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Abstract

Objectives: It is now standard for polysomnographical equipment to include video recording, although this modality is generally underexploited, since there is no automated processing associated with the latter. In the present report, we investigated the set of features that can be automatically extracted from a video recording, in the context of monitoring of freely moving, non-sedated, newborn lambs.

Material and methods: Our database contained seven lambs and a total of 11 recordings, using two different cameras allowing a top view and a side view. Using appropriate methodologies, we show that it is possible to estimate the lamb’s movements, its posture (standing or lying) as well as its covered trajectory.

Results: Results are discussed as a function of the camera and show that side view recording is well suited for accurate scoring of the lamb’s posture, whereas trajectory is best estimated using the top view camera. On the other hand, both cameras provide qualitatively similar results for the estimation of movement of the animals.

Conclusion: The data gained from automated video processing, as reported herein, may have multiple applications, especially for animal studies, but may also be extended to human sleep monitoring.

Keywords: Monitoring, Polysomnography, Automated video processing, Posture, Movement, Trajectory
1. Introduction

Better understanding and treatment of disorders of cardiorespiratory control in newborns and infants still offer many challenges. The latter include prevention and treatment of frequent disorders such as apneas of prematurity [1] and apparent life-threatening events in infants [2], as well as prevention of sudden infant death syndrome [3]. Given the limitation of research protocols feasible in human infants, an important part of our research program focuses on the study of various newborn lamb models, mimicking conditions we encounter daily in human infants [4, 5]. Most of our lamb studies necessitate prolonged monitoring for hours throughout the various states of consciousness, which can be repeated along several days. We systematically use a custom-made radiotelemetry system for polysomnography (PSG) recording, which allows the monitoring of an extensive number of electrophysiological signals 24 hours a day [6].

The wealth of data collected during such prolonged PSG first led us to search for a simple means to recognize the standing posture in lambs, in order to ascribe wakefulness to these time epochs. In addition, the recent need to further assess movement activity, as well as tracking locomotor activity, led us to review the available options for studying movements. Changes in body position from lying to standing posture in the lamb have previously been recorded by a pressure catheter attached to the back of the animal or inserted intravascularly, meaning that the lamb is tethered to a pressure gauge [7]. While actimetry has been successfully used to quantify movement activity over several days in lambs, it is however unable to track locomotor activity [8]. To our knowledge, available photobeam chambers, e.g. from San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA or Med Associates, Inc., St. Albans, VT, USA, can monitor locomotor activity in rodents only [9]. Force and pressure plates can track locomotor activity in large animals, however these sophisticated techniques are better suited for fine analysis of gait kinetics [10]. Finally, thermic camera recordings have been used to acquire trajectory in rats.

From the early days of physiology, direct visual observation has been an important component of experimental methods to document a subject’s behavior. Over time, interpretation of recordings of multiple physiological signals, such as PSG, has largely benefited from the association of behavioral criteria and electrophysiological criteria for sleep staging. Technical advances have allowed the development of various video-monitoring systems with working capabilities under both daylight as well as infrared illumination. As recommended by current guidelines, (e.g. ASTA/ASA Addendum to AASM Guidelines for Recording and Scoring Sleep (Paediatric Rules, 2011), it is now standard for PSG equipment to include video recording, in order to visually document movements and posture during sleep in humans. Review of the literature reveals
a high number of studies dealing with the use of visual analysis of video monitoring for sleep staging in humans [11, 12, 13] and animals [14, 15] or for studying movements during sleep in children with hyperactivity disorder [16]. Finally, more recent works highlight the potential of automated video processing for movement and posture detection in the context of sleep disorders [17, 18, 19], epilepsy [20, 21, 22, 23] or activity detection [24, 25, 26]. As a result, video recording thus appeared as a simple, inexpensive and non-invasive approach seemingly capable of fulfilling several research needs.

Video-monitoring systems, such as Ethovision XT7 (Noldus, Wageningen, The Netherlands), ANY-maze (Wood Dale, IL, USA) or Stereoscan (Clever Sys, Reston, VA, USA) are also used for the automated tracking and analysis of movement, activity, and behavior of any animal types, including from drosophila and zebrafish to farm animals in all forms of enclosures [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. Such systems can be used to calculate movement velocity and distance travelled as well as to record the trajectory of a moving animal under various conditions [34, 35, 36]. They are however fairly complex and do not allow to recognize standing from lying postures.

