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Abstract
We define an algorithm translating natural language sentences to the formal syntax of RDF, an existential conjunctive logic widely used on the Semantic Web. Our translation is based on pregroup grammars, an efficient type-logical grammatical framework with a transparent syntax-semantics interface. We introduce a restricted notion of side effects in the semantic category of finitely generated free semimodules over \{0, 1\} to that end. The translation gives an intensional counterpart to previous extensional models. We establish a one-to-one correspondence between extensional models and RDF models such that satisfaction is preserved. Our translation encompasses the expressivity of the target language and supports complex linguistic constructions like relative clauses and unbounded dependencies.

1 Introduction
There is a general agreement that Natural Language Processing has two aspects. One is syntax, rules how words are put together to form a grammatical string. The other is semantics, rules how meanings of strings are computed from the meanings of words. To this we add a third aspect, namely that semantics must include rules of logic how to compute the truth of the whole string from the truth of its parts.

The Resource Description Framework (RDF) (Hayes and McBride, 2004) is an artificial language that takes the intuitive form of knowledge graphs. Its semantics has the expressive power of the fragment of multisorted first order logic that uses conjunction and existential quantification only. This restricted expressive power limits the statements of natural language that can be interpreted as RDF graphs. Typically, statements with negation words are excluded.

We use pregroup grammars as the linguistic and mathematical tool to recognise and interpret natural language strings in RDF. Pregroup Calculus, also known as Compact Bilinear Logic (Lambek, 1993) (Lambek, 1999), is a simplification of Lambek’s Syntactic Calculus, (Lambek, 1958). Pregroup grammars are based on a lexicon like all categorial grammars. Syntactical analysis consists in the construction of a proof (derivation) in the calculus.

All semantics proposed so far use functors from the lexical category of (Preller and Lambek, 2007) into some symmetric compact closed category. They include the compositional distributional vector space models of (Clark et al., 2008), (Kartsaklis et al., 2013) based on context and the functional logical models of (Preller, 2012).

We proceed as follows. Recalling that words and grammatical strings recognised by the grammar are represented by meaning-morphisms in the lexical category, we propose a “syntactic” functor from the latter into a symmetric monoidal category \( \mathcal{C} \) of ‘morphisms with side effects’ over the category \( \mathcal{C} \) of finite dimensional semimodules over the lattice \( \mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\} \). The elements of the semimodule \( S \) identify with RDF graphs. The value of the syntactic functor at a statement is the RDF graph of the statement. The extensional models of logic are recast as "semantic" functors from the lexical category to \( \mathcal{C} \). We associate to any semantic functor an RDF interpretation and show that a statement is true in the semantic functor if and only if the corresponding RDF graph is true in the RDF interpretation.

2 Preliminaries
2.1 RDF graphs
RDF is a widely-adopted framework introduced in (Hayes and McBride, 2004) as a standard for linked information representation on the Web. Informally, an RDF graph is a set of labeled links between objects, which represent statements concerning the linked objects.

Throughout this article we will simply consider that they are represented by strings of characters without spaces, written in a mono-spaced font: the entity John is denoted by the string John.

A link between two objects is a triple, made of one entity (the subject of the predicate), a property (the type of the link, also represented by a string) and a second entity (the object of the predicate). Graphically, we represent a triple as a directed property from the subject to the object, labeled by the predicate.

RDF allows to use nodes without labels, called blank nodes. Concretely, this means that we can always pick
a fresh node, named blank-\(n\) where \(n\) is some number, such that this node is not involved in any triple yet.

**An example** We can represent the sentence *John owns a big boat* using a fresh blank node for a *big boat*:

![Graph](image)

Here, rdf:type is a special RDF predicate indicating the type of its subject. The graph representing this set of triples is

\[
\text{Jason} \quad \text{owns} \quad \text{blank-1} \quad \text{is-big} \quad \text{true} \quad \text{boat}
\]

(a) A graph with a blank node

Recall that our goal is to translate natural language sentences to graphs. Graphs can indeed be seen as semantic representations of sentences, in the sense that they can be used to assign a truth value to a sentence, through the notion of entailment (Hayes and McBride, 2004).

A graph \(G\) is an instance of \(\mathcal{G}\) when \(G\) can be obtained from \(\mathcal{G}\) by assigning labels to some blank nodes (possibly none of them). A graph \(\mathcal{G}_0\) entails another graph \(\mathcal{G}\) if an instance of \(\mathcal{G}\) is a subgraph of \(\mathcal{G}_0\). With these definitions, we can define true RDF graphs as the graphs entailed by some reference graph, storing all the true facts.

### 2.2 Pregroup grammars

A pregroup grammar (Lambek, 1999), consists of the free pregroup \(\mathcal{C}(B)\) generated by a partially ordered set \(B\) and a lexicon \(\mathcal{D}_B\). By ‘free pregroup’ we mean the free compact closed category \(\mathcal{C}(B)\) generated by \(B\) following the version given in (Preller and Lambek, 2007)\(^1\). The lexicon \(\mathcal{D}_B\) introduces the monoidal category \(\mathcal{L}_B\), freely generated by the inequalities and the lexical morphisms given by the lexicon. Lexical entries have "formal meanings" in the lexical category, the free compact closed category generated by \(B\) and the morphisms introduced by the words. They are analogue to the lambda-terms intervening in categorial grammars, (Steedman, 1996).

