



**HAL**  
open science

## Length-k-overlap-free Binary Infinite Words

Patrice Séébold

► **To cite this version:**

Patrice Séébold. Length-k-overlap-free Binary Infinite Words. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 2012, 116, pp.251-263. 10.3233/FI-2012-682 . lirmm-00803975

**HAL Id: lirmm-00803975**

**<https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-00803975v1>**

Submitted on 24 Mar 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words

Patrice Séébold \*

Univ. Montpellier 3 Paul Valéry, Montpellier, F-34199

LIRMM, CNRS, F-34392

Patrice.Seebold@lirmm.fr

---

**Abstract.** We study length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words, i.e., binary infinite words which can contain only overlaps  $xyxyx$  with  $|x| \leq k - 1$ . We prove that no such word can be generated by a morphism, except if  $k = 1$ . On the other hand, for every  $k \geq 2$ , there exist length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words which are not length- $(k - 1)$ -overlap-free. As an application, we prove that, for every non-negative integer  $n$ , there exist infinitely many length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite partial words with  $n$  holes.

**Keywords:** Repetition-freeness, length- $k$ -overlaps, partial words, Thue-Morse word, infinite words

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** 68R15

### 1. Introduction

Repetitions, i.e., consecutive occurrences of a given factor within a word, and repetition-freeness have been fundamental research subjects in combinatorics on words since the seminal papers of Thue [13, 14] in the beginning of the 20th century (see also [2]). In particular, Thue and Morse independently showed the existence of an overlap-free binary infinite word (the Thue-Morse word [8, 14]), i.e., an infinite word using only two different letters and which does not contain any factor  $xyxyx$  with  $x$  a non-empty word.

However overlap-freeness is a very restrictive condition, in the binary case, because every binary word of length at least 5 contains a square, and an overlap is just a square plus one single letter. So it

---

\*Dpt Mathématiques et Informatique Appliquées, Univ. Montpellier 3, Route de Mende, 34199 Montpellier Cedex 5, France; Laboratoire d'Informatique, de Robotique et de Micro-électronique de Montpellier, UMR 5506, CNRS, 161 rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier, France

is a natural question to know if the fact that words contain a restricted kind of overlaps gives interesting families of words (similar questions are dealt with, e.g., in [12], [9]).

In the present paper we study the case where  $x$  must be of length at least  $k$ . Words which do not contain any factor  $xyxyx$  with  $|x| \geq k$  are called *length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words*. Note that  $y$  may be empty, thus a length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word is also length- $k$ -cube-free.

The paper is organized as follows. After general definitions and notations given in Section 2, the notion of length- $k$ -overlap-freeness is introduced in Section 3 where it is proved that no length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word can be generated by a morphism, except if  $k = 1$ . In Section 4 we introduce the concept of 0-limited square property (a word has this property if the squares it contains have a particular form) to prove that, for every integer  $k$ , there exist length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words that are not length- $(k - 1)$ -overlap-free. In Section 5 we consider the particular case of length- $k$ -overlap-free words which do not contain cubes of some letters. Section 6 is then dedicated to an application to partial words<sup>1</sup>.

## 2. Preliminaries

Generalities on combinatorics on words can be found, e.g., in [7].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite alphabet. The elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are called *letters*. A word  $w = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is a mapping  $w: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $w(i) = a_i$ . The length of a word  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is the empty word of length zero. For a word  $w$  and a letter  $a$ ,  $|w|_a$  denotes the number of occurrences of the letter  $a$  in the word  $w$ . By a (right) infinite word  $w = a_1a_2a_3 \cdots$  we mean a mapping  $w$  from the positive integers  $\mathbb{N}_+$  to the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $w(i) = a_i$ . The set of all finite words is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^*$ , infinite words are denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ . A finite word  $v$  is a *factor* of  $w \in \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$  if  $w = xvy$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$ . Words  $xv$  and  $vy$  are respectively called a *prefix* and a *suffix* of  $w$ .

A morphism on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is a mapping  $f: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  satisfying  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . The morphism  $f$  is *erasing* if there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(a) = \varepsilon$ . Note that  $f$  is completely defined by the values  $f(a)$  for every letter  $a$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We can also apply morphisms to infinite words: if  $w = c_0c_1c_2 \dots$  is an infinite word then we define  $f(w) = f(c_0)f(c_1)f(c_2) \dots$ .

A morphism is called *prolongable on a letter  $a$*  if  $f(a) = aw$  for some word  $w \in \mathcal{A}^+$  such that  $f^n(w) \neq \varepsilon$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . This implies that  $f^n(a)$  is a prefix of  $f^{n+1}(a)$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$  and  $a$  is a *growing letter for  $f$* , that is,  $|f^n(a)| < |f^{n+1}(a)|$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, the sequence  $(f^n(a))_{n \geq 0}$  converges to the unique infinite word generated by  $f$  from the letter  $a$ ,

$$f^\omega(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(a) = awf(w)f^2(w) \cdots,$$

which is a fixed point of  $f$ .

