Wen, M. Severa, W. Zeng, M. Luttrell, and W. Jin, "A format-897 compliant configurable encryption framework for access control of 898 video," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 899 545-557, Jun. 2002. 900
- [35] T. Wiegand, G. J. Sullivan, G. Bjontegaard, and A. Luthra, "Overview 901 of the H.264/AVC video coding standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 902 Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 560-576, Jul. 2003. 903
- 904 [36] C.-P. Wu and C.-C. Kuo, "Design of integrated multimedia compression and encryption systems," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 905 828-839, Oct. 2005. 906
- [37] K. Yabuta, H. Kitazawa, and T. Tanaka, "A new concept of security 907 camera monitoring with privacy protection by masking moving objects," 908 in Proc. Adv. Multimedia Inform. Process., vol. 1, no. 3767. 2005, pp. 909 831-842. 910
- W. Zeng and S. Lei, "Efficient frequency domain selective scrambling [38] 911 of digital video," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 118-129, 912 Mar. 2003. 913

[39] S. Ziauddin, I. U. Haq, and M. A. Khan, "Method and system for fast 914 context based adaptive binary arithmetic coding," U.S. Patent 7 221 296, 915 2007. 916



Zafar Shahid received the B.S. degree in electrical 917 engineering from the University of Engineering and 918 Technology, Lahore, Pakistan, and the M.S. degree 919 in image processing from the National Institute of Applied Sciences, Lyon, France, in 2001 and 921 2007, respectively. Currently, he is a Ph.D. student at the Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and Microelectronics, University of Montpellier II, Montpellier, France.

Before his M.S. degree, he was a Senior Embedded System Engineer with Streaming Networks, Santa

Clara, CA, where he was involved in the research and development in the domain of video processing. His current research interests include compression, watermarking, and encryption of scalable video.



Marc Chaumont was born in November 1976, in France. He received the Engineering Diploma degree from the National Institute of Applied Sciences (INSA), Rennes, France, in 1999, and the Ph.D. degree from the IRISA Rennes (INRIA, CNRS, University of Rennes 2, and INSA) in 2003, both in computer sciences.

His Ph.D. thesis was about video objects representations, with dynamic coding and scalability functionalities, in the video compression area. He has carried on research activities for one year at the

INRIA Rennes and for another year at the University Technological Institute, 942 Bayonne, France, as a Visiting Assistant Professor. During this last year, he 943 focused on face tracking using a deformable 3-D face model. Since September 944 2005, he is an Assistant Professor with the Laboratory of Computer Science, 945 Robotics, and Microelectronics, Montpellier, France, and the University of 946 Nîmes, Nîmes, France. His current research interests include watermarking, 947 steganography, video compression, and to a lesser extent segmentation and 948 tracking in videos. 949



William Puech (M'XX) received the Diploma degree in electrical engineering from the University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France, in 1991, and the Ph.D. degree in signal-image-speech from the Polytechnic National Institute, Grenoble, France, in 1997.

He started his research activities in image processing and computer vision. He was a Visiting Research Associate with the University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece. From 1997 to 2000, he was an Assistant Professor with the University of

Toulon, Toulon, France, with research interests including methods of active contours applied to medical images sequences. Between 2000 and 2008, he was an Associate Professor and since 2009, he has been a Full Professor of image processing with the Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and 964 Microelectronics, University of Montpellier. He has developed applications 965 on medical images, cultural heritage, and video surveillance. He is the Head 966 of the ICAR Team (Image and Interaction), University of Montpellier. He has published more than 12 journal papers, 4 book chapters, and more than 968 65 conference papers. His current research interests include the areas of 969 protection of visual data (image, video, and 3-D object) for safe transfer by 970 combining watermarking, data hiding, compression, and cryptography. 971 972

Prof. Puech is a reviewer for more than 15 journals (IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MULTIMEDIA, Signal 973 Processing: Image Communication, Journal of Applied Signal Processing, Journal of Electronic Imaging, and others) and more than ten conference proceedings (IEEE ICIP, EUSIPCO, WIAMIS, IWDW, and others). He is 976 currently a member of SPIE. Since 2005, he has been in the Technical Program Committee of EUSIPCO, and since 2009, he has been in the Area Chair "Image and Multidimensional Signal Processing" of EUSIPCO.

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

967

920

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

974 975

978 AQ:8 979

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- 980
- ⁹⁸¹ AQ:1= Please provide the expanded form of NZs.
- AQ:2= Please provide the expanded form of AC and DC.
- AQ:3= Please provide the expanded form of SD.
- AQ:4= Please verify the volume no. in Ref. [5].
- AQ:5= Please provide the issue no. or month in Ref. [5].
- AQ:6= Please provide the technical report no. in Ref. [7].
- 987 AQ:7= Please provide the membership year of Puech.
- AQ:8= Please verify the sense of the sentence "...he has been in the Area Chair...."

989 END OF ALL QUERIES

Fast Protection of H.264/AVC by Selective Encryption of CAVLC and CABAC for I and P Frames

Zafar Shahid, Marc Chaumont, and William Puech, Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper presents a novel method for the protection of bitstreams of state-of-the-art video codec H.264/AVC. The 2 problem of selective encryption (SE) is addressed along with the 3 compression in the entropy coding modules. H.264/AVC supports 4 two types of entropy coding modules. Context-adaptive variable 5 length coding (CAVLC) is supported in H.264/AVC baseline 6 profile and context-adaptive binary arithmetic coding (CABAC) 7 is supported in H.264/AVC main profile. SE is performed in both 8 types of entropy coding modules of this video codec. For this purpose, in this paper the encryption step is done simultaneously 10 with the entropy coding CAVLC or CABAC. SE is performed by 11 using the advanced encryption standard (AES) algorithm with 12 the cipher feedback mode on a subset of codewords/binstrings. 13 For CAVLC, SE is performed on equal length codewords from 14 a specific variable length coding table. In case of CABAC, it is 15 done on equal length binstrings. In our scheme, entropy coding 16 module serves the purpose of encryption cipher without affecting 17 18 the coding efficiency of H.264/AVC by keeping exactly the same bitrate, generating completely compliant bitstream and utilizing 19 negligible computational power. Owing to no escalation in bitrate, 20 our encryption algorithm is better suited for real-time multimedia 21 streaming over heterogeneous networks. It is perfect for playback 22 on handheld devices because of negligible increase in processing 23 power. Nine different benchmark video sequences containing 24 different combinations of motion, texture, and objects are used 25 for experimental evaluation of the proposed algorithm. 26

Index Terms—AES algorithm, CABAC, CAVLC, selective en cryption, stream cipher, video security.

I. INTRODUCTION

29

WITH THE RAPID growth of processing power and network bandwidth, many multimedia applications have emerged in the recent past. As digital data can easily be copied and modified, the concern about its protection and authentication have surfaced. Digital rights management (DRM) has emerged as an important research field to protect the copyrighted multimedia data. DRM systems enforce the rights

Manuscript received December 9, 2009; revised May 18, 2010; accepted July 6, 2010. This work is supported in part by the VOODDO Project (2008–2011), which is a French national project of Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), and the region of Languedoc Roussillon, France. This paper was recommended by Associate Editor M. Barni.

The authors are with the Laboratory of Informatics, Robotics, and Microelectronics, University of Montpellier II, Montpellier 34392, France (e-mail: zafar.shahid@lirmm.fr; marc.chaumont@lirmm.fr; william.puech@lirmm.fr).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCSVT.2011.2129090

of the multimedia property owners while ensuring the efficient rightful usage of such property.

Multimedia data requires either full encryption or selective encryption (SE) depending on the application requirements. For example, military and law enforcement applications require full encryption. Nevertheless, there is a large spectrum of applications that demands security on a lower level, as, e.g., that ensured by SE. SE encrypts part of the plaintext and has two main advantages. First, it reduces the computational requirements, since only a part of plaintext is encrypted [6]. Second, encrypted bitstream maintains the essential properties of the original bitstream [3]. SE just prevents abuse of the data. In the context of video, it refers to destroying the commercial value of video to a degree which prevents a pleasant viewing experience.

SE schemes based on H.264/AVC have been already presented on context-adaptive variable length coding (CAVLC) [29] and context-adaptive binary arithmetic coding (CABAC) [30]. These two previous methods fulfill real-time constraints by keeping the same bitrate and by generating completely compliant bitstream. In this paper, we have enhanced the previous proposed approaches by encryption of more syntax elements for CAVLC and extending it for P frames. Here, we have also used advanced encryption standard (AES) [7] in the cipher feedback (CFB) mode which is a stream cipher algorithm. Security of the proposed schemes has also been analyzed in detail.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, overview of H.264/AVC and AES algorithm is presented. We explain the whole system architecture of the proposed methods in Section III. Section IV contains experimental evaluation and security analysis. In Section V, we present the concluding remarks about the proposed schemes.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE H.264/AVC-BASED VIDEO ENCRYPTION SYSTEM

A. Overview of H.264/AVC

H.264/AVC (also known as MPEG4 Part 10) [1] is stateof-the-art video coding standard of ITU-T and ISO/IEC. H.264/AVC has some additional features and outperforms previous video coding standards including MPEG2 and MPEG4 Part II [35]. We review the basic working of CAVLC in Section II-A1 and of CABAC in Section II-A2.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71



Fig. 1. Block diagram of level coding in CAVLC of H.264/AVC.