The working of pregroup grammars can be described without explicit use of category theory. The main result of this section however, the decomposition lemma, invokes properties of the graphs proved in (Preller and Lambek, 2007).

\(^1\)Semantics requires more than one morphism between objects, whereas the partial preorder of the free pregroup of (Lambek, 1999) confounds all morphisms with identical domain and codomain.

We start with the formal definition of a monoidal category followed by the condition it must satisfy to be compact closed.

**Definition 1.** A category \(\mathcal{C}\) is

1. **monoidal** if there is a bifunctor \(\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}\), a distinguished object \(I\), the unit of \(\otimes\), satisfying
   \[
   (A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C), \quad (f \otimes g) \otimes h = f \otimes (g \otimes h) ^2
   \]

2. **compact closed** if it is monoidal and there are contravariant endofunctors \(\hat{\cdot}\) and \((\cdot)^t\), called right adjoint and left adjoint, such that for every object \(A\) and every morphism \(f : A \to B\) there are morphisms \(\eta_f : I \to A^t \otimes A\), the name of \(f\), and \(\epsilon_f : A \otimes B^t \to I\), the coname of \(f\), satisfying for any \(g : B \to C\)
   \[
   (A \otimes B)^t = B^t \otimes A^t, \quad (A \otimes B)^t = B^t \otimes A^t
   \]

3. **symmetric** if it is monoidal and there is a natural isomorphism \(\sigma_{AB} : A \otimes B \to B \otimes A\) such that
   \[
   \sigma_{1A} = \sigma_{BA}\]

Recall that \(\otimes\) is a bifunctor if and only if the following equalities are satisfied for all objects \(A, B\) and all morphisms \(f_i : A_i \to B_i, g_i : B_i \to C_i, i = 1, 2\)

\[
\begin{align*}
1_A \otimes 1_B &= 1_{A \otimes B} \\
\text{Interchange Law} &\quad (f_2 \circ f_1) \otimes (g_2 \circ g_1) = (f_2 \otimes g_2) \circ (f_1 \otimes g_1)
\end{align*}
\]

The morphisms of \(\mathcal{C}(B)\) and \(\mathcal{L}_B\) identify with graphs, which we now describe without invoking category theory.

The objects of \(\mathcal{C}(B)\) are called types. They include the elements of \(B\), called basic types. For instance, the set \(\mathcal{B}\) may include the basic types \(s\) for statements, \(d\) for determiners, \(n\) for noun phrases, \(o\) for direct objects with corresponding types in relatives clauses \(r\), \(\bar{n}\) and \(\bar{o}\). There is only one strict inequality \(\mathcal{B} = \{n < o\}\). Assimilating \(B\) to a category, we write \(i_{ab} : a \to b\) instead of \(a \leq b\) and \(1_a\) for \(i_{aa}\). A simple type is an iterated left adjoint or right adjoint of a basic type \(\ldots, a^{(-2)} = a^{(-1)}, a^t = a^{(-1)}, a = a^{(0)}, a^t = a^{(1)}, a^{(t)^t} = a^{(2)}, \ldots\). The iterator of \(t = a^{(2)}\) is the integer \(z\). An arbitrary type is a string of simple types separated by the symbol \(\otimes\). In particular, the empty string is a type, denoted \(I\).

The **lexicon** of a pregroup grammar consists of a set of pairs \(\text{word} : T\) where the type \(T \in \mathcal{C}(B)\) captures the different grammatical roles a word may play. For

\(^2\)Strictly speaking, these equalities hold up to natural isomorphisms, but the coherence theorem of (Mac Lane, 1971) makes it possible to replace the isomorphisms by equalities without loss of generality.
instance

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{proper name} & : n \\
\text{transitive verb} & : n^r \otimes s \otimes o^t \\
\text{transitive verb} & : n^r \otimes r \otimes o^t \\
\text{transitive verb} & : n^r \otimes \hat{o}^r \otimes r \\
\text{determiner} & : d \\
\text{adjective} & : d^r \otimes d \\
\text{count noun} & : d^r \otimes n \\
\text{rel pronoun} & : n^r \otimes n \otimes r^t \otimes \hat{n} \\
\text{rel pronoun} & : n^r \otimes n \otimes r^t \otimes \hat{o}
\end{align*}
\]

A pregroup grammar analyses a string of words by constructing a graph: choose an entry \(w_i : T_i\) for each word \(w_i\) and align the types in the order of the words. Every simple type \(T_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes T_{j_n} = t_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes t_m\) is (the label of) a node of the graph. Place non-crossing oriented underlinks such that the tail of an underlink is a basic type with an even number of iterations, say \(t = a^{(z)}\), where \(z = \pm 2n\). If the head is to the left of the tail then it is the left adjoint \(b^{(z)} = b^{(z-1)}\), for some basic type \(b \geq a\). If it is to the right then its a right adjoint \(b^{(z)} = b^{(z+1)}\). Complete the graph by repeating the nodes that are not head or tail of an underlink in a line below and adding a vertical link between corresponding nodes.