A morphism  $f: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  *generates an infinite word  $w$  from the letter  $a \in \mathcal{A}$*  if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the morphism  $f^p$  is prolongable on  $a$ . We say that the morphism  $f$  *generates an infinite word* if it generates an infinite word from at least one letter.

<sup>1</sup>A preliminary version of this paper was presented at JORCAD'08 [11]. Some results about the case  $k = 2$  appeared in [6]. But in these two papers, the 0-limited square property was replaced by the restricted square property, a much more restrictive condition.

A  $k$ th power of a word  $u \neq \varepsilon$  is the word  $u^k$  prefix of length  $k \cdot |u|$  of  $u^\omega$ , where  $u^\omega$  denotes the infinite catenation of the word  $u$ , and  $k$  is a rational number such that  $k \cdot |u|$  is an integer. A word  $w$  is called  $k$ -free if there does not exist a word  $x$  such that  $x^k$  is a factor of  $w$ . If  $k = 2$  or  $k = 3$ , then we talk about *square-free* or *cube-free* words, respectively. An *overlap* is a word of the form  $xyxyx$  where  $x \in \mathcal{A}^+$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . A word is called *overlap-free* if it does not contain overlaps. Therefore, it can contain squares but it cannot contain any longer repetitions such as overlaps or cubes. For example, over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  the word  $abbabaa$  is overlap-free but it contains squares  $bb$ ,  $aa$ , and  $baba$ . It is easy to verify that there does not exist a square-free infinite word over a binary alphabet, but as we recall in the next section there exist overlap-free binary infinite words.

Throughout this paper, we shall refer to the alphabets  $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\}$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

### 3. Length- $k$ -overlap-free binary words

In [14], Thue introduced the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \mathcal{A}^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \\ a &\mapsto ab \\ b &\mapsto ba \end{aligned}$$

The Thue-Morse word is the overlap-free binary infinite word

$$t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^n(a) = abbabaabbaababbaba \dots$$

generated by  $\mu$  from the letter  $a$  (see, e.g., [1] for other definitions and properties, see also [2] for a translation of the contribution of Thue to the combinatorics on words). Another overlap-free binary infinite word is  $t'$ , the word generated by the morphism  $\mu$  from the letter  $b$ . Note that the word  $t'$  can be obtained from the word  $t$  by exchanging all the  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's.

We generalize the notion of overlap with the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** A *length- $k$ -overlap* is a word of the form  $xyxyx$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are two words with  $|x| = k$ . A word is *length- $k$ -overlap-free*<sup>2</sup> if it does not contain length- $k$ -overlaps.

For example, the word  $baabaab$  is not overlap-free (take  $x = b$ ,  $y = aa$ ) but it is length-2-overlap-free while the word  $baabaaba$  is not (take  $x = ba$ ,  $y = a$ ).

It is important to note that a length- $k$ -overlap-free word can contain  $a^{3k-1}$  for each letter  $a$ . More generally, a length- $k$ -overlap-free word can contain  $\ell$ -powers  $u^\ell$  where the value of  $\ell$ , which can be greater than  $k$ , depends on the word  $u$ . For example, the word  $u = abababab$ , which is a 5-power, is length-3-overlap-free. In contrast, the word  $v = abaabaaba$ , which is only a 3-power and whose length is smaller than  $|u|$ , is a length-3-overlap thus not being length-3-overlap-free! This peculiarity is one reason for restricting the definition, for example to the case of cube-free words. However, such a

<sup>2</sup>While it is not exactly the same, this notion of length- $k$ -overlap-freeness resembles that of  $k$ -bounded overlaps introduced by Thue in [14]. Note also that length- $k$ -overlap-freeness is inappropriately called  $k$ -overlap-freeness in [6] and [11].

restriction seems to be very drastic and it is generally enough to avoid the powers of letters. In Section 5, we study the change in our results when restricting to the case of words without  $a^3$  and we will see that the results are not very different.

By definition, it is evident that every length- $k$ -overlap-free word is also length- $k'$ -overlap-free for  $k' \geq k$ . Note that a word is length-1-overlap-free if and only if it is overlap-free. So, an overlap-free infinite word is a length- $k$ -overlap-free infinite word for every positive integer  $k$ .

It is a well-known important property that  $t$  and  $t'$  are the only overlap-free binary infinite words which are generated by morphisms (see, e.g., [5], [10]). Since length- $k$ -overlap-freeness does not imply length- $\ell$ -overlap-freeness for  $\ell < k$ , length- $k$ -overlap-freeness is weaker than overlap-freeness when  $k \geq 2$ . Therefore, we might suppose that there exist binary infinite words, generated by morphisms, that are length- $k$ -overlap-free for some  $k \geq 2$  but that are not overlap-free (therefore different from  $t$  and  $t'$ ). In fact, rather surprisingly, for that property, length- $k$ -overlap-freeness does not give more than only length-1-overlap-freeness.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and let  $w$  be a length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word. Then  $w$  is generated by a morphism if and only if  $w = t$  or  $w = t'$ .