1) *CAVLC*: In CAVLC, run-length coding is performed
 first as it encodes levels and runs separately. CAVLC is
 designed to exploit the characteristics of NZs and works in
 several steps.

To adapt to the local statistical features of discrete cosine 83 transform (DCT) coefficients, CAVLC uses seven fixed vari-84 able length coding (VLC) tables. For example, "2" will be 85 coded as "010" using VLC1 table, while it will be coded as 86 "1010" using VLC3 table. If magnitude of NZ lies within 87 the range of that VLC table, it is coded by regular mode, 88 otherwise escape mode is used. Adaptive nature is introduced 89 by changing the table for the next NZ based on the magnitude 90 of the current NZ as shown in Fig. 1. For the first NZ, VLC0 91 table is used unless there are more than ten NZs and less than 92 three trailing ones, in which case it is coded with VLC1 table. 93

CABAC: CABAC is designed to better exploit the 2) 94 characteristics of NZs as compared to CAVLC, consumes more 95 processing, and offers about 10% better compression than 96 CAVLC on average [22]. Run-length coding has been replaced 97 by significant map coding which specifies the position of NZs 98 in the 4×4 block. Binary arithmetic coding (BAC) module of 99 CABAC uses many context models to encode NZs and context 100 model for a specific NZ depends on recently coded NZs. 101

CABAC consists of multiple stages as shown in Fig. 2(a). 102 First of all, binarization is done in which non-binary syntax 103 elements are converted to binary form called binstrings which 104 are more amenable to compression by BAC. Binary repre-105 sentation for a non-binary syntax element is done in such a 106 way that it is close to minimum redundancy code. In CABAC, 107 there are four basic code trees for binarization step, namely, 108 the unary code, the truncated unary code, the kth order Exp-109 Golomb code (EGk), and the fixed length code as shown in 110 Fig. 2(b). 111

For an unsigned integer value $x \ge 0$, the unary code consists 112 of x 1s plus a terminating 0 bit. The truncated unary code is 113 only defined for x with $0 \le x \le s$. For x < s, the code is 114 given by the unary code, whereas for x = s the terminating 115 "0" bit is neglected. EGk is constructed by a concatenation 116 of a prefix and a suffix parts and is suitable for binarization 117 of syntax elements that represent prediction residuals. For a 118 given unsigned integer value x > 0, the prefix part of the 119 EGk binstring consists of a unary code corresponding to the 120 length $l(x) = \lfloor log_2(\frac{x}{2k} + 1) \rfloor$. The EGk suffix part is computed 121 as the binary representation of $x + 2^k(1 - 2^{l(x)})$ using k + l(x)122 significant bits. Consequently for EGk binarization, the code 123 length is 2l(x) + k + 1. When k = 0, 2l(x) + k + 1 = 2l(x) + 1. 124



Fig. 2. (a) Block diagram of CABAC of H.264/AVC. (b) Binarization stage.

The fixed length code is applied to syntax elements with a 125 nearly uniform distribution or to syntax elements, for which 126 each bit in the fixed length code binstring represents a specific 127 coding decision, e.g., coded block flag. Three syntax elements 128 are binarized by concatenation of the basic code trees, namely, 129 coded block pattern, NZ, and the motion vector difference 130 (MVD). Binarization of absolute level of NZs is done by 131 concatenation of truncated unary code and EG0. The trun-132 cated unary code constitutes the prefix part with cutoff value 133 S = 14. Binarization and subsequent arithmetic coding process 134 is applied to the syntax element *coeff* abs value minus1 = 135 abs level - 1, since quantized transformed coefficients with 136 zero magnitude are encoded using significant map. For MVD, 137 binstring is constructed by concatenation of the truncated 138 unary code and EG3. The truncated unary constitutes the prefix 139 part with cutoff value S = 9. Suffix part of MVDs contains 140 EG3 of |MVD| - 9 for |MVD| > 9 and sign bit. 141

B. AES Encryption Algorithm

The AES algorithm consists of a set of processing steps 143 repeated for a number of iterations called rounds [7]. The 144 number of rounds depends on the size of the key and the 145 size of the data block. The number of rounds is nine, e.g., 146 if both the block and the key are 128 bits long. Given a 147 sequence $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_n\}$ of bit plaintext blocks, each X_i is 148 encrypted with the same secret key k producing the ciphertext 149 blocks $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n\}$. To encipher a data block X_i in AES, 150 you first perform an AddRoundKey step by XORing a subkey 151 with the block. The incoming data and the key are added 152 together in the first AddRoundKey step. Afterward, it follows 153 the round operation. Each regular round operation involves 154 four steps which are SubBytes, ShiftRows, MixColumns, and 155 AddRoundKey. Before producing the final ciphered data Y_i , 156 the AES performs an extra final routine that is composed of 157 SubBytes, ShiftRows, and AddRoundKey steps. 158

142

The AES algorithm can support several cipher modes: 159 electronic code book (ECB), cipher block chaining, output 160 feedback (OFB), CFB, and counter (CTR) [31]. The ECB 161 mode is actually the basic AES algorithm. In CFB mode, as 162

AO:1



Fig. 3. CFB stream cipher. (a) Encryption. (b) Decryption.

shown in Fig. 3, the keystream element Z_i is generated and the ciphertext block Y_i is produced as follows:

$$Z_i = E_k(Y_{i-1}), \text{ for } i \ge 1$$

$$Y_i = X_i \oplus Z_i$$
(1)

165 where \oplus is the XOR operator.

Although AES is a block cipher, in the OFB, CFB, and CTR modes it operates as a stream cipher.

168 C. SE of Image and Video

40:2

SE is a technique aiming to save computational time or to 169 enable new system functionalities by only encrypting a portion 170 of a compressed bitstream while still achieving adequate 171 security [18]. SE as well as partial encryption (PE) are applied 172 only on certain parts of the bitstream. In the decoding stage, 173 both the encrypted and the non-encrypted information should 174 be appropriately identified and displayed [6], [21], [26]. The 175 copyright protection of the multimedia content is a required 176 feature for DRM systems. The technical challenges posed 177 by such systems are high and previous approaches have not 178 entirely succeeded in tackling them [17]. 179

In [32], Tang proposed a technique called zigzag permutation 180 applicable to DCT-based image and video codecs. On one 181 hand, this method provides a certain level of confidentiality, 182 while on the other hand, it increases the overall bitrate. 183 For image, several SE techniques have been proposed in 184 the literature. In [8], Droogenbroeck and Benedett proposed 185 a technique for encryption of JPEG images. It encrypts a 186 selected number of AC coefficients. The DC coefficients are 187 not ciphered since they carry important visual information 188 and they are highly predictable. In spite of the constancy 189 in the bitrate while preserving the bitstream compliance, the 190 compression and the encryption process are separated and 191 consequently the computational complexity is increased. 192

The AES [7] has been used for SE of image and video in 193 the literature. The AES was applied on the Haar discrete 194 wavelet transform compressed images in [23]. The encryption 195 of color images in the wavelet transform has been addressed 196 in [21]. In this approach, the encryption is performed on the 197 resulting wavelet code bits. In [25], SE was performed on color 198 JPEG images by selectively encrypting only luma component 199 using AES cipher. The protection rights of individuals and the 200 privacy of certain moving objects in the context of security 201 surveillance systems using viewer generated masking and the 202 AES encryption standard has been addressed in [37]. 203

Combining PE and image/video compression using the set
 partitioning in hierarchical trees was used in [6]. Nevertheless,
 this approach requires a significant computational complexity.

A method that does not require significant processing time and 207 which operates directly on the bit planes of the image was 208 proposed in [19]. The robustness of partially encrypted videos 209 to attacks which exploit the information from non-encrypted 210 bits together with the availability of side information was 211 studied in [27]. Fisch et al. [10] proposed a scalable encryption 212 method for a DCT-coded visual data wherein the data are 213 organized in a scalable bitstream form. These bitstreams are 214 constructed with the DC and some AC coefficients of each 215 block which are then arranged in layers according to their 216 visual importance, and PE process is applied over these layers. 217