The string is said to be grammatical if exactly one simple type remains that is not the tail or head of an underlink and if it is a basic type. The resulting graph is called a reduction and denotes a unique morphism \(r : T_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes T_{j_n} \rightarrow b \in \mathcal{C}(B)\). More generally, graphs standing for morphisms align the domain above and the codomain below.

For instance, the graph below exhibits a reduction to the sentence type \(s\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{john} & \otimes\text{owns}\otimes \text{a}\otimes \text{red}\otimes\text{boat} \\
\rightarrow & \text{n} \otimes s \otimes o^t \otimes d \otimes d^r \otimes n
\end{align*}
\]

The following two graphs are reductions to the noun phrase type \(n\). The first graph corresponds to the case where the relative pronoun is the object of the verb in the relative clause whereas it is the subject in the second graph

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d} \otimes d^r \otimes n \otimes r^t \otimes \hat{o} \otimes n \otimes n^r \otimes \hat{o}^r \otimes r \\
\rightarrow & \text{n} \\
\text{a} \otimes \text{cat} \otimes \text{that}\otimes\text{boh}\otimes\text{hates}\otimes\text{boh} \\
\rightarrow & \text{n}
\end{align*}
\]

The meanings of words are also represented by graphs that correspond to morphisms of the lexical category \(\mathcal{L}_B\). In fact, every entry \(w : T\) in the lexicon gives rise to a meaning morphism \(\varphi_T : I \rightarrow T\) and a lexical morphism \(\psi_T\) represented by the following oriented labelled graphs

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_b & = \Psi_1 = \psi_b \\
T & = a^r \otimes b \\
\varphi_T & = \psi_T = \frac{a}{b} \\
T & = a^r \otimes b \otimes c^t \\
\varphi_T & = \psi_T = \frac{a \otimes c}{b} \\
T & = a^r \otimes c^t \otimes b \\
\varphi_T & = \psi_T = \frac{c}{a}
\end{align*}
\]

If \(T\) has two factors that are basic types, there is besides the main lexical morphism \(\psi_T\) an auxiliary lexical morphism \(j_T\).

\[
T = n^r \otimes n \otimes r^t \otimes d, \quad d = \hat{n}, \hat{o}
\]

We omit the subscript \(T\) if this does not lead to confusion.

The nodes of the meaning graphs are the simple types of \(T\). They form the lower line of the graph, the upper line is the empty string \(I\). The corresponding morphism has domain \(I\) and codomain \(T\). An overlink may have several tails but only one head. The tails of overlinks are right or left adjoints of basic types, the head is a basic type.

The lexical category \(\mathcal{L}_B\) generated by the lexicon \(\mathcal{D}_B\) is the compact closed category freely generated by \(B\), the symmetry morphisms \(\sigma_{ab}\) and the lexical morphisms introduced by \(\mathcal{D}_B\).

Strings of words also have meaning(s) in the lexical category. The lexical meaning of a grammatical string \(\text{word}_1 \ldots \text{word}_n\) recognised by the reduction \(r : T_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes T_{j_n} \rightarrow b\), is, by definition, the composite of the tensor product of the word meanings and the chosen reduction.

\[
\begin{align*}
r \odot (\text{word}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_n) & : I \rightarrow b.
\end{align*}
\]

The meaning of a composite morphism \(g \circ f\) can be simplified graphically. Stack the graph of \(f\) above the graph of \(g\) and walk the paths of the graph starting at a node that is not the head of a link until you arrive at a node that is not the tail of a link. Compose the

\(^3\)"basic type" is replaced by simple type with an even iterator in the general case
labels in the order they are encountered along the path. Replace the whole path by a single link labelling it by the composite label of the path. The resulting graph represents the morphism \( g \circ f \). (Selinger, 2011).

For instance, the grammatical strings mentioned above above simplify to

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{own} \circ (\text{john} \otimes (\text{boat} \circ \text{big} \circ \text{a})) = \text{own}(\text{john} \otimes (\text{boat} \circ \text{big} \circ \text{a})).
\end{align*}
\]

The expression after the equality symbol above is a composite of tensor products the factors of which are either inequalities between basic objects or lexical morphisms. Only the rightmost tensor product contains more than one lexical morphism. In fact, all factors are lexical morphisms with domain \( I \).

The translation of statements to RDF graphs rests on the existence of this decomposition. Borrowing the terminology of RDF graphs, call any lexical morphism with domain \( I \) a node word and any other lexical morphism a property word.

**Lemma 1** (Decomposition). Let word\(_1\), ..., word\(_n\) be a grammatical string with lexical morphisms word\(_1, \ldots, \)word\(_n\) and a reduction r : T\(_1\) ... T\(_n\) \(\rightarrow\) b. Then there is an enumeration of the node words word\(_1^i\) : I \(\rightarrow\) b\(_1^i\), ..., word\(_m^i\) : I \(\rightarrow\) b\(_m^i\) such that the lexical meaning of the string satisfies

\[
r \circ (\text{word}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_n) = p_1 \circ \ldots \circ p_m \circ (\text{word}_{1^i} \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_{m^i}),
\]

where each \( p_k \) is either a tensor product of inequalities of basic types or a tensor product of inequalities and one property word word\(_k^i\). Moreover, \( k < k' \) implies \( f_k < f_{k'} \).