**Proof:**

The only if part is obvious since  $t = \mu^\omega(a)$  and  $t' = \mu^\omega(b)$  are length- $k$ -overlap-free for every positive integer  $k$ .

Conversely, as we have already seen, if an overlap-free ( $k = 1$ ) binary infinite word  $w$  is generated by a morphism then  $w = t$  or  $w = t'$ . Thus it remains to prove that an infinite word which contains an overlap but is length- $k$ -overlap-free for some integer  $k \geq 2$  cannot be generated by a morphism.

Assume, contrary to what we want to prove, that an infinite word  $w$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  which contains an overlap but is length- $k$ -overlap-free for some integer  $k \geq 2$ , is generated by a morphism  $f$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $r$  such that  $f^r$  is prolongable on the first letter of  $w$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that this first letter is the letter  $a$ . In particular,  $w$  begins with  $(f^r)^n(a)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, by definition  $a$  is a growing letter for  $f^r$ , which implies that there exists a positive integer  $N_0$  such that  $|f^{rN_0}(a)| \geq k$ .

If  $f(b) = \varepsilon$  or if  $|f(a)|_b = 0$  (which means  $f(a) = a^p$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , because  $a$  is a growing letter for  $f$ ), then  $w$  is the periodic word  $(f(a))^\omega$  which contains arbitrarily large powers of  $f(a)$ .

If  $f(b) = b^p$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , or if  $f^r(a)$  ends with  $b$  and  $f(b) = b$  (which implies that  $f^{rn}(a)$  ends with  $b^n$  for every integer  $n$ ), then  $w$  contains arbitrarily large powers of  $b$ .

If  $f(a)$  ends with  $a$  and begins with  $ab^p a$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $f(b) = b$ , then  $w$ , which begins with  $(f^r)^2(a)$ , contains a factor  $aua$  with  $u = b^p$ .

If  $w$  contains an overlap  $aua$  as a factor, then  $f^{rN_0}(aua)$  is also a factor of  $w$ .

The only remaining case is  $w$  contains an overlap  $bubub$  as a factor and  $f(b) = xay$  for some words  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Then  $f^{rN_0}(bubub)$  contains the factor  $f^{rN_0}(a)f^{rN_0}(yux)f^{rN_0}(a)f^{rN_0}(yux)f^{rN_0}(a)$ .

Consequently, since  $f^{rN_0}(w) = w$  (because  $w = (f^r)^\omega(a)$ ), in all cases  $w$  contains a length- $k$ -overlap, which contradicts with the hypothesis. ■

*Remark.* Although the case of alphabets with more than two letters is out of the scope of the present paper, one can notice that Theorem 3.2 is no more true if we consider larger alphabets. Indeed, over a

3-letter alphabet it is possible, for every integer  $k \geq 2$ , to find length- $k$ -overlap-free words that are not length- $(k - 1)$ -overlap-free and that are generated by morphisms.

For example, let us consider the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_c : (\mathcal{A} \cup \{c\})^* &\rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \{c\})^* \\ a &\mapsto ac^{3(k-1)}b \\ b &\mapsto ba \\ c &\mapsto c \end{aligned}$$

This morphism is obtained from the morphism  $\mu$  by adding  $c^{3(k-1)}$  at the middle of  $\mu(a)$ . Since  $\mu$  is an overlap-free morphism, the only overlaps in the word  $\mu_c^\omega(a)$  are powers of the letter  $c$ . Therefore the word  $\mu_c^\omega(a)$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free but not length- $(k - 1)$ -overlap-free.

#### 4. The 0-limited square property

We have seen with Theorem 3.2 that the Thue-Morse words  $t$  and  $t'$  are the only length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words generated by morphisms, whatever be the value of  $k$ . So it is natural to ask about the existence of length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words with  $k \geq 2$  which are not length- $\ell$ -overlap-free for  $\ell < k$ . The answer is given in the present section where a family of such words is characterized.

Before this, we have to recall some works of Thue.

In order to prove the existence of infinite cube-free words over a two-letter alphabet from square-free words over three letters, Thue used in [13] the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathcal{B}^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \\ 0 &\mapsto a \\ 1 &\mapsto ab \\ 2 &\mapsto abb \end{aligned}$$

Six years later he proved the following result.

**Proposition 4.1.** [14] [2] Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$  and  $v \in \mathcal{B}^\omega$  be such that  $\delta(v) = u$ . The word  $u$  is overlap-free if and only if the word  $v$  is square-free and does not contain 010 nor 212 as a factor. ■

Thue also remarked that if the word  $\delta(w)$  is not overlap-free for a square-free word  $w$  (thus containing 010 or 212) then every overlap  $xyxyx$  in  $\delta(w)$  is such that  $x$  is a single letter. Therefore, it suffices to prove the existence of a square-free ternary infinite word containing either 010 or 212 over  $\mathcal{B}$  to obtain a length-2-overlap-free binary infinite word that is not overlap-free. Here again such a word is found in [14].