For video, there are several SE techniques for different 218 video codecs presented in the literature. SE of MPEG4 video 219 standard was studied in [34] wherein data encryption standard 220 was used to encrypt fixed length and variable length codes. In 221 this approach, the encrypted bitstream is completely compliant 222 with MPEG4 bitstream format but it increases the bitrate. 223 A tradeoff has to be made among complexity, security, and 224 the bit overhead. In [38], SE of MPEG4 video standard is 225 proposed by doing frequency domain selective scrambling, 226 DCT block shuffling, and rotation. This scheme is very easy to 227 perform but its limitation is its bitrate overhead. SE of region 228 of interest (ROI) of MPEG4 video has been presented in [9]. 229 It performs SE by pseudo randomly inverting sign of DCT 230 coefficients in ROI. SE of H.264/AVC has been studied in [15] 231 wherein encryption has been carried out in some fields like 232 intra-prediction mode, residual data, inter-prediction mode, 233 and motion vectors. A scheme for commutative encryption 234 and watermarking of H.264/AVC is presented in [16]. Here, 235 SE of some macroblock (MB) header fields is combined 236 with watermarking of magnitude of DCT coefficients. This 237 scheme presents a watermarking solution in encrypted domain 238 without exposing video content. The limitation of techniques 239 proposed in [15] and [16] is that they are not format compliant. 240 Encryption for H.264/AVC has been discussed in [5] wherein 241 they do permutations of the pixels of MBs which are in ROI. 242 The drawback of this scheme is that bitrate increases as the 243 size of the ROI increases. This is due to change in the statistics 244 of ROI as it is no more a slow varying region which is 245 the basic assumption for video signals. SE of H.264/AVC at 246 network abstraction layer (NAL) has been proposed in [14]. 247 Important NAL units, namely, instantaneous decoding refresh 248 picture, sequence parameter set, and picture parameter set are 249 encrypted with a stream cipher. The limitation of this scheme 250 is that it is not format compliant and cannot be parsed even at 251 frame level. SE of H.264/AVC using AES has been proposed 252 in [2]. In this scheme, encryption of I frame is performed, 253 since P and B frame are not significant without I frames. This 254 scheme is not format compliant. 255

The use of general entropy coder as encryption cipher 256 using statistical models has been studied in the literature 257 in [36]. It encrypts by using different Huffman tables for 258 different input symbols. The tables, as well as the order 259 in which they are used, are kept secret. This technique is 260 vulnerable to known plaintext attacks as explained in [12]. 261 Key-based interval splitting of arithmetic coding (KSAC) has 262 used an approach [13] wherein intervals are partitioned in each 263 iteration of arithmetic coding. Secret key is used to decide 264

how the interval will be partitioned. Number of subintervals in 265 which an interval is divided should be kept small as it increases 266 the bitrate of bitstream. Randomized arithmetic coding [11] 267 is aimed at arithmetic coding but instead of partitioning of 268 intervals like in KSAC, secret key is used to scramble the 269 order of intervals. The limitation of these entropy coding-based 270 techniques is that encrypted bitstream is not format compliant. 271 Moreover, these techniques require lot of processing power. 272

In the context of DRM systems, our paper addresses 273 the simultaneous SE and compression for state-of-the-art 274 H.264/AVC. The encrypted bitstream is format compliant with 275 absolutely no escalation in bitrate. Furthermore, it does not 276 require lot of processing power for encryption and decryp-277 tion. In Section III, we describe our proposed approaches to 278 apply SE and H.264/AVC compression in video sequences, 279 simultaneously. 280

III. PROPOSED SE SCHEMES

Our approach consists of SE during the entropy coding 282 stage of H.264/AVC. In baseline profile, SE is performed in 283 CAVLC entropy coding stage (SE-CAVLC). While in main 284 profile, it is performed in CABAC entropy coding stage (SE-285 CABAC). In SE of video, encrypted bitstream compliance is a 286 required feature for some direct operations such as displaying, 287 time seeking, and browsing. Encrypted bitstream will be 288 compliant and fulfills real-time constraints if the following 289 three conditions are fulfilled. 290

To keep the bitrate of encrypted bitstream same as the original bitstream, encrypted codewords/binstrings must have the same size as the original codewords/binstrings.

294 2) The encrypted codewords/binstrings must be valid so
 295 that they may be decoded by entropy decoder.

3) The decoded value of syntax element from encrypted 296 codewords/binstrings must stay in the valid range for 297 that syntax element. Any syntax element which is used 298 for prediction of neighboring MBs should not be en-299 crypted. Otherwise, the drift in the value of syntax ele-300 ment will keep on increasing and after a few iterations, 301 value of syntax element will fall outside the valid range 302 and bitstream will be no more decodable. 303

In each MB, header information is encoded first, which is 304 followed by the encoding of MB data. To keep the bitstream 305 compliant, we cannot encrypt MB header, since it is used 306 for prediction of future MBs. MB data contains NZs and 307 can be encrypted. A MB is further divided into 16 blocks of 308 4×4 pixels to be processed by integer transform module. The 309 coded block pattern is a syntax element used to indicate which 310 8×8 blocks within a MB contain NZs. The macroblock mode 311 (MBmode) is used to indicate whether a MB is skipped or not. 312 If MB is not *skipped*, then MBmode indicates the prediction 313 method for a specific MB. For a 4×4 block inside MB, if 314 coded block pattern and MBmode are set, it indicates that this 315 block is encoded. Inside 4×4 block, coded block flag is the 316 syntax element used to indicate whether it contains NZs or not. 317 It is encoded first. If it is zero, no further data is transmitted; 318 otherwise, it is followed by encoding of significant map in 319 case of CABAC. Finally, the absolute value of each NZ and 320



Fig. 4. Block diagram of CAVLC of H.264/AVC. Encircled syntax elements are used for SE-CAVLC.

its sign are encoded. Similar to MB header, header of 4×4 321 block which includes coded block flag and significant map, 322 should not be encrypted for the sake of bitstream compliance. 323 Available encryption space (ES) which fulfills the above-324 mentioned conditions for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC is pre-325 sented in Sections III-A and III-B, respectively. Encryption 326 and decryption of the protected bitstream are presented in 327 Sections III-C and III-D, respectively. 328

329

A. ES for SE-CAVLC

In CAVLC, five syntax elements are used to code levels 330 and runs as shown in Fig. 4. NZs are coded by three syntax 331 elements, namely, coeff token, signs of trailing ones, and 332 remaining nonzero levels. Zeros are coded by two syntax 333 elements, namely, total number of zeros and runs of zeros. 334 A single syntax element, namely, coeff token is used to code 335 total NZs and number of trailing ones. It is followed by coding 336 of signs of trailing ones (T1s). Remaining NZs are then coded 337 using seven VLC look-up tables either by regular mode or by 338 escape mode as explained in Section II-A1. They are mapped 339 to some code from a specific VLC look-up table. 340

To keep the bitstream compliant, we cannot encrypt co-341 eff token, total number of zeros, and runs of zeros. Two 342 syntax elements fulfill the above-mentioned conditions for 343 encryptions. First is signs of trailing ones. Second is sign and 344 magnitude of remaining NZs, both in regular and escape mode. 345 For the sake of same bitrate, ES of SE-CAVLC consists of 346 only those NZs whose VLC codewords have the same length. 347 CAVLC uses multiple VLC tables with some threshold for 348 incrementing the table as given in (2). Since the threshold for 349 a specific table is highest possible value possible with that 350 codeword length (this is the case when all the suffix bits of 351 the codeword are 1), magnitude of encrypted NZ is such that 352 VLC table transition is not affected. VLC codes, having same 353 code length, constitute the ES. For VLC *n* table, ES is 2^n as 354 given in (3). For table VLC0, every NZ has different codeword 355 length, consequently we cannot encrypt the NZs in this table 356 as follows: 357

 $TH[0\dots 6] = (0, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, \infty).$ (2)

$$ES[0\dots 6] = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \infty).$$
(3)



Fig. 5. SE of binstrings in SE-CABAC.



Fig. 6. Encryption process for NZs and their signs in CABAC of H.264/AVC.

358 B. ES for SE-CABAC

The main difference between SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 359 is that in SE-CABAC, SE is not performed on CABAC 360 bitstream. Rather it is performed on binstrings which are 361 input to BAC as shown in Fig. 5. Among all the four 362 binarization techniques, the unary and truncated unary codes 363 have different code lengths for each input value as explained 364 in Section II-A2. They do not fulfill the first condition and 365 their encryption will change the bitrate of bitstream. Suffix of 366 EGk and the fixed length code can be encrypted while keeping 367 the bitrate unchanged. EGk is used for binarization of absolute 368 value of levels and MVDs. Number of MVD binstrings have 369 the same length and hence, first and second conditions are 370 fulfilled. But owing to the fact that MVDs are part of MB 371 header and are used for prediction of future motion vectors, 372 their encryption does not fulfill third condition and their 373 encryption makes the bitstream non-compliant. To conclude, 374 the syntax elements which fulfill the criteria for encryption 375 of H.264/AVC compliant bitstream are suffix of EG0 and sign 376 bits of levels. Hence, for each NZ with |NZ| > 14, encryption 377 is performed on l(x) of EG0. It is followed by encryption 378 of syntax element coeff sign flag which represents sign of 379 levels of all nonzero levels. The fixed length code is used for 380 binarization of syntax elements which belong to MB header 381 and cannot be encrypted. 382

To keep the bitrate intact, ES for SE-CABAC consists of only those NZs whose EG0 binstrings have the same length as shown in Fig. 6. EG0 codes, having same code length, constitute the ES and it depends upon ||NZ||. The ES is $2^{log_2(n+1)}$ where *n* is the maximum possible value by suffix bits of EG0, i.e., when all the bits in suffix are 1.