In particular, the meaning of the string belongs to the monoidal category generated by the lexicon

This is a straightforward consequence of the characterisation of morphisms as normal graphs in the free category, (Pfleger and Lambek, 2007) and the interchange law.

We map lexical morphisms to "unfinished" RDF triples

- **lexical morphism** RDF triple
- noun : d \(\rightarrow\) n... \(\rightarrow\) rdf:type noun
- adjective : d \(\rightarrow\) d... \(\rightarrow\) is-adjective true
- verb : a \(\otimes\) b \(\rightarrow\) c... \(\rightarrow\) verb
- determiner : I \(\rightarrow\) d... \(\rightarrow\) blank... \(\rightarrow\) ?
- propername : I \(\rightarrow\) n... \(\rightarrow\) propername... \(\rightarrow\) ?

The question marks designate unoccupied positions in the triple. Node words occupy either the subject or the property position, unary property words leave only the subject position open, binary property words occupy the centre position leaving subject and object position unoccupied.

### 3 The Translation

Let \( \mathbb{B} = \{\{0, 1\}, +, \cdot\} \) be the commutative semiring in which the addition, +, is the lattice operator \( \lor \) and the multiplication, \( \cdot \), the lattice operator \( \land \) on \( \{0, 1\} \).

The *semantic* category which hosts the RDF graphs and our models of grammatical strings is the category \( C \) of finitely generated semimodules over \( \mathbb{B} \). It is compact closed satisfying \( A' = A = A' \) for each object \( A \). Every object \( A \) has a 'canonical' basis \( \{e_i\}_i \) such that every element \( v \in A \) can be written uniquely as \( v = \sum a_i e_i \), where \( a_i \in \mathbb{B} \). We refer to elements of \( A \) as vectors. Morphisms of \( C \) are maps that commute with addition and scalar multiplication.

We interpret RDF graphs as elements of a semimodule \( S \) determined by the RDF vocabulary, see below. The translation of grammatical strings is given by a functor from the monoidal category generated by the lexical morphisms into a *category of morphisms with side effects* mapping the decomposition of a grammatical string to a vector of \( S \).

Let \( L \) be a set of labels that includes the property words and a 'very big', but finite number \( n_0 \) of labels
blank_i, for i = 1, ..., n_0. The other elements of L
are node names and property names of an RDF vocabulary.

Define $N$ as the semimodule over the semi-ring $B$
freely generated by $L$ and denote $e_{label}$ the basis vec-
tor of $N$ corresponding to label $\in L$. We present
RDF triples by basis vectors of $S = N \otimes N \otimes N$ and
RDF graphs by sums of basis vectors of $S$, for instance
$e_{bob} \otimes e_{hair} \otimes e_{blank} + e_{blank} \otimes e_{rdf-type} \otimes e_{cat}$. Hence, the
vector sum models the union of RDF graphs.

We want to interpret the lexical morphisms such
that they construct a triple when applied to an argu-
ment and add it to the vector of $S$ already constructed.
Composition of the category $C$ alone is not power-
ful enough to achieve this. We define a new category
$C_S$ in which the entity a big boat will be denoted by the
pair $(e_{blank-1}, e_{blank-1} \otimes e_{rdf-type} \otimes e_{boat} + e_{blank-1} \otimes e_{is-big} \otimes e_{true})$.

Therefore we switch from $C$ to the monoidal cate-
gory $C_S$ below in which arrows have two components.
The first component creates the triple and the second
component adds the new triple to the graph as a ‘side
effect’.

**Definition 2** (Category with Side Effects). Let
\{a_1, ..., a_n\} and \{b_1, ..., b_n\} be the basis\(^5\) of $A$ and
$B$. For any $p \in C(A, S)$, $q \in C(B, S)$, define $p \odot q \in C(A \otimes B, S) as the unique linear map satis-
fying for an arbitrary basis vector $a_i \otimes b_j$ of $A \otimes B$

$$(p \odot q) : a_i \otimes b_j \mapsto p(a_i) + q(b_j).$$

The category $C_S$ of morphisms with side effects in $S$ has:
- objects as in $C$,
- morphisms $(f, p) : A \to B$ where $f \in C(A, B)$,
- $Ker(f) = \{0\}$ and $p \in C(A, S)$,
- arrows $1_A = (1, 0)$,
- an operation $\circ$ defined by $(f, p) \circ (h, q) = (f \circ h, p \circ h + q)$,
- an operation $\otimes$ on objects defined as in $C$,
- an operation $\odot$ on arrows defined by $(f, p) \odot (h, q) = (f \circ h, p \odot q)$.

Examples of morphisms in the first component are
the symmetry $\sigma : N \otimes N \to N \otimes N$, $\pi_1, \pi_2 : N \otimes N \to N$ defined by $\sigma(a_i \otimes b_j) = b_j \otimes a_i$, $\pi_1(a_i \otimes b_j) = a_i$
and $\pi_2(a_i \otimes b_j) = b_j$.