Let  $\tau$  be the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \mathcal{B}^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}^* \\ 0 &\mapsto 01201 \\ 1 &\mapsto 020121 \\ 2 &\mapsto 0212021 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** [14] The word  $\tau^\omega(0)$  is square-free and it contains 212 as a factor. ■

Now, to prove the existence, for every integer  $k \geq 2$ , of length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words that are not length- $(k - 1)$ -overlap-free, we generalize Thue's idea with the following notion.

**Definition 4.3.** An infinite word  $v$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  has the 0-limited square property if

- the word  $v$  does not contain 00 as a factor,
- whenever  $v$  contains a non-empty square  $rr$  as a factor, then, in  $v$ , the factor  $rr$  is preceded (if it is not a prefix of  $v$ ) and followed by the letter 0.

Note that if a word  $v \in \mathcal{B}^\omega$  has the 0-limited square property then  $v$  is overlap-free and if  $v$  contains a non-empty square  $rr$  as a factor, the word  $r$  does not begin nor end with the letter 0.

The following corollary is straightforward from Proposition 4.2 because each square-free word obviously has the 0-limited square property.

**Corollary 4.4.** The word  $\tau^\omega(0)$  has the 0-limited square property. ■

Now, let  $k, p$  be two integers with  $k \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq p \leq k - 1$ . We associate to  $(k, p)$  the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k,p} : \mathcal{B}^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \\ 0 &\mapsto a^{k-p} \\ 1 &\mapsto a^{k-p}b^p \\ 2 &\mapsto a^{k-p}b^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

Of course,  $\delta_{2,1} = \delta$  thus our affirming that this is a generalization of Thue's idea.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$  and  $v \in \mathcal{B}^\omega$  be such that  $\delta_{k,p}(v) = u$ . If the word  $v$  has the 0-limited square property then the word  $u$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free.

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $u$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free. Since  $u = \delta_{k,p}(v)$ , the following cases are possible:

- $u$  contains a factor  $a^k x a^k x a^k$

If  $|x|_b = 0$ , or if  $x = zx'$  with  $|z| \geq k - 2p + 1$  and  $|z|_b = 0$  then  $u$  contains  $a^{k-p} a^{k-p} a$  which means that  $v$  contains 00.

Henceforth,  $u$  contains a factor  $a^n a^k a^m x' a^k a^m x' a^k$  with  $n + m + k = 2(k - p)$ , i.e.,  $k - p = n + m + p$ , and  $x'$  begins with the letter  $b$ . Therefore,  $u$  contains a factor  $a^{n+m+p} a^{k-p} x' a^{p+m} a^{k-p} x' a^{p+m} a^{p+n}$ , which implies that  $v$  contains a factor  $0yy$  where  $y$  is such that  $\delta_{k,p}(y) = a^{k-p} x' a^{p+m}$  (in particular,  $y \neq \varepsilon$ ). But in this case,  $y$  necessarily ends with 0 because  $p + m \geq p \geq 1$ . Therefore, either  $yy$  is followed by the letter 0 implying that  $v$  contains 00 as a factor, or  $yy$  is not followed by the letter 0.

- $u$  contains a factor  $a^n b^{p+1} a^m x a^n b^{p+1} a^m x a^n b^{p+1} a^m$  with  $n + m = k - p - 1$  (this includes the case where  $u$  contains  $b^k x b^k x b^k$  when  $p = k - 1$ )

In this case,  $v$  contains a factor  $2y2y2$  with  $\delta_{k,p}(y2) = a^m x a^n b^{p+1}$ .

- $u$  contains a factor  $a^n b^p a^m x a^n b^p a^m x a^n b^p a^m$  with  $n + m = k - p$

Here, two cases are possible.

1.  $m \neq 0$

Then  $u$  contains a factor  $b^p a^m x a^n b^p a^m x a^n b^p a^{k-p}$ , which implies that  $v$  contains a square  $yy$ , preceded by 1 or 2, with  $\delta_{k,p}(y) = a^m x a^n b^p$ .

2.  $m = 0$  (then  $n = k - p$ )

Then  $u$  contains a factor  $a^{k-p} b^p x a^{k-p} b^p x a^{k-p} b^p$ , which implies that  $v$  contains a square  $yy$ , followed by 1 or 2, with  $\delta_{k,p}(y) = a^{k-p} b^p x$ .

- $u$  contains a factor  $b^n a^{k-p} b^m x b^n a^{k-p} b^m x b^n a^{k-p} b^m$  with  $n + m = p$

Here again, two cases are possible.

1.  $m \neq 0$

Then  $u$  contains a factor  $a^{k-p} b^m x b^n a^{k-p} b^m x b^n a^{k-p} b^p$ , which implies that  $v$  contains a square  $yy$ , followed by 1 or 2, with  $\delta_{k,p}(y) = a^{k-p} b^m x b^n$ .

2.  $m = 0$  (then  $n = p$ )

Then  $u$  contains a factor  $b^p a^{k-p} x b^p a^{k-p} x b^p a^{k-p}$ , which implies that  $v$  contains a square  $yy$ , preceded by 1 or 2, with  $\delta_{k,p}(y) = a^{k-p} x b^p$ .