389 C. SE of NZs in the Entropy Coding Stage of H.264/AVC

Let us consider $Y_i = X_i \oplus E_k(Y_{i-1})$ as the notation for the encryption of a *n* bit block X_i , using the secret key *k* with the AES cipher in CFB mode as given by (1), and performed as described in the scheme from Fig. 3. We have chosen to use this mode in order to keep the original compression rate. Indeed, with the CFB mode for each block, the size of the encrypted data Y_i can be exactly the same one as



Fig. 7. (a) CAVLC plaintext. (b) CABAC plaintext. (c) Proposed SE scheme.

the size of the plaintext X_i . In this mode, the code from 397 the previously encrypted block is used to encrypt the current 398 one as shown in Fig. 3. The three stages of the proposed 399 algorithm are the construction of the plaintext X_i , described 400 in Section III-C1, the encryption of X_i to create Y_i which is 401 provided in Section III-C2, and the substitution of the original 402 codeword/binstring with the encrypted information, which is 403 explained in Section III-C3. The overview of the proposed SE 404 method is provided in Fig. 7. 405

1) Construction of Plaintext: As slices are independent 406 coding units, SE should be performed on them independently. 407 In case of SE-CAVLC, the plaintext is created by copying 408 the encrypt-able bits from CAVLC bitstream to the vector X_i 409 until either X_i is completely filled or slice-boundary comes 410 as shown in Fig. 7(a). Let C, the length of the vector X_i , is 411 128. In case of SE-CABAC, we perform SE before BAC as 412 shown in Fig. 7(b). In that case, we transform the non-binary 413 syntax elements to binstrings through process of binarization 414 and at the same time we fill the X_i with encrypted bits until 415 either the vector X_i is completely filled or the slice boundary 416 comes. The binarization of many syntax elements at the same 417 time also makes the CABAC coding faster and increases its 418 throughput [39]. Let $L(X_i)$ be the length up to which vector 419 X_i is filled. In case of slice boundary, if $L(X_i) < C$, we apply 420 a padding function p(j) = 0, where $j \in \{L(X_i) + 1, \dots, C\}$, 421 to fill in the vector X_i with zeros up to C bits. Historically, 422 padding was used to increase the security of the encryption, 423 but in here it is used for rather technical reasons [28]. 424

2) Encryption of the Plaintext with AES in the CFB Mode: In the encryption step with AES in the CFB mode, the previous encrypted block Y_{i-1} is used as the input of the AES algorithm in order to create Z_i . Then, the current plaintext X_i is XORed with Z_i in order to generate the encrypted text Y_i as given by (1). For the initialization, the initialization vector (IV)

is created from the secret key k according to the following 431 strategy. The secret key k is used as the seed of the pseudo 432 random number generator (PRNG). First, the secret key k433 is divided into 8 bits (byte) sequences. The PRNG produces 434 a random number for each byte component of the key that 435 defines the order of IV formation. Then, we substitute Y_0 436 with the IV, and Y_0 is used in AES to produce Z_1 . As 437 illustrated in Fig. 7(c), with the CFB mode of the AES 438 algorithm, the generation of the keystream Z_i depends on the 439 previous encrypted block Y_{i-1} . Consequently, if two plaintexts 440 are identical $X_i = X_i$ in the CFB mode, then always the two 441 corresponding encrypted blocks are different, $Y_i \neq Y_i$. 442

3) Substitution of the Original Bitstream: The third step 443 is the substitution of the original Y_i by the encrypted Y_i . 444 For SE-CAVLC, CAVLC bitstream is accessed in sequential 445 order as in the first step (construction of the plaintext X_i). 446 Given the length in bits of each amplitude $(S_n, S_{n-1}, \ldots, S_1)$, 447 we start substituting the original bits in the bitstream by 448 the corresponding parts of Y_i as shown in Fig. 7. For SE-449 CABAC, binstrings are accessed in sequential order and we 450 start substituting the original bits in them by the corresponding 451 parts of Y_i as shown in Fig. 7. In case of slice boundaries, the 452 total quantity of replaced bits is $L(X_i)$ and consequently we 453 do not necessarily use all the bits of Y_i . 454

455 D. Decryption Process

The decryption process in the CFB mode works as follows. 456 The previous block Y_{i-1} is used as the input to the AES 457 algorithm in order to generate Z_i . By knowing the secret 458 key k, we apply the same function $E_k(\cdot)$ as that used in 459 the encryption stage. The difference is that the input of this 460 process is now the ciphered vector. In case of SE-CAVLC, 461 the ciphered vector is accessed in the sequential way in order 462 to construct the plaintext Y_{i-1} which is then used in the 463 AES to generate the keystream Z_i . The keystream Z_i is then 464 XORed with the current block Y_i to generate X_i , as shown 465 in Fig. 3(b). For SE-CAVLC, the resulting plaintext vector is 466 split into segments in order to substitute the signs of trailing 467 ones and suffixes $(S_n, S_{n-1}, ..., S_1)$ in the ciphered bitstream 468 and to generate the original CAVLC bitstream. Afterward, we 469 apply the entropy decoding and retrieve the quantized DCT 470 coefficients. After the inverse quantization and the inverse 471 DCT we get the decrypted and decoded video frame. In 472 case of SE-CABAC, the difference is that binary arithmetic 473 decoder is used to transform the SE-CABAC bitstream to 474 encrypted binstrings which are then accessed to make the 475 plaintext Y_{i-1} . The plaintext is decrypted and substituted back 476 to generate original binstrings. They are then passed through 477 inverse binarization, inverse quantization, and inverse DCT 478 steps to get the decrypted and decoded video frame. 479

480

AO:3

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we analyze the results for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC. We have used the reference implementation of H.264 JSVM 10.2 in AVC mode for video sequences in quarter common intermediate format (QCIF) and SD resolution. For the experimental results, nine benchmark video sequences have been used for the analysis in QCIF format. Each of 486 them represents different combinations of motion (fast/slow, 487 pan/zoom/rotation), color (bright/dull), contrast (high/low), 488 and objects (vehicle, buildings, people). The video sequences 489 Bus, City, and Foreman contain camera motion while Football 490 and Soccer contain camera panning and zooming along with 491 object motion and texture in background. The video sequences 492 Harbour and Ice contain high luminance images with smooth 493 motion. Mobile sequence contains a complex still background 494 and foreground motion. 495

In Section IV-A, we present an analysis of joint SE and H.264/AVC compression while in Section IV-B, we compare PSNR and quality when applying SE only on I frames and on I+P frames. In Section IV-C, security analysis, showing the efficiency of the proposed method, is developed. 500

A. Analysis of Joint SE and H.264/AVC Compression

We have applied simultaneously our SE and H.264/AVC 502 compression as described in Section III, on all the benchmark 503 video sequences. SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC impart some 504 characteristics to the bitstream. In spatial domain, SE video 505 gets flat regions and change in pixel values mostly occur 506 on MB boundaries. In temporal domain, luma and chroma 507 values rise up to maximum limit and then come back to 508 minimum values. This cycle keeps on repeating. Owing to this 509 phenomenon, the pixel values change drastically in temporal 510 domain. Lot of transitions are observed in values of color and 511 brightness. 512

In a first set of experiments, we have analyzed the available 513 ES in H.264/AVC bitstreams for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-514 CABAC. ES is defined as percentage of total bitstream size. 515 MBs that contain many details and texture will have lot of 516 NZs and, consequently, will be strongly encrypted. On the 517 contrary, the homogeneous MBs, i.e., blocks that contain series 518 of identical pixels, are less ciphered because they contain a lot 519 of null coefficients which are represented by runs in CAVLC 520 and by significant map in CABAC. In Table I, we provide ES 521 for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC for different benchmark video 522 sequences for quantization parameter (QP) value 18. While 523 in Table II, ES for various QP values is shown for Foreman 524 video sequence. Here the average number of bits available 525 for SE per MB are also provided. One can note that ES is 526 inversely proportional to QP value. When QP value is higher 527 and implicitly the video compression is higher, we are able 528 to encrypt fewer bits in the compressed frame. This is due to 529 the fact that H.264/AVC has lesser number of NZs at higher 530 QP values. From both these tables, it is evident that more ES 531 is available for SE-CAVLC as compared to SE-CABAC. But 532 ES is more affected by change in QP values for SE-CAVLC 533 as compared to SE-CABAC. For example, for Foreman video 534 sequence, ES varies from 28.55% to 6.70% for SE-CAVLC 535 when QP varies from 12 to 42. For the same QP range, the 536 change in ES for SE-CABAC is from 19.97% to 9.46% as 537 shown in Table II. From Tables I and II, since PSNR of original 538 H.264/AVC are very similar for both CAVLC and CABAC, in 539 the rest of this section for the sake of comparison, we list only 540 PSNR of CAVLC bitstreams. 541

TABLE I ANALYSIS OF ES FOR SE FOR DIFFERENT BENCHMARK VIDEO SEQUENCES AT QP VALUE 18

	SE-CA	AVLC	SE-CA	ABAC
Sequence	PSNR	ES	PSNR	ES
-	(dB)	(%)	(dB)	(%)
Bus	44.25	31.05	44.24	19.93
City	44.29	26.41	44.27	19.79
Crew	44.82	20.66	44.81	18.97
Football	44.61	25.33	44.59	19.45
Foreman	44.38	22.76	44.36	18.72
Harbor	44.10	30.49	44.09	20.01
Ice	46.47	24.64	46.46	17.72
Mobile	44.44	36.17	44.43	19.80
Soccer	44.27	23.42	44.21	19.94