The new operation $\odot$ introduced above concerns
the second component only. The morphism $p \odot q$
computes at $a_i \otimes b_j$, the union of the RDF graphs $p(a_i)$
and $q(b_j)$, computed separately by $p$ and $q$.

As an illustration, consider the following morphisms
of $C_S$

$m_{john} = (e_{john}, 0)$, $m_{blank} = (e_{blank}, 0)$

The arrow of a proper noun consists of the node rep-
esting this entity, e.g. $e_{john}$, paired with the empty

\(^4\)it suffices that $n_0$ exceeds the number of occurrences of
determiners in the set of digitalised documents.

\(^5\)In $C$, every object has a unique basis: the canonical one.

determined by

$$m_{big} = (1_N, 1_N \otimes e_{is-big} \otimes e_{true}),$$

$$m_{boat} = (1_N, 1_N \otimes e_{rdf-type} \otimes e_{boat})$$

An adjective or a noun is a morphism that maps a node word
to itself and to the empty slot in the corresponding
triple. As a side effect, it adds this triple to the second
component.

$$m_{own} = (1_N \otimes e_{own} \otimes 1_N, 1_N \otimes e_{own} \otimes 1_N)$$

A transitive verb is a morphism that maps an ordered
pair of nodes to a triple making the first the subject and
the second the object of the triple.

Compose the morphisms

$$m_{big} \circ m_{blank}_i = (e_{blank}_i, t_1)$$

$$t_1 = (e_{blank}_i \otimes e_{is-big} \otimes e_{true})$$

$$m_{boat} \circ m_{big} \circ m_{blank}_i = (e_{blank}_i, t_2 + t_1)$$

$$t_2 = (e_{blank}_i \otimes e_{rdf-type} \otimes e_{boat})$$

$$m_{own} \circ (m_{john} \otimes (m_{boat} \circ m_{big} \circ m_{blank}_i)) =$$

$$m_{own} \circ (e_{john} \circ e_{blank}_i, t_3 + t_2 + t_1) =$$

$$e_{john} \circ e_{own} \circ e_{blank}_i, t_3 + t_2 + t_1)$$

$$t_3 = e_{john} \circ e_{own} \circ e_{blank}_i$$

(4)

The effect of composition is to create a new triple on
the left of the comma and to store it on the right.

**Proposition 1.** The category with side effects $C_S$ is a
monoidal category.

The proof of this proposition is given in appendix A.

Define a monoidal structure preserving functor $F$
from the monoidal category generated by the lexical
morphisms to $C_S$ thus

$$F(s) = S = N \otimes N \otimes N$$

$$F(a) = N if a \neq s$$

$$F(1_a) = F(i_{a,b}) = F(j_{a,b}) = 1_F(a), for all basic

$$F(\text{type}) : r \to n_f = (1, 0)$$

$$F(\text{name}) : I \to d = (e_{name}, 0)$$

$$F(\text{determiner}) : I \to d = (e_{blank}, 0)$$

$$F(\text{word}) : d^r \otimes n_f = (1, 1 \otimes e_{is-type} \otimes e_{word})$$

$$F(\text{word}) : d^r \otimes d = (1, 1 \otimes e_{is-word} \otimes e_{true})$$

$$F(\text{word}) : d^r \otimes d^r = (1 \otimes e_{is-type} \otimes 1 \otimes e_{word} \otimes 1)$$

$$F(\text{word}) : d^r \otimes d^r \otimes d = (1 \otimes e_{is-word} \otimes 1 \otimes e_{word} \otimes 1)$$

$$F(\text{word}) : d^r \otimes d^r \otimes d^r = (1 \otimes e_{is-word} \otimes 1 \otimes e_{word} \otimes 1)$$

Note that the morphisms in the example above satisfy
$m_{word} = F(m_{word})$. Computation (4) shows that $F$
maps the lexical meaning of john owns a big boat to
the three RDF triples $t_1, t_2, t_3$.

**Lemma 2.** Let word_1, ..., word_n be a statement with
corresponding lexical entries word_1 : T_1, ..., word_n : T_n and r : T_1 \to s \text{ a reduction to the sentence

type. Then second component of

$$F(r \circ (\text{word}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{word}_n)) =$$

$$(f, t_1 + \cdots + t_m) \in C_S(I, S)$$
is a sum of RDF triples \( t_i \in S \), for \( k = 1, \ldots, m \). Moreover, \( t_k \) has the form (3) determined by the property word \( \text{word}_{i_k} \) such that the unlabelled nodes are filled by node words of the statement.

The proof is given in Appendix B.

**Definition 3 (RDF Translation).** The RDF graph translating the statement \( \text{word}_1 \ldots \text{word}_n \) with reduction \( r : T_1 \ldots T_n \rightarrow s \) is the second component of \( F(r \circ \langle \text{word}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_n \rangle) \).