In all the cases  $v$  has not the 0-limited square property. ■

The conditions given in Definition 4.3 are not sufficient to guarantee that the word  $v$  has the 0-limited square property when  $u = \delta_{k,p}(v)$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free. For example, the word  $v = 0\tau^\omega(0)$  contains only one square, the factor 00 which  $v$  begins with. But, since the word  $\tau^\omega(0)$  has the 0-limited square property, the word  $\delta_{k,p}(\tau^\omega(0))$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free which implies that  $\delta_{k,p}(v)$  is also length- $k$ -overlap-free (otherwise,  $\delta_{k,p}(v)$  begins with a length- $k$ -overlap whose prefix is  $a^{k-p} a^{k-p} a^{k-p}$ , implying that  $\delta_{k,p}(\tau^\omega(0))$  contains an occurrence of this factor  $a^{k-p} a^{k-p} a^{k-p}$  from which  $\tau^\omega(0)$  contains 00, a contradiction). However, it is possible to obtain an equivalence by giving conditions on the words  $u$  and  $v$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $u$ , an infinite word over  $\mathcal{A}$ , which does not contain the factor  $a^{2(k-p)+1}$ , and  $v$ , an infinite word over  $\mathcal{B}$  which does not begin with a square, be such that  $\delta_{k,p}(v) = u$ . The word  $u$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free if and only if the word  $v$  has the 0-limited square property.

**Proof:**

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be as in the statement. It is of course equivalent that  $u$  does not contain the factor  $a^{2(k-p)+1}$  and  $v$  does not contain the factor 00, thus our assuming that 00 is not a factor of  $v$ .

From Theorem 4.5, it suffices to prove the necessary condition.

Let  $rr$  be a factor of  $v$  with  $r \neq \varepsilon$ . According to the hypothesis,  $rr$  is not at the beginning of  $v$  which means that in  $v$ ,  $rr$  is preceded (and followed) by at least one letter.

- If  $r$  begins with the letter 0 then, since 00 is not a factor of  $v$ ,  $r$  does not end with 0. Thus  $\delta_{k,p}(r) = a^{k-p} s b^p$ . For the same reason,  $rr$  is preceded by the letter 1 or by the letter 2, so  $\delta_{k,p}(rr)$



is preceded by  $b^p$ . Whatever be the letter following  $rr$ ,  $\delta_{k,p}(rr)$  is followed by  $a^{k-p}$ . Consequently,  $u$  contains the factor  $b^p \delta_{k,p}(rr) a^{k-p} = b^p a^{k-p} s b^p a^{k-p} s b^p a^{k-p}$ , a length- $k$ -overlap. This implies that  $u$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.

- If  $r$  ends with the letter 0 then, since  $v$  does not contain 00 as a factor,  $r$  does not begin with 0, which implies that  $\delta_{k,p}(r)$  begins with  $a^{k-p} b^p$ . Moreover, in  $v$ , the factor  $rr$  is followed either by 1 or by 2. Then  $u$  contains the factor  $\delta_{k,p}(rr) a^{k-p} b^p = a^{k-p} b^p s a^{k-p} b^p s a^{k-p} b^p$ , a length- $k$ -overlap. This implies that  $u$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.
- Now if  $r$  begins with 1 or 2, and  $rr$  is not followed by 0 then  $\delta_{k,p}(r)$  begins with  $a^{k-p} b^p$  and  $\delta_{k,p}(rr)$  is followed by  $a^{k-p} b^p$ , which means that  $u$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.
- Finally, if  $r$  ends with 1 or 2, and  $rr$  is not preceded by 0 then  $\delta_{k,p}(r)$  begins with  $a^{k-p}$  and ends with  $b^p$ , and  $\delta_{k,p}(rr)$  is preceded by  $b^p$ . This implies that, since  $\delta_{k,p}(rr)$  is followed by  $a^{k-p}$ ,  $u$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.

Consequently, if  $u$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free then  $v$  has the 0-limited square property (remark that here the word  $u$  is also  $[2(k-p)+1]$ -free). ■

Theorem 4.5 gives the first part of the answer to the question we asked at the beginning of this section by showing the existence of length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words for every integer  $k \geq 2$ . It remains to prove that some words  $u$  satisfying Theorem 4.5 can effectively be constructed containing length- $(k-1)$ -overlaps. This is done by using again Thue's morphism  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** For every integer  $k \geq 2$ , the word  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free but not length- $(k-1)$ -overlap-free.

**Proof:**

Since from Corollary 4.4 the word  $\tau^\omega(0)$  has the 0-limited square property, the word  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free from Theorem 4.5.

Now, we know from Proposition 4.2 that  $\tau^\omega(0)$  contains 212 as a factor. Therefore,  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$  contains the factor  $\delta_{k,k-1}(212) = ab^k ab^{k-1} ab^k$ , which implies that the length- $(k-1)$ -overlap  $b^{k-1} ab^{k-1} ab^{k-1}$  is a factor of  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$ . ■

## 5. Strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free binary words

A length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word must contain occurrences of  $a^2$  or  $b^2$  (or both). For if it were not the case the word would be  $(ab)^\omega$  or  $(ba)^\omega$  which obviously contains length- $k$ -overlaps for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As mentioned after Definition 3.1, the particular case of length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite words without  $x^3$  for some letter  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is of interest. We define such words as follows.