TABLE II ANALYSIS OF ES FOR SE OVER WHOLE RANGE OF QP VALUES FOR

Foreman VIDEO SEQUENCE

	SE-CA	AVLC	SE-CABAC		
QP	PSNR	ES	PSNR	ES	
	(dB)	(%)	(dB)	(%)	
12	50.07	28.55	50.05	19.97	
18	44.38	22.76	44.36	18.72	
24	39.43	17.13	39.42	17.61	
30	35.08	13.24	35.08	15.65	
36	31.04	9.88	31.06	12.22	
42	27.23	6.70	27.35	9.46	

TABLE III

ANALYSIS OF INCREASE IN PROCESSING POWER FOR SE-CAVLC AND SE-CABAC AT QP VALUE 18

		SE-C/	AVLC		SE-CABAC			
	Enc	Encoder		oder	Enc	oder	Decoder	
Sequence	Ι	I+P	Ι	I+P	Ι	I+P	I	I+P
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Bus	0.69	0.31	3.77	2.7	0.57	0.25	3.37	2.3
City	0.5	0.26	3.36	2.4	0.44	0.23	3.06	2.1
Crew	0.31	0.15	2.52	1.5	0.29	0.14	2.22	1.2
Football	0.41	0.23	3.46	2.4	0.31	0.18	3.26	2.2
Foreman	0.47	0.23	3.19	2.2	0.41	0.20	2.99	2.0
Harbor	0.55	0.30	3.65	2.7	0.47	0.26	3.25	2.3
Ice	0.41	0.21	3.16	2.1	0.33	0.17	2.96	1.9
Mobile	0.76	0.35	4.33	3.3	0.72	0.33	4.03	3.0
Soccer	0.44	0.21	3.17	2.2	0.38	0.18	2.87	1.9

542

Table III gives a detailed overview of the required processing power for I and I+P video sequences at QP value 18. 543 Intra period has been set 10 for I+P video sequences. One 544 can observe that increase in computation time for encoder is less than 0.4% for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC while 546 it is below than 3% for decoder for I+P sequence. 547

Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows the framewise analysis of increase 548 in processing power for SE-CABAC at QP value 18 for Foreman. For experimentation, 2.1 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo T8100 550 machine with 3072 MB random access memory has been used. 551 For I+P sequence encoding of 100 frames with intra period 552 10, it took 4372.5 s and 4381.3 s for CABAC and SE-CABAC, 553 respectively. While it took 2.005 s and 2.045 s for CABAC and 554 SE-CABAC decoding. It is a negligible increase in processing 555 power and can be managed well even by handheld devices. It 556 is important to note that increase in processing power of SE-557



Fig. 8. Framewise time taken by SE-CABAC of Foreman video sequence for I+P frames at QP value 18 with intra period 10 during (a) encoding and (b) decoding.

CABAC is less than SE-CAVLC owing to two reasons. First, 558 ES of SE-CABAC is lesser than that of SE-CAVLC as shown 559 in Tables I and II. Second, CABAC takes lot more processing 560 power than CAVLC. So increase in processing power because 561 of encryption will be lower in terms of percentage. Thus, SE-562 CAVLC and SE-CABAC is possible in real-time along with 563 compression. 564

B. PSNR and Quality of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC for I Frames and I+P Frames

Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is widely used objective 567 video quality metric. However, it does not perfectly correlate 568 with a perceived visual quality due to nonlinear behavior of 569 human visual system. Structural similarity index (SSIM) [33] 570 takes into account the structural distortion measurement, since 571 human vision system is highly specialized in extracting struc-572 tural information from the viewing field. SSIM has a better 573 correlation to the subjective impression. SSIM ranges from 574 -1 to 1. SSIM is 1 when both the images are the same. To 575 present the visual protection of encrypted video sequences, 576 PSNR and SSIM of I and I+P frames are presented. 577

1) *I Frames:* To demonstrate the efficiency of our proposed 578 scheme, we have compressed 100 I frames of each sequence 579 at 30 f/s. Figs. 9 and 10 show the encrypted first frame of 580 Foreman video sequence at different QP values for SE-CAVLC 581 and SE-CABAC, respectively. In H.264/AVC, blocks on the 582 top array are predicted only from left while blocks on left 583 are always predicted from top. Owing to this prediction, a 584 band having width of 8 pixels at top of video frames can be 585 observed for both of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC while this 586 band has width of 4 pixels on left of video frames as shown 587 in Figs. 9 and 10. The average PSNR values of *Foreman* is 588

565



Fig. 9. Decoding of SE-CAVLC frame #1 of *Foreman* sequence with QP value equal to (a) 18, (b) 30, and (c) 42.



Fig. 10. Decoding of SE-CABAC frame #1 of *Foreman* sequence with QP value equal to (a) 18, (b) 30, and (c) 42.



Fig. 11. Framewise PSNR of I and I+P frames for *Foreman* for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC at QP value 18.

given in Table IV over whole QP range. It is also compared with the PSNR obtained for the same video sequence without encryption. In Table IV, we present PSNR of original video only for CAVLC. PSNR for CABAC is very much similar as presented in Table I. One can note that whatever is the QP value, the quality of the encrypted video remains in the same lower range.

Table V compares the average PSNR of 100 I frames 596 of all benchmark video sequences at QP value 18 without 597 encryption and with SE. Average PSNR value of luma for 598 all the sequences at QP value 18 is 9.49 dB for SE-CAVLC 599 and 9.80 dB for SE-CABAC. It confirms that this algorithm 600 works well for various combinations of motion, texture, and 601 objects for I frames. It is also evident in framewise PSNR 602 of luma of I frames of Foreman video sequence as shown in 603 Fig. 11. Table VI contains the experimental results of SE of 604 100 I frames for SD resolution. Here, average PSNR value of 605 luma is 9.82 dB for SE-CAVLC and 9.83 dB for SE-CABAC, 606 which is almost the same as that of QCIF resolution. It is 607 evident that this algorithm is capable to encrypt high-quality 608 information at all resolutions. For the rest of the section, 609 we present analysis for QCIF resolution only, since more 610 benchmark video sequences are available in this resolution. 611

Table VII shows the SSIM values of *luma* of benchmark video sequences without encryption and with SE. Results

TABLE IV
PSNR COMPARISON FOR I FRAMES WITHOUT ENCRYPTION AND WITH SE
FOR <i>Foreman</i> AT DIFFERENT OP VALUES

	PSN	PSNR (Y) (dB)			R (U) ((dB)	PSNR (V) (dB)		
QP	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
12	50.1	8.6	8.4	50.0	19.8	24.1	50.8	9.6	22.6
18	44.4	8.7	8.6	45.7	24.1	24.4	47.6	10.2	22.1
24	39.4	8.7	8.7	41.9	26.4	24.4	44.2	24.9	22.8
30	35.1	9.4	8.7	39.8	27.4	24.6	41.4	25.4	23.6
36	31.0	9.4	8.5	37.7	28.1	24.9	38.6	24.8	23.2
42	27.2	9.4	8.7	36.2	25.5	24.9	36.9	24.6	24.0

TABLE V PSNR Comparison for I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18

			_						
	PSN	JR (Y)	(dB)	PSI	NR (U) (dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIC	SE SE	SE	ORIC	SE SE	SE	ORIC	3 SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
Bus	44.2	7.9	8.2	45.2	26.8	25.0	46.6	26.6	27.2
City	44.3	10.9	11.2	45.8	31.9	30.3	46.8	33.5	31.8
Crew	44.8	9.0	9.9	45.8	24.0	23.4	45.7	19.7	19.8
Football	44.6	11.5	11.5	45.8	14.9	14.4	46.0	24.3	23.6
Foreman	44.4	8.7	8.6	45.7	24.1	24.4	47.6	10.2	22.1
Harbor	44.1	9.2	9.5	45.6	27.1	24.6	46.7	33.2	31.3
Ice	46.5	10.6	10.4	48.8	24.3	25.6	49.3	16.9	20.4
Mobile	44.4	8.3	8.3	44.1	10.4	13.1	44.1	9.6	11.0
Soccer	44.3	9.3	10.6	46.6	22.1	19.7	47.9	28.2	24.4
Average	44.6	9.5	9.8	46.0	22.8	22.3	46.7	22.5	23.5

TABLE VI PSNR Comparison for I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18 (SD Resolution)

	PSN	VR (Y)	(dB)	PSI	NR (U)	(dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIC	3 SE	SE	ORIC	SE	SE	ORIC	S SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
City	44.6	9.9	10.1	47.8	27.3	26.2	49.1	31.4	29.9
Crew	45.2	9.1	9.1	46.6	24.5	22.8	47.7	20.1	20.0
Harbor	44.5	9.4	9.4	47.5	22.9	22.9	48.7	28.8	26.8
Ice	46.2	10.7	10.4	51.5	27.8	27.8	52.0	25.0	26.0
Soccer	45.1	10.0	10.2	47.7	18.4	18.0	49.2	26.7	24.1
Average	45.1	9.8	9.8	48.2	24.2	23.5	49.4	26.4	25.4

verify the proposed scheme has distorted the structural in-614 formation present in the original video. Average SSIM value 615 of video sequences without encryption is 0.993, while it is 616 0.164 and 0.180 for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, respectively. 617 Fig. 12 shows the framewise SSIM of luma of Foreman video 618 sequence for I frames. It is important to note SSIM value of 619 complex video sequences is less than that of simple video 620 sequences. 621