For instance, the translation of the statement John owns a big boat is
\[
e_{\text{John}} \otimes e_{\text{own}} \otimes e_{\text{blank}} + e_{\text{blank}} \otimes e_{\text{is-big}} \otimes e_{\text{true}} + e_{\text{blank}} \otimes e_{\text{rdf-type}} \otimes e_{\text{boat}},
\]

because
\[
F(\langle \text{own} \circ (\text{John} \otimes (\text{boat} \otimes \text{big} \otimes \text{a})) \rangle) = m_{\text{own}} \circ (m_{\text{John}} \circ (m_{\text{boat}} \circ m_{\text{big}} \circ m_{\text{blank}})).
\]

The translation algorithm from text to RDF graphs starts with a parsing algorithm of pregroup grammars that chooses a type for each word of the statement and provides a reduction to the sentence type. Next it computes the decomposition of the formal meaning in the lexical category by ‘yanking’. Finally it computes the RDF graph by applying the translation functor \( F \) to the decomposition. The parsing algorithm is cubic polynomial in the number of words. Decomposition is linear, because the number of links is proportional to the number of words. Finally, translation again is linear, because the sum of the number of property words and of the number of node words in the decomposition is proportional to the number of words.

4 \( C \)-Models and RDF Interpretations

In this section, we establish the connection between the extensional models of meaning of (Pretler, 2012) with the RDF interpretations of (Hayes, 2004) via the translation \( F \) from natural language to RDF graphs. We show that a statement is true in an extensional model if and only if the RDF graph computed by \( F \) is true in the RDF interpretation associated to the extensional model.

Choose an object \( U \) of \( C \), the ‘universe of discourse’ of the fragment of natural language. The basis vectors of \( U \) stand for individuals or concepts. Properties are represented by maps that test \( (n\text{-tuples of}) \) entities and let (part of) them pass if the test succeeds.

Let \( 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leq n \) be a strictly increasing sequence. A linear map \( q : U_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_n \rightarrow U_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_m \) is said to be a vector if for any basis vector \( e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_n \in U_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_n \)
\[
q(e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_n) = e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_m, \text{ or } q(e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_n) = 0.
\]

We say that \( q \) selects the \( i \)-th factor if \( m = 1 \) and \( i = i_1 \) and that it is a projector if \( m = n \). If the latter is the case then \( q \) is an idempotent and self-adjoint endomorphism, hence a ‘property’ in quantum logic, see (Abramsky and Coecke, 2004).

If the domain \( V \) and the codomain \( U_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_{i_m} \) are fixed then the selectors are in a one-to-one correspondence with the ‘truth-value’ functions \( p : A \rightarrow \{ \top, \bot \} \) on the set \( A \) of basis vectors of \( V \) related by the condition
\[
p(a) = \top \text{ if and only if } q(a) \neq 0.
\]

for all \( a \in A \).

Let \( v = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i e_i \) be an arbitrary vector of \( V \). A selector \( q : V \rightarrow W \) is said to be true at \( v \) if \( q(a_i) \neq 0 \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).

**Lemma 3.** Selectors are closed under composition and the tensor product. Every identity is a selector.

Let \( p : V \rightarrow W \) and \( q : W \rightarrow X \) be selectors and \( v \in V \). Then \( q \circ p \) is true at \( v \) if and only if \( p \) is true at \( v \) and \( q \) is true at \( w = p(v) \).

**Proof.** The first assertion is straightforward. To show the second, assume that \( a \) is a basis vector for which \((q \circ p)(a) \neq 0 \). Then \( p(a) \neq 0 \) because \( q(0) = 0 \). Hence \( p(a) \) is a basis vector of \( W \) selected by \( p \). The property now follows for an arbitrary vector from the definitions.

**Definition 4.** A compact closed structure preserving functor \( M : L_B \rightarrow C \) is a \( C \)-model with universe \( U \) if it satisfies
- \( M(s) = U \otimes U \)
- \( M(a) = U \) for any basic type \( a \neq s \)
- \( M(1_a) = M(i_a b) = M(j_a b) = 1_M(a) \), for all basic types \( a, b \in B \)
- \( M(\text{that}) = 1_U \)
- \( M(\text{word}_{a'} \otimes b) \) and \( M(\text{word}_{m' \otimes s' \otimes o'}) \) are projectors
- \( M(\text{word}_{a'} \otimes s' \otimes o') = \pi_1 \circ M(\text{word}_{a'} \otimes s' \otimes o') \)
- \( M(\text{word}_{m' \otimes s' \otimes o'}) = \pi_2 \circ M(\text{word}_{m' \otimes s' \otimes o'}) \circ \sigma \)
- \( M \) maps determiners and proper names in the singular to basis vectors.

The last condition guarantees that the interpretations of a transitive verb in a statement and in a relative clause are equal if the relative pronoun is the subject of the verb in the relative clause and that they differ only by the symmetry isomorphism if the relative pronoun is the object.

**Definition 5.** A statement \( \text{word}_1 \ldots \text{word}_n \) with reduction \( r : T_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes T_n \rightarrow b \) is true in \( M \) if \( M(r \circ \langle \text{word}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_n \rangle) \neq 0 \).