**Definition 5.1.** A word over  $\mathcal{A}$  is  *$x$ -strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free* if it is length- $k$ -overlap-free and if it does not contain  $x^3$ , where  $x$  is a letter. A word is *strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free* if it is  $x$ -strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free for every letter  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

For example, the word  $a^5$  is length-2-overlap-free; it is  $b$ -strongly length-2-overlap-free, but it is not strongly length-2-overlap-free because it contains  $a^3$  thus being not  $a$ -strongly length-2-overlap-free.

Notice that there exists effectively strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free words that are not length- $(k-1)$ -overlap-free: for example, from Proposition 4.2, the word  $\delta(\tau^\omega(0))$  is strongly length-2-overlap-free without being overlap-free.

Since every strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word is length- $k$ -overlap-free and since the Thue-Morse words  $t$  and  $t'$  are cube-free, Theorem 3.2 remains true in the present case.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and let  $w$  be a strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word. Then  $w$  is generated by a morphism if and only if  $w = t$  or  $w = t'$ . ■

It is obvious that  $\mu(u)$  does not contain neither  $a^3$  nor  $b^3$ , whatever be the value of  $u$ . This implies that if  $\mu(u)$  is a length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite word then it is indeed strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free. Let us recall the two lemmas used by Thue to prove that the Thue-Morse word  $t$  is overlap-free.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\Sigma = \{ab, ba\}$ . If  $u \in \Sigma^*$  then  $aua \notin \Sigma^*$  and  $bub \notin \Sigma^*$ . ■

**Lemma 5.4.** A word  $u \in \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$  is overlap-free if and only if the word  $\mu(u)$  is overlap-free. ■

The following result is an extension of Lemma 5.4.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $w \in \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . The word  $w$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free if and only if the word  $\mu(w)$  is strongly length- $(2k-1)$ -overlap-free.

**Proof:**

If  $k = 1$ , the equivalence is true from Lemma 5.4, thus our assuming that  $k \geq 2$ .

If the word  $w$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free then it contains a factor  $XYXYX$  with  $|X| = k$ . This implies that  $\mu(w)$ , which contains the factor  $\mu(X)\mu(Y)\mu(X)\mu(Y)\mu(X)$  with  $|\mu(X)| = 2k$ , is not length- $(2k-1)$ -overlap-free.

Conversely, if the word  $\mu(w)$  is not length- $(2k-1)$ -overlap-free then it contains a factor  $XxYXxYXx$  where  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $|X| = 2k-2$ , and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $|Y|$  is even then  $Y \neq \varepsilon$ . For if not  $\mu(w)$  would contain  $XxXxXx$  which implies that both  $X$  and  $xXx$  are in  $\Sigma^*$ , a contradiction with Lemma 5.3. So, let  $Z \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $y, z \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $Y = Zyz$ . Then  $XxYXxYXx = XxZyzXxZyzXx$  which implies that both  $X$ ,  $xZy$ ,  $yz$ ,  $zXx$ , and  $Z$  are elements of  $\Sigma^*$ .

From Lemma 5.3,  $X \in \Sigma^*$  and  $zXx \in \Sigma^*$  imply  $x \neq z$ , and  $Z \in \Sigma^*$  and  $xZy \in \Sigma^*$  imply  $x \neq y$ . Therefore,  $y = z$  which contradicts with  $yz \in \Sigma^*$ .

Consequently,  $|Y|$  is odd so  $|XxYXxYXx|$  is odd, and two cases are possible depending on whether, in  $\mu(w)$ , the factor  $XxYXxYXx$  appears at an even index or at an odd index.

- $\mu(w) = \mu(w_1)XxYXxYXx\mu(w_2)$  for a letter  $y$ .

In this case, by definition of  $\mu$ , the letter  $y$  is also the first letter of  $Y$ . This implies that  $XxYXxYXx = \mu(ZY'ZY'Z)$  with  $\mu(Z) = XxY$ . Since  $|XxY| = 2k$ ,  $|Z| = k$  and the word  $w$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.

- $\mu(w) = \mu(w_1)yXxYXxYXx\mu(w_2)$  for a letter  $y$ .

In this case, since  $k \geq 2$  one has  $X \neq \varepsilon$ , so let  $X = zX'$ ,  $z \in A$ ,  $X' \in A^+$ . Then  $yXxYXxYXx = yzX'xYzX'xYzX'x$ , and by definition of  $\mu$ , the letter  $y$  is also the last letter of  $Y$ . This implies that  $yzX'xYzX'xYzX'x = \mu(ZY'ZY'Z)$  with  $\mu(Z) = yzX'x$ . Since  $|yzX'x| = 2k$ ,  $|Z| = k$  and the word  $w$  is not length- $k$ -overlap-free.