2) I+P Frames: Video data normally consists of an I frame 622 and a trail of P frames. I frames are inserted periodically to 623 restrict the drift because of lossy compression and rounding 624 errors. In these experiments, intra period is set at 10 in a 625 sequence of 100 frames. Results shown in Table VIII verify the 626 effectiveness of our scheme over the whole range of QP values 627 for Foreman video sequence. Table IX verifies the performance 628 of our algorithm for all video sequences for I+P frames at 629 QP value 18. Average PSNR of luma for all the sequences 630 is 9.75 dB and 10.02 dB for SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, 631

TABLE VII SSIM Comparison of *luma* of I Frames Without Encryption and with SE at QP Value 18

Sequence	CAVLC	SE-CAVLC	CABAC	SE-CABAC
Bus	0.995	0.069	0.994	0.064
City	0.994	0.115	0.994	0.093
Crew	0.991	0.184	0.991	0.153
Football	0.991	0.219	0.991	0.184
Foreman	0.990	0.198	0.990	0.165
Harbor	0.998	0.047	0.998	0.038
Ice	0.990	0.419	0.990	0.398
Mobile	0.998	0.040	0.998	0.356
Soccer	0.988	0.185	0.988	0.171
Average	0.993	0.164	0.993	0.180



Fig. 12. Framewise SSIM of I frames for *Foreman* for SE-CABAC at QP value 18.

TABLE VIII PSNR COMPARISON FOR I+P FRAMES WITHOUT ENCRYPTION AND WITH SE FOR Foreman AT DIFFERENT OP VALUES

	PSN	JR (Y)	(dB)	PSN	NR (U)	(dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIC	5 SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIC	5 SE	SE
		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
12	49.6	8.7	8.1	49.9	18.4	23.0	50.6	10.4	21.6
18	43.9	9.1	10.4	45.5	23.6	23.9	47.6	8.0	23.2
24	38.9	9.6	9.7	42.0	26.9	24.9	44.3	25.8	25.0
30	34.6	9.2	9.2	39.8	28.6	24.9	41.5	26.6	24.0
36	30.7	10.1	8.2	37.9	28.4	24.3	38.8	22.8	23.3
42	27.0	9.4	8.6	36.3	26.5	26.8	36.9	25.6	24.6

respectively. Fig. 11 shows the framewise PSNR of *huma* of *Foreman* video sequence for I+P. Here, PSNR of SE-CAVLC
and SE-CABAC remains almost the same for sequence of P
frames and changes at every I frame, thus producing a staircase
graph. SSIM quality metric has very low values and is not
given here for the sake of brevity.

638 C. Security Analysis

1) Analysis of Entropy and Local Standard Deviation: 639 The security of the encrypted image can be measured by 640 considering the variations (local or global) in the protected 641 image. Entropy is a statistical measure of randomness or 642 disorder of a system which is mostly used to characterize the 643 texture in the input images. Considering this, the information 644 content of image can be measured with the entropy H(X) and 645 local standard deviation $\sigma(j)$. If an image has 2^k gray levels 646 α_i with $0 \leq i \leq 2^k$ and the probability of gray level α_i is 647 $P(\alpha_i)$, and without considering the correlation of gray levels, 648 the first order entropy H(X) is defined as follows: 649

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k}-1} P(\alpha_{i}) log_{2}(P(\alpha_{i})).$$
(4)

TABLE IX Comparison of PSNR Without Encryption and with SE for I+P Frames at QP Value 18

	PSNI	R (Y)	(dB)	PSN	R (U)	(dB)	PSN	JR (V)	(dB)
Sequence	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIG	SE	SE	ORIC	G SE	SE
	c	CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC		CAVLC	CABAC
Bus	43.7	7.6	7.7	45.1	27.2	25.4	46.4	24.7	27.0
City	43.8	11.4	11.1	45.7	32.5	30.2	46.8	32.5	31.7
Crew	44.5	9.0	10.0	45.8	25.1	22.0	45.7	19.6	20.2
Football	44.2	12.1	11.3	45.7	14.3	14.6	46.1	24.8	24.3
Foreman	43.9	9.1	10.4	45.5	23.6	23.9	47.6	8.0	23.2
Harbor	43.7	9.5	9.8	45.4	24.5	22.9	46.6	33.9	31.7
Ice	46.1	10.9	10.4	48.6	23.6	25.3	49.1	19.2	19.7
Mobile	43.8	8.4	8.8	44.2	10.1	12.5	44.1	9.6	11.8
Soccer	43.6	9.6	10.6	46.5	21.8	20.8	47.8	27.4	22.2
Average	44.2	9.75	10.0	45.8	22.5	21.9	46.7	22.2	23.5

If the probability of each gray level in the image is $P(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2^k}$, then the encryption of such image is robust against statistical attacks of first order, and thus $H(X) = log_2(2^k) = k$ bits/pixel. In the image, the information redundancy r is defined as follows:

$$r = k - H(X). \tag{5}$$

Similarly, the local standard deviation $\sigma(j)$ for each pixel p(j) taking account of its neighbors to calculate the local mean p(j), is given as follows:

$$\sigma(j) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (p(i) - \overline{p(j)})}$$
(6)

where *m* is the size of the pixel block to calculate the local 658 mean and standard deviation, and $0 \leq j < M$, if M is 659 the image size. In case of full encryption, entropy H(X) is 660 maximized with high values of local standard deviation. But 661 in case of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, the video frame is 662 transformed to flat regions with blocking artifacts as depicted 663 in Figs. 9 and 10. It is generally owing to variation in pixel 664 values at MB boundaries. For all the benchmark sequences, 665 the average information redundancy r for SE-CAVLC and SE-666 CABAC sequences is 0.94 and 0.55, respectively, while it is 667 1.11 for all the original sequences. Despite the fact that SE-668 CAVLC and SE-CABAC transform the video frames into flat 669 region, the entropy of the encrypted video sequences from 670 (4) is higher as compared to the original sequences. These 671 flat regions are because of two reasons. First, flat regions 672 are due to the fact that prediction is performed from edge 673 pixels of neighboring MBs. Second, pixels have either very 674 high value (bright video frame) or very low value (dark video 675 frame) in SE video frame. This is owing to the fact that during 676 reconstruction pixel value are clipped to 255 if they are greater 677 than it and to 0 if they are below this lower range. So if 678 many pixels have value beyond the upper or lower range, all 679 of them will be clipped to the same value, thus creating a flat 680 region which is either dark or bright. Based on this analysis, 681 the statistical characteristics of SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 682 bitstreams vary from full encryption systems. 683

From (6), we also analyzed the local standard deviation σ for each pixel while taking into account its neighbors. σ

TABLE X STANDARD DEVIATION FOR SE OF *Foreman* VIDEO SEQUENCE AT DIFFERENT OP VALUES

	(CAVLC	CABAC		
QP	ORIG	SE-CAVLC	ORIG	SE-CABAC	
12	6.75	71.49	7.02	69.69	
18	7.21	73.23	7.53	59.97	
24	8.57	91.98	8.63	84.55	
30	6.35	35.99	6.71	57.87	
36	6.90	47.42	6.93	68.04	
42	7.91	75.26	8.11	71.17	

In Table X, the mean local standard deviation for Foreman 686 sequence at different QP values is given. For all benchmark 687 video sequences, the mean local standard deviation of luma 688 equals to 69.15 and 61.48 for the SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC 689 bitstreams, respectively, where the mean local standard devia-690 tion is less than ten gray levels for the original benchmark 691 sequences. One can note that local standard deviation of 692 encrypted sequences is higher than original sequences. 693

2) Correlation of Adjacent Pixels: Visual data is highly 694 correlated, i.e., pixels values are highly probable to repeat in 695 horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions. A correlation of a 696 pixel with its neighboring pixel is then given by a tuple (x_i, y_i) 697 where y_i is the adjacent pixel of x_i . Since there is always three 698 directions in images, i.e., horizontal, vertical, and diagonal, so 699 we can define correlation direction between any two adjacent 700 pixels as follows: 701

$$corr_{(x,y)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{0}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \overline{x_i}}{\sigma_x}\right) \left(\frac{y_i - \overline{y_i}}{\sigma_y}\right)$$
(7)

where *n* represents the total number of tuples (x_i, y_i) , $\overline{x_i}$ and $\overline{y_i}$ represent the local mean, and σ_x and σ_y represent the local standard deviation, respectively.

Owing to the flat regions in SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC video sequences, the correlation values in these sequences will be higher as compared to original image which contain texture and edges. For all the benchmark sequences, the average horizontal correlation coefficient is 0.88 and 0.87 for the SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC, respectively, while it is 0.80 for the original sequences.