Truth in a model can be reformulated in terms of selector truth with the help of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( p_1 \circ \cdots \circ p_m' \circ \langle \text{word}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{word}_m \rangle \) be the decomposition of the formal meaning of the statement \( \text{word}_1 \ldots \text{word}_n \). Then \( M(p_l) \) is a selector and \( M(\text{word}_{i_k}) \) a vector in \( U \), for \( 1 \leq l \leq m' \), \( 1 \leq k \leq m \).

Moreover, the statement is true in \( M \) if and only if the selector \( M(p_l) \) is true at \( M(p_{l+1}) \circ \cdots \circ M(p_{l'}) \circ M(\text{word}_{i_k}) \) for \( 1 \leq l \leq m' \).
Proof. $\mathcal{M}$ maps the meaning of the string to $\mathcal{M}(p_1) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{M}(p_{m'}) \circ (\mathcal{M}(\text{word}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}(\text{word}_{m''})).$ Note that for $k = 1, \ldots, m'$ any factor of $\mathcal{M}(p_k)$ is the identity of $U$ unless it is $\mathcal{M}(\text{word}_k) = q_k.$ Thus $\mathcal{M}(p_k)$ is a tensor product of selectors. Hence both assertions follow from Lemma 3.

Any $C$-model $\mathcal{M}$ defines an RDF interpretation. The vocabulary consists of the basis vectors $e_{\text{label}} \in N,$ see previous section. The set of property symbols is given by

$$P = \{e_{\text{is-adjective}} : \text{adjective} \in D\} \cup \{\text{rdf:type}\}$$

Define an RDF interpretation $I_M$ as follows

- set of properties
  $$\text{IP} = \{\mathcal{M}(\text{word}) : e_{\text{word}} \in P\} \cup \{\text{rdf:type}\}$$

- set of resources
  $$\text{IR} = \text{IP} \cup U \cup \{\text{true}\}$$

- mapping $\text{IS}$ and its extension to blank nodes
  $$\text{IS}(e_{\text{word}}) = \mathcal{M}(\text{word}), \quad \text{IS}(e_{\text{blank}}) = \mathcal{M}(\text{determiner})$$

- mapping $\text{IEXT}$ from $\text{IP}$ into the power set of $\text{IR} \times \text{IR}$
  $$\text{IEXT}(\text{rdf-type}) = \{(e, \mathcal{M}(\text{noun})) : \mathcal{M}(\text{noun}) \text{ is true at } e\}$$

$$\text{IEXT}(\text{IS}(e_{\text{is-adjective}})) = \{(e, \text{true}) : \mathcal{M}(\text{adjective}) \text{ is true at } e\}$$

$$\text{IEXT}(\text{IS}(e_{\text{verb}})) = \{(e_1, e_2) : \mathcal{M}(e_{\text{verb}}) \text{ is true at } e_1 \otimes e_2\}.$$ 

Proposition 2. A statement $\text{word}_1 \ldots \text{word}_n$ is true in a $C$-model $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if every triple of its RDF translation $G$ is true in the RDF interpretation $I_M.$

The proof is given in Appendix B.

5 Conclusion

The modelling of natural language by RDF graphs remains limited by the expressivity of RDF. The latter goes way beyond the few examples presented here. So far, we have only considered the extensional branch of a word. Future work will take advantage of the distinction between a property and its extension in RDF to introduce the conceptual branch of a plural, which does not refer to an extension, e.g. Tom likes books, or capture the difference between Eve and John own a boat and Eve and John are athletes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the École Normale Supérieure and the LIRMM. The first author wishes to thank David Naccache, Alain Lecomte, Antoine Amarilli, Hugo Vanneuville and both authors the members of the TEXTE group at the LIRMM for their interest in the project.

References


A Proof of proposition 1

Note first that composition and the tensor are well defined.

Assume $(f, p) : A \rightarrow B$ and $(g, q) : B \rightarrow C.$ Then $q \circ f : A \rightarrow S$ and $p : A \rightarrow S,$ so $q \circ f + p : A \rightarrow S.$ Therefore $(g, q) \circ (f, p) = (g \circ f, q \circ f + p) : A \rightarrow C$ is well defined. Similarly, if $(f_i, p_i) : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ for
\(i = 1, 2\) then \(p_1 \otimes p_2 : A_1 \otimes A_2 \to S\) and therefore \((f_1 \otimes f_2, p_1 \otimes p_2) : A_1 \otimes A_2 \to B_1 \otimes B_2\) as required. The operation \(\otimes\) is associative on arrows. Indeed, let \((a_i)_{i,}(b_j)_{j}\) and \((c_k)_{k}\) be the basis of \(A, B\) and \(C\), respectively. Then for \(r : C \to S\)
\[
(p\otimes q) \otimes r) = p(a_i \otimes b_j) + r(c_k)
\]
\[
= p(a_i) + q(b_j) + r(c_k)
\]
\[
= p + (q \otimes r) = (a_i \otimes b_j \otimes c_k).
\]
Hence the tensor product on arrows of \(C_S\) is associative.