■

Now, we consider the mapping  $\delta_{k,k-1}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) already used above. Since  $\delta_{k,k-1}$  is defined by  $\delta_{k,k-1}(0) = a$ ,  $\delta_{k,k-1}(1) = ab^{k-1}$ ,  $\delta_{k,k-1}(2) = ab^k$ , it is straightforward that if  $u \in \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$  is such that  $u = \delta_{k,k-1}(v)$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{B}^* \cup \mathcal{B}^\omega$  then  $u$  contains  $a^3$  if and only if  $v$  contains  $00$ . Consequently, from Theorem 4.5, if  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$  and  $v \in \mathcal{B}^\omega$  are such that  $u = \delta_{k,k-1}(v)$  then  $u$  is  $a$ -strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free whenever  $v$  has the 0-limited square property. We have seen above that if  $k = 2$ , i.e., in the case of Thue's original mapping  $\delta$ , the word  $u$  is strongly length-2-overlap-free.

Now we notice that, in the case of  $\delta_{k,k-1}$ , the statement of Corollary 4.6 can be simplified because  $2(k-p) + 1 = 3$  when  $p = k - 1$ .

**Corollary 5.6.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ , and  $v$ , an infinite word over  $\mathcal{B}$  which does not begin with a square, be such that  $\delta_{k,k-1}(v) = u$ . The word  $u$  is  $a$ -strongly length- $k$ -overlap-free if and only if the word  $v$  has the 0-limited square property. ■

In this section we have seen that we obtain results similar to those given in Section 4 when adding the condition that words over  $\mathcal{A}$  do not contain cubes of some single letter, in particular when using the mapping  $\delta_{k,k-1}$ . In the next section we give another interesting use of this mapping.

## 6. Length- $k$ -overlap-free binary partial words

A *partial word*  $u$  of length  $n$  over an alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is a partial function  $u: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . This means that in some positions the word  $u$  contains *holes*, i.e., “do not know”-letters. The holes are represented by  $\diamond$ , a symbol that does not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Classical words (called *full* words) are only partial words without holes. Partial words were first introduced by Berstel and Boasson [3] (see the survey [4], and the references therein).

Similarly to finite words, we define infinite partial words to be partial functions from  $\mathbb{N}_+$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\diamond^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\diamond^\omega$  the sets of finite and infinite partial words, respectively.

A partial word  $u \in \mathcal{A}_\diamond^*$  is a *factor* of a partial word  $v \in \mathcal{A}_\diamond^* \cup \mathcal{A}_\diamond^\omega$  if there exist words  $x, u' \in \mathcal{A}_\diamond^*$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}_\diamond^* \cup \mathcal{A}_\diamond^\omega$  such that  $v = xu'y$  with  $u'(i) = u(i)$  whenever neither  $u(i)$  nor  $u'(i)$  is a hole  $\diamond$ . Prefixes and suffixes are defined in the same way.

For example, let  $u = ab\diamond bba\diamond a$ . The length of  $u$  is  $|u| = 8$ , and  $u$  contains two holes in positions 3 and 7. Let  $v = aa\diamond bb\diamond ba\diamond abbaa\diamond$ . The word  $v$  contains the word  $u$  as a factor in positions 3 and 8. The word  $u$  is a suffix of the word  $v$ .

Note that a partial word is a factor of all the (full) words of the same length in which each  $\diamond$  is replaced by any letter of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We call these (full) words the *completions* of the partial word. In the previous example, if  $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\}$ , the partial word  $u$  has four completions:  $ababbaaa$ ,  $ababbaba$ ,  $abbbbbaaa$ , and  $abbbbaba$ .

Let  $k$  be a rational number. A partial word  $u$  is  $k$ -free if all its completions are  $k$ -free. Overlaps, length- $k$ -overlaps, overlap-freeness, and length- $k$ -overlap-freeness of partial words are defined in the same manner.

In [6] it is proved that overlap-free binary infinite partial words cannot contain more than one hole, when length-2-overlap-free binary infinite partial words can contain infinitely many holes. Here we complete this last result by the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** For every integer  $k \geq 2$  and for every non-negative integer  $n$ , there exist infinitely many length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite partial words containing  $n$  holes, and being not  $(k-1)$ -overlap-free.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 is constructive and needs some preliminaries.

The word  $\tau^\omega(0)$  contains an infinite number of occurrences of  $\tau(01)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^\omega(0) &= \tau(01)u_1\tau(01)u_2 \cdots u_\ell\tau(01)u_{\ell+1} \cdots, u_i \in \mathcal{B}^+ \\ &= \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau(01)u_\ell \\ &= \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 01201020121u_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

For every integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $Y_n$  be the word obtained from  $\tau^\omega(0)$  by replacing 102 by 22 in  $n$  (not necessarily consecutive) occurrences of  $\tau(01)$ . Of course  $Y_0 = \tau^\omega(0)$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the word  $Y_n$  has the 0-limited square property.