3) Key Sensitivity Test: Robustness against cryptanalyst 712 can be improved if the cryptosystem is highly sensitive toward 713 the key. The more the visual data is sensitive toward the key, 714 the more we would have data randomness. For this purpose, a 715 key sensitivity test is assumed where we pick one key and then 716 apply the proposed technique for encryption and then make a 717 1 bit change in the key and decode the bitstream. Numerical 718 results show that the proposed technique is highly sensitive 719 toward the key change, i.e., a different version of encrypted 720 video sequence is produced when the keys are changed, as 721 shown in Fig. 13. PSNR of *luma* of decrypted frames with 1-722 bit different key is 10.39 dB and 8.31 dB for SE-CAVLC and 723 SE-CABAC as shown in Table XI. It lies in the same lower 724 range as decoded frames without decryption. 725

4) *Removal of Encrypted Data Attack:* In another experiment, we have replaced the encrypted bits with constant
 values in order to measure the strength of SE-CAVLC and SE-



Fig. 13. Key sensitivity test for encrypted frame #1 of *Foreman* video sequence for QP value 18. Encrypted frames are decrypted and decoded with (a) original key, (b) 1-bit different key (SE-CAVLC), and (c) 1-bit different key (SE-CABAC).

TABLE XI

KEY SENSITIVITY TEST OF SE-CAVLC AND SE-CABAC ENCRYPTED VIDEO FOR FRAME #1 *Foreman* VIDEO SEQUENCE FOR QP VALUE 18

	PSNR (Y)	PSNR (U)	PSNR (V)
	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
Original key	44.60	45.73	47.35
SE-CAVLC	10.39	24.46	14.02
(1-bit different key)			
SE-CABAC	8.31	25.13	24.82
(1-bit different key)			



Fig. 14. Attack in the selectively encrypted image by removing the encrypted data. (a) SE-CAVLC encrypted image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{10.01, 26.86, 25.24\}$ dB. (b) SE-CAVLC attacked image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{8.87, 27.3, 26.3\}$ dB. (c) SE-CABAC encrypted image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{8.20, 17.95, 24.53\}$ dB. (d) SE-CABAC attacked image $\{Y, U, V\} = \{7.72, 28.6, 24.6\}$ dB.

CABAC proposed method as described in [27]. Here we have 729 used frame #1 of Foreman video sequence with QP value 24. 730 Fig. 14 shows both encrypted and attacked video frames for 731 SE-CAVLC and SE-CABAC. For example, Fig. 14(a) shows 732 SE-CAVLC video frame with PSNR = 10.01 dB for luma. If 733 we set the encrypted bits of all NZs to zero, we get the video 734 frame illustrated in Fig. 14(b) with *luma* PSNR = 8.87 dB. 735 Similarly, Fig. 14(c) shows SE-CABAC video frame having 736 PSNR = 8.20 dB while the attacked SE-CABAC video frame 737 has PSNR = 7.72 dB as shown in Fig. 14(d). 738

D. Comparative Evaluation

For the sake of comparative evaluation of our scheme, we have compared it with six other recent techniques, which include scrambling [9], NAL unit encryption [14], MB header encryption [16], reversible ROI encryption [5], I frame encryption [2], and multiple Huffman table permutation [36]. These techniques are different from each other in several

Video SE Scheme	Format Compliant	Robust to Transcoding	Domain	Bitrate Increase	Compression Independent	Encryption Algorithm
Scrambling for privacy protection [9]	Yes	No	Transform	Yes	Yes	Pseudo random sign inversion
NAL unit encryption [14]	No	No	Bitstream	No	No	Stream cipher
MB header data encryption [16]	No	No	Transform	No	No	Stream cipher
Reversible encryption of ROI [5]	Yes	Yes	Pixel	Yes	Yes	Pseudo random pixel permutations
I frame encryption [2]	No	No	Bitstream	No	No	AES
Multiple Huffman tables [36]	No	No	Bitstream	Yes	No	Huffman table permutations
Our scheme	Yes	No	Bitstream ^a	No	No	AES (CFB mode)

TABLE XII COMPARISON OF PROPOSED SCHEME WITH OTHER RECENT METHODS

^aFor SE-CAVLC, bitstream is encrypted, while for SE-CABAC, binstrings are encrypted as explained in Section III-B.

aspects, e.g., working domain (pixel, transform, or bitstream) 746 747 and encryption algorithm (pseudo random permutation, stream cipher, or AES). The comparison has been made based on 748 several important characteristics of SE systems and is summa-749 rized in Table XII. Encryption algorithm used in SE scheme 750 is of vital importance for the security level. AES has the 751 highest security among all the known ciphers and our proposed 752 scheme utilizes AES. Among the recent techniques, AES has 753 been used only in [2] but their SE scheme is very naive and 754 encrypts only I frames. 755

SE should not result in increase of bitrate. For example, if 756 a video for 3G wireless connection has bitrate of 384 kb/s, its 757 encrypted version should have the same bitrate. Otherwise, it 758 cannot be played back on 3G connection. Our scheme keeps 759 the bitrate intact. It is in contrast to other schemes which either 760 allow increase in bitrate [5], [9], [36] or use stream cipher 761 for the sake of same bitrate [14], [16], thus compromising on 762 the security of the system. 763

Format compliance is another important aspect for en-764 crypted video data. Most of the schemes are not format 765 complaint and their encrypted bitstreams cannot be decoded 766 by reference decoder except SE schemes which work in pixel 767 domain [5] and transform domain [9]. 768

Our SE-CABAC scheme is the first format compliant tech-769 nique which is for arithmetic coding-based entropy coding 770 module, while keeping the bitrate unchanged. Recent encryp-771 tion techniques for arithmetic coding [11], [13] are not format 772 complaint and require lot of processing power. 773

To summarize, our proposed schemes (SE-CAVLC and 774 SE-CABAC) meet all the requirements of an integrated 775 compression-encryption system. Our proposed system is fully 776 compliant to H.264/AVC decoder, with no change in bitrate 777 and has the security of AES cipher. 778

779

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an efficient SE system has been proposed for 780 H.264/AVC video codec for CAVLC and CABAC. The SE 781 is performed in the entropy coding stage of the H.264/AVC 782 using the AES encryption algorithm in the CFB mode. In 783 this way, the proposed encryption method does not affect 784 the bitrate and the H.264/AVC bitstream compliance. The SE 785 is performed in CAVLC codewords and CABAC binstrings 786 such that they remain a valid codewords/binstrings thereafter 787 having exactly the same length. Experimental analysis has 788

been presented for I and P frames. The proposed scheme 789 can be used for B frames without any modification, since B 790 frames are also inter-frames but have bidirectional prediction. 791 The proposed method has the advantage of being suitable for 792 streaming over heterogeneous networks because of no change 793 in bitrate. The experiments have shown that we can achieve 794 the desired level of encryption, while maintaining the full 795 bitstream compliance, under a minimal set of computational 796 requirements. The presented security analysis confirmed a 797 sufficient security level for multimedia applications in the 798 context of SE. The proposed system can be extended for ROI-799 specific video protection [26] for video surveillance and can 800 be applied to medical video transmission [24]. 801

REFERENCES

- [1] Draft ITU-T Recommendation and Final Draft International Standard of Joint Video Specification (ITU-T Rec. H.264/ISO/IEC 14496-10 AVC), document JVT-G050, Joint Video Team (JVT), Mar. 2003
- [2] M. Abomhara, O. Zakaria, O. Khalifa, A. Zaiden, and B. Zaiden, "En-806 hancing selective encryption for H.264/AVC using advanced encryption standard," Int. J. Comput. Electric. Eng., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 223-229, 808 2010
- [3] M. Bellare, T. Ristenpart, P. Rogaway, and T. Stegers, "Formatpreserving encryption," in Proc. 16th Annu. Int. Workshop Selected Areas Cryptography, 2009, pp. 295-312.
- [4] G. Bjontegaard and K. Lillevold, Context-Adaptive VLC Coding of 813 Coefficients, document JVT-C028, Joint Video Team, Fairfax, VA, May 814 815
- [5] P. Carrillo, H. Kalva, and S. Magliveras, "Compression independent reversible encryption for privacy in video surveillance," EURASIP J. Inform. Security, vol. 2009, p. 13, 2009.
- [6] H. Cheng and X. Li, "Partial encryption of compressed images and videos," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 2439-2445, Aug. 2000.
- J. Daemen and V. Rijmen, "AES proposal: The Rijndael clock cipher," [7] Proton World Int., Katholieke Univ. Leuven, ESAT-COSIC, Leuven, Belgium, Tech. Rep., 2002
- M. V. Droogenbroeck and R. Benedett, "Techniques for a selective [8] encryption of uncompressed and compressed images," in Proc. ACIVS, Sep. 2002, pp. 90-97.
- [9] F. Dufaux and T. Ebrahimi, "Scrambling for privacy protection in video 828 surveillance systems," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 18, 829 no. 8, pp. 1168-1174, Aug. 2008. 830
- M. M. Fisch, H. Stgner, and A. Uhl, "Layered encryption techniques [10] 831 for DCT-coded visual data," in Proc. 12th EUSIPCO, Sep. 2004, pp. 832 821-824. 833
- [11] M. Grangetto, E. Magli, and G. Olmo, "Multimedia selective encryption 834 by means of randomized arithmetic coding," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, 835 vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 905-917, Oct. 2006. 836
- [12] G. Jakimoski and K. Subbalakshmi, "Cryptanalysis of some multimedia 837 encryption schemes," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 838 330–338, Apr. 2008. 839