To show the interchange law (1), we need a lemma:

**Lemma 5.** Let \(p : C \to S, q : D \to S\) and \(f : A \to C, g : B \to D\) with \(\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ker}(g) = \{0\}\). Then

\[
(p \otimes q) \circ (f \otimes g) = (p \circ f) \otimes (q \circ g).
\]

Indeed, let \((a_r)_r, (b_s)_s, (c_t)_t\) and \((d_u)_u\) be the bases of \(A, B, C\) and \(D\) respectively. For all \(i, j, k\), we decompose \(f(a_i)\) on the basis \((c_t)_t\) and similarly for \(g(b_j)\) on \((d_u)_u\):

\[
f(a_i) = \sum_r c_r \otimes d_s.
\]

Each family of indices \((i_r)_r\) and \((s_t)_t\) is non-empty, because \(\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ker}(g) = \{0\}\). Hence,

\[
(p \otimes q) \circ (f \otimes g)(a_i \otimes b_j) = (p \otimes q)(f(a_i) \otimes g(b_j)) = (p \otimes q)(\sum_r c_r \otimes d_s) = (p \otimes q)(\sum_r c_r \otimes d_s) = \sum_r p(c_r) + q(d_s) = p(f(a_i)) + q(g(b_j)) = ((p \circ f) \otimes q \circ g)(a_i \otimes b_j)
\]

The fifth equality uses the fact that \(1 + 1 = 1\) and the sum is non empty. This terminates the proof of the lemma.

Now let \((f_1, p_1) : A \to C, (f_2, p_2) : C \to E, (g_1, q_1) : B \to D\) and \((g_2, q_2) : D \to F\). We now show first the following equality

\[
(f_1 \otimes g_1) + (f_2 \otimes g_2) = (f_1 + f_2) \otimes (g_1 + g_2). \tag{5}
\]

Indeed, let \((e_i)_i\) and \((f_j)_j\) be the bases of \(A\) and \(B\) respectively. Then, for all \(i, j\),

\[
(f_1 \otimes g_1 + (f_2 \otimes g_2))(e_i \otimes f_j) = (f_1 \otimes g_1)(e_i \otimes f_j) + (f_2 \otimes g_2)(e_i \otimes f_j) = f_1(e_i) + g_1(f_j) + f_2(e_i) + g_2(f_j) = ((f_1 + f_2) \otimes (g_1 + g_2))(e_i \otimes f_j).
\]

Finally, the interchange Law follows from (5) and the definitions thus

\[
((f_2 \otimes (g_2 \otimes q_2))(f_1 \otimes p_1) \otimes (g_1 \otimes q_1)) = (f_2 \otimes g_2 \otimes q_2)(f_1 \otimes q_1) = ((f_2 \otimes g_2)(f_1 \otimes g_1))(p_1 \otimes q_1) = (f_2 \otimes g_2)(f_1 \otimes g_1) + (p_1 \otimes q_1).
\]

Therefore \(C_S\) is a monoidal category.

**B Proof of Lemma 2 and Proposition 2**

**Proof.** Note that both \(F\) and \(M\) map inequalities of basic types to identities, hence also any atom without a lexical morphism to an identity. Let \(\text{word}_p\) be the property word occurring in \(p_1\), say as the \(k_i\)-th factor, \(q_1 = M(\text{word}_p)\) and \(m_l = F(\text{word}_p)\), for \(l = 1, \ldots, m\). Then \(M(p_1) = 1_U \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_U\) and \(F(p_1) = 1_N \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_N\). Suppose that \(e_1\) is a basis vector of \(U\) and \(e_{\text{node}_k}\) a basis vector of \(N\) satisfying \(e_i = I(e_{\text{node}_k})\).

Use induction on \(n - m - l\) and assume that \(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_l} = M(p_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes M(p_{n-m}) \otimes M(\text{node}_e) \otimes \cdots \otimes M(\text{node}_k)\) and \((e_{\text{node}_k})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_{\text{node}_0})_0 = F(p_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(p_{n-m}) \otimes F(e_{\text{node}_k})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes F(e_{\text{node}_0})_0\).

Let \(t_1\) be the triple created when composing \(F(p_1)\) with \((e_{\text{node}_k})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_{\text{node}_0})_0\). We want the show that \(t_1\) is true in \(I_M\) if and only if \(M(p_1)\) is true at \(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_l}\).

Consider the case where \(\text{word}_p : d \to d\). The other cases are shown similarly. Recall that \(m_1 \circ e_{\text{node}_k} = (e_{\text{node}_k})_0\), with \(t_1\) and \(e_{\text{node}_k}\) \in I_M\) and \(e_{\text{true}}\). Hence, \(F(p)(e_{\text{node}_k})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_{\text{node}_0})_0\).

Then \(t_1\) is true in \(I_M\) if and only if \(I(e_{\text{true}})\) is true in \(\text{IE}(I(e_{\text{word}_p}))\) if and only if \(q_1\) is true in \(e_k\), by definition of \(I\). On the other hand, \(1_U \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_U\) is true at \(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_l}\) if and only if \(q_1\) is true at \(e_k\). If that is the case then \(M(p_1)(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_l}) = e_1 \otimes \cdots e_{r_l}\).

\(^6\)0 can be replaced by any vector of \(S\) without changing the proof.