**Proof:**

In [6], it is proved that the occurrences of 22 are the only squares in the word  $Y_n$ . Consequently,  $Y_n$  does not contain 00 as a factor. Moreover,  $Y_n$  contains no squares but those 22 obtained from  $\tau^\omega(0)$  by replacing the factor 102 by 22 in  $n$  occurrences of  $\tau(01)$ , that is in  $n$  factors 01020. This implies that each of these 22 is preceded and followed by the letter 0. Therefore, since the word  $Y_n$  fulfills the conditions of Definition 4.3 it has the 0-limited square property. ■

**Corollary 6.3.** For every integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ , and for every integer  $n \geq 0$ , the word  $\delta_{k,p}(Y_n)$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free.

**Proof:**

By Proposition 6.2, the word  $Y_n$  has the 0-limited square property which implies, by Theorem 4.5, that  $\delta_{k,p}(Y_n)$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free. ■

In particular, for every integer  $n \geq 0$ , the words  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$  and  $\delta_{k,k-1}(Y_n)$  are length- $k$ -overlap-free.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1:**

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k,k-1}(\tau(01)) &= \delta_{k,k-1}(0120)\delta_{k,k-1}(102)\delta_{k,k-1}(0121) \\ &= \delta_{k,k-1}(0120)ab^{k-1}\underline{a}ab^k\delta_{k,k-1}(0121) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k,k-1}(0120\ 22\ 0121) &= \delta_{k,k-1}(0120)\delta_{k,k-1}(22)\delta_{k,k-1}(0121) \\ &= \delta_{k,k-1}(0120)ab^{k-1}\underline{b}ab^k\delta_{k,k-1}(0121) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let us define  $Z_n$  to be the word obtained from  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau^\omega(0))$  by replacing  $n$  (not necessarily consecutive) occurrences of  $\delta_{k,k-1}(\tau(01))$  by  $\delta_{k,k-1}(0120)ab^{k-1} \diamond ab^k \delta_{k,k-1}(0121)$ .

From Corollary 6.3, and equations (1) and (2) above, for every integer  $n \geq 0$ , the word  $Z_n$  is length- $k$ -overlap-free. Moreover, from Proposition 4.7,  $Z_n$  is not length- $(k-1)$ -overlap-free. ■

**Corollary 6.4.** For every integer  $k \geq 2$ , there exist infinitely many length- $k$ -overlap-free binary infinite partial words which are not length- $(k-1)$ -overlap-free and which contain infinitely many holes.

**Proof:**

Considering the words  $Z_n$  defined in the proof of Theorem 6.1, and making  $n$  tend to infinity, we deduce that the word  $\prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,k-1}(0120)ab^{k-1} \diamond ab^k \delta_{k,k-1}(0121)u_\ell$  has the required property.

Now, we can choose to leave out a finite number of substitutions of the factor 102 by 22. Since the number of such choices is infinite, the result follows. ■

## Acknowledgments

I am greatly indebted to Professor Gwénaél Richomme whose comments and suggestions on a preliminary version of this paper were very useful. I also thank the referees for helpful remarks.

## References

- [1] J.-P. ALLOUCHE, J. SHALLIT, The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, in: C. Ding, T. Helleseth, H. Niederreiter (Eds.), *Sequences and Their Applications*, Proceedings of SETA'98, Springer-Verlag (1999), 1–16.
- [2] J. BERSTEL, Axel Thue's work on repetitions in words, in: Leroux, Reutenauer (eds), *Séries formelles et combinatoire algébrique*, Publications du LaCIM, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal (1992) 65–80. *See also* Axel Thue's papers on repetitions in words: a translation, *Publications du LaCIM*, Département de mathématiques et d'informatique, Université du Québec à Montréal **20** (1995), 85 pages.
- [3] J. BERSTEL, L. BOASSON, Partial words and a theorem of Fine and Wilf, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **218** (1999), 135–141.
- [4] F. BLANCHET-SADRI, *Algorithmic Combinatorics on Partial Words*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.
- [5] J. BERSTEL, P. SÉÉBOLD, A characterization of overlap-free morphisms, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 275–281.
- [6] V. HALAVA, T. HARJU, T. KÄRKI, P. SÉÉBOLD, Overlap-freeness in infinite partial words, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410** (2009), 943–948.
- [7] M. LOTHAIRE, *Combinatorics on Words*, vol. 17 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1983. Reprinted in the *Cambridge Mathematical Library*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.

- [8] M. MORSE, Recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1921), 84–100.
- [9] N. RAMPERSAD, J. SHALLIT, M.-W. WANG, Avoiding large squares in infinite binary words, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **339** (2005), 19–34.
- [10] P. SÉÉBOLD, Sequences generated by infinitely iterated morphisms, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **11** (1985), 255–264.
- [11] P. SÉÉBOLD,  $k$ -overlap-free words, Preprint, JORCAD’08, Rouen, France (2008), 47–49.
- [12] J. SHALLIT, Simultaneous Avoidance Of Large Squares And Fractional Powers In Infinite Binary Words, *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.* **15** (2004), 317–327.
- [13] A. THUE, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen, *Christiania Vidensk.-Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **7** (1906), 1–22.
- [14] A. THUE, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, *Vidensk.-Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **1** Kristiania (1912), 1–67.