802

803

804

805

807

809

810

811

812

816

821

826

827

822 823

824 AQ:6 825

- [13] W. Jiangtao, K. Hyungjin, and J. Villasenor, "Binary arithmetic coding 840 with key-based interval splitting," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 13, 841 no. 2, pp. 69-72, Feb. 2006. 842
- C. Li, \overline{X} . Zhou, and Y. Zong, "NAL level encryption for scalable video [14] 843 coding," in Proc. PCM, no. 5353. 2008, pp. 496-505. 844
- [15] S. Lian, Z. Liu, Z. Ren, and Z. Wang, "Selective video encryption based 845 on advanced video coding," in Proc. PCM, no. 3768. 2005, pp. 281-290. 846
- [16] S. Lian, Z. Liu, Z. Ren, and H. Wang, "Commutative encryption and 847 watermarking in video compression," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video 848 Technol., vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 774-778, Jun. 2007. 849
- E. Lin, A. Eskicioglu, R. Lagendijk, and E. Delp, "Advances in digital 850 [17] video content protection," Proc. IEEE, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 171-183, Jan. 851 2005 852
- [18] T. Lookabaugh and D. Sicker, "Selective encryption for consumer 853 applications," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 124-129, May 854 2004 855
- [19] R. Lukac and K. Plataniotis, "Bit-level based secret sharing for image 856 encryption," Patt. Recog., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 767-772, May 2005. 857
- [20] 858 D. Marpe, H. Schwarz, and T. Wiegand, "Context-based adaptive binary arithmetic coding in the H.264/AVC video compression standard," IEEE 859 Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 620-636, Jul. 860 861 2003.
- [21] K. Martin, R. Lukac, and K. Plataniotis, "Efficient encryption of wavelet-862 based coded color images," Patt. Recog., vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1111-1115, 863 864 Jul. 2005.
- [22] I. Moccagatta and K. Ratakonda, A Performance Comparison of CABAC 865 and VCL-Based Entropy Coders for SD and HD Sequences, document 866 JVT-E079r2, Joint Video Team (JVT), Oct. 2002. 867
- [23] S. Ou, H. Chung, and W. Sung, "Improving the compression and 868 encryption of images using FPGA-based cryptosystems," Multimedia 869 Tools Applicat., vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 5-22, Jan. 2006. 870
- [24] W. Puech and J. Rodrigues, "A new crypto-watermarking method for 871 medical images safe transfer," in Proc. 12th EUSIPCO, 2004, pp. 1481-872 1484 873
- [25] J.-M. Rodrigues, W. Puech, and A. Bors, "A selective encryption for 874 heterogenous color JPEG images based on VLC and AES stream cipher, 875 in Proc. Eur. Conf. CGIV, Jun. 2006, pp. 34-39. 876
- 877 [26] J.-M. Rodrigues, W. Puech, and A. Bors, "Selective encryption of human skin in JPEG images," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process, Oct. 878 2006, pp. 1981-1984. 879
- [27] A. Said, "Measuring the strength of partial encryption scheme," in Proc. 880 IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., vol. 2. Sep. 2005, pp. 1126-1129. 881
- B. Schneier, Applied Cryptography. New York: Wiley, 1995. 882
- Z. Shahid, M. Chaumont, and W. Puech, "Fast protection of H.264/AVC 883 [29] by selective encryption," in Proc. SinFra IPAL Symp., Feb. 2009, pp. 884 11 - 21. 885
- [30] Z. Shahid, M. Chaumont, and W. Puech, "Fast protection of H.264/AVC 886 by selective encryption of CABAC for I&P frames," in Proc. 17th 887 EUSIPCO, Aug. 2009, pp. 2201-2205 888
- D. R. Stinson, Cryptography: Theory and Practice (Discrete Mathemat-889 [31] ics and Its Applications). New York: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 890 Nov. 2005 891
- [32] L. Tang, "Methods for encrypting and decrypting MPEG video data 892 efficiently," in Proc. ACM Multimedia, vol. 3. 1996, pp. 219-229. 893
- [33] Z. Wang, A. Bovik, H. Sheikh, and E. Simoncelli, "Image quality 894 assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," IEEE Trans. 895 Image Process., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600-612, Apr. 2004. 896
- [34] J. Wen, M. Severa, W. Zeng, M. Luttrell, and W. Jin, "A format-897 compliant configurable encryption framework for access control of 898 video," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 899 545-557, Jun. 2002. 900
- [35] T. Wiegand, G. J. Sullivan, G. Bjontegaard, and A. Luthra, "Overview 901 of the H.264/AVC video coding standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 902 Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 560-576, Jul. 2003. 903
- 904 [36] C.-P. Wu and C.-C. Kuo, "Design of integrated multimedia compression and encryption systems," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 905 828-839, Oct. 2005. 906
- [37] K. Yabuta, H. Kitazawa, and T. Tanaka, "A new concept of security 907 camera monitoring with privacy protection by masking moving objects," 908 in Proc. Adv. Multimedia Inform. Process., vol. 1, no. 3767. 2005, pp. 909 831-842. 910
- W. Zeng and S. Lei, "Efficient frequency domain selective scrambling [38] 911 of digital video," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 118-129, 912 Mar. 2003. 913

[39] S. Ziauddin, I. U. Haq, and M. A. Khan, "Method and system for fast 914 context based adaptive binary arithmetic coding," U.S. Patent 7 221 296, 915 2007. 916



Zafar Shahid received the B.S. degree in electrical 917 engineering from the University of Engineering and 918 Technology, Lahore, Pakistan, and the M.S. degree 919 in image processing from the National Institute of Applied Sciences, Lyon, France, in 2001 and 921 2007, respectively. Currently, he is a Ph.D. student at the Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and Microelectronics, University of Montpellier II, Montpellier, France.

Before his M.S. degree, he was a Senior Embedded System Engineer with Streaming Networks, Santa

Clara, CA, where he was involved in the research and development in the domain of video processing. His current research interests include compression, watermarking, and encryption of scalable video.



Marc Chaumont was born in November 1976, in France. He received the Engineering Diploma degree from the National Institute of Applied Sciences (INSA), Rennes, France, in 1999, and the Ph.D. degree from the IRISA Rennes (INRIA, CNRS, University of Rennes 2, and INSA) in 2003, both in computer sciences.

His Ph.D. thesis was about video objects representations, with dynamic coding and scalability functionalities, in the video compression area. He has carried on research activities for one year at the

INRIA Rennes and for another year at the University Technological Institute, 942 Bayonne, France, as a Visiting Assistant Professor. During this last year, he 943 focused on face tracking using a deformable 3-D face model. Since September 944 2005, he is an Assistant Professor with the Laboratory of Computer Science, 945 Robotics, and Microelectronics, Montpellier, France, and the University of 946 Nîmes, Nîmes, France. His current research interests include watermarking, 947 steganography, video compression, and to a lesser extent segmentation and 948 tracking in videos. 949



William Puech (M'XX) received the Diploma degree in electrical engineering from the University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France, in 1991, and the Ph.D. degree in signal-image-speech from the Polytechnic National Institute, Grenoble, France, in 1997.

He started his research activities in image processing and computer vision. He was a Visiting Research Associate with the University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece. From 1997 to 2000, he was an Assistant Professor with the University of

Toulon, Toulon, France, with research interests including methods of active contours applied to medical images sequences. Between 2000 and 2008, he was an Associate Professor and since 2009, he has been a Full Professor of 963 image processing with the Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and 964 Microelectronics, University of Montpellier. He has developed applications 965 on medical images, cultural heritage, and video surveillance. He is the Head 966 of the ICAR Team (Image and Interaction), University of Montpellier. He has published more than 12 journal papers, 4 book chapters, and more than 968 65 conference papers. His current research interests include the areas of 969 protection of visual data (image, video, and 3-D object) for safe transfer by 970 combining watermarking, data hiding, compression, and cryptography. 971 972

Prof. Puech is a reviewer for more than 15 journals (IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MULTIMEDIA, Signal 973 Processing: Image Communication, Journal of Applied Signal Processing, Journal of Electronic Imaging, and others) and more than ten conference proceedings (IEEE ICIP, EUSIPCO, WIAMIS, IWDW, and others). He is currently a member of SPIE. Since 2005, he has been in the Technical Program 978 AQ:8 Committee of EUSIPCO, and since 2009, he has been in the Area Chair "Image and Multidimensional Signal Processing" of EUSIPCO.

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

967

920

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

974 975 976

977

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- 980
- ⁹⁸¹ AQ:1= Please provide the expanded form of NZs.
- AQ:2= Please provide the expanded form of AC and DC.
- AQ:3= Please provide the expanded form of SD.
- AQ:4= Please verify the volume no. in Ref. [5].
- AQ:5= Please provide the issue no. or month in Ref. [5].
- AQ:6= Please provide the technical report no. in Ref. [7].
- 987 AQ:7= Please provide the membership year of Puech.
- AQ:8= Please verify the sense of the sentence "...he has been in the Area Chair...."

989 END OF ALL QUERIES