The present work proposes a system including the devices and the methods allowing to extract a set of parameters and informations on the activity and behavior of non-sedated, freely moving lambs, using video monitoring. For this purpose, three methods were developed in order to: 1) estimate movement, 2) differentiate between standing and lying postures, and 3) characterize locomotor activity of a freely moving animal in an enclosure. Two infrared cameras were used and, based on literature review, different video processing methods were implemented in order to assess the contribution of top and side views individually as well as in combination. These methods present a real advantage since they were adapted to work automatically for any protocol and animal. They also present substantial time savings considering that such experiments are often time consuming and require extensive experience. In addition, while this study shows the application to monitoring of lambs, it can be applied to other types of animals.

This paper is organized as follows. Devices and methods are detailed in section 2. Section 3 outlines the protocol, the database and the results. A discussion upon the methods and the results is driven in section 4. Finally, section 5 gives the conclusion and discusses several real-life context applications in order to underline the interest of the approach.

2. Device and methods

The full system that we developed includes the device and the video analysis methods. They are described hereafter.
2.1. **Device**

In order to obtain a good view of the animal, the device was composed as follows:

- The studied lamb was housed in a Plexiglas chamber, with a surface of 1.3 m$^2$, where it could move freely and have access to food and drink.

- Since experiments took place during night or in obscurity, the enclosure was illuminated by an infrared lamp (IR).

- Two infrared-sensitive video cameras were installed to record the scene. One was located above the chamber, looking downwards (top view of the scene), and the other facing the chamber, thus giving a side view of the scene.

- The lamb was equipped with a tag, a black square with sides of 5 cm, affixed on the back, to follow the animal in the top view case. The size of the marker has been chosen according to the following two constraints. Not only it has to be maximized in order to ease its detection (especially when it is tilted) in spite of the bad imaging conditions (low resolution webcam with poor lighting conditions), but it also has to fit in the limited available area on the scientific instrumentation bag fastened onto the back of the lamb (see Figure 9). The lamb had also been surgically instrumented with several electrodes and sensors to collect physiological signals for another study, which is out of the scope of the present article.

2.2. **Video analysis methods**

After the recordings of videos of the animal, different types of information may be extracted in order to obtain a global and detailed interpretation of its behavior, for long durations. For this purpose, we propose to estimate three features of the animal’s behavior:

- the movement which quantifies the amount of activity during the experiment,

- the posture which completes the precedent and provides information on alternation of sleep/wake stages (since an animal does not sleep while standing in general),

- the locomotor activity which allows to characterize all the parameters related to the activity of the animal (such as explored surface, covered distance, etc.).
Depending on the features, either top view, side view or both cameras may be used.

Methodological approaches developed to reach these goals are detailed below. Some of them can be viewed as a real time adaptation of existing methods where a training step has been added to automate the analysis.

2.2.1. Estimation of movement

Different types of approaches may be used to estimate the movement from videos, the most common being based on time differencing and optical flow methods [37]. Here we selected the first approach for its simplicity and reduced computational costs. The algorithm, that can be applied either to the side view or the top view camera, is detailed in Figure 1. An example generated from a side view recording is shown in Figure 2.

\[
m_i(x, y) = |f_i^g(x, y) - f_{i-1}^g(x, y)|
\]

Figure 1: Flowchart detailing the algorithm applied to videos to estimate movement activity between frames \(f_{i-1}\) and \(f_i\).

The movement between frames \(f_{i-1}\) (Figure 2.a) and \(f_i\) (Figure 2.b) acquired at times \(t_{i-1}\) and \(t_i\) respectively is estimated for each pixel \((x, y)\) as follows:

\[
m_i(x, y) = |f_i^g(x, y) - f_{i-1}^g(x, y)|
\]

where \(f_i^g\) (and \(f_{i-1}^g\)) is the grayscale version of the color frame \(f_i\) (and \(f_{i-1}\)) from the input video file. Indeed, using colors is meaningless with infrared light, and this technique substantially decreases the computational cost.
Frame $m_i$ (Figure 2.c) which is a grayscale image, is then: i) thresholded to allow conversion into a binary frame and ii) eroded to reduce noise influence. The resulting frame $m^h_i$ (Figure 2.d) is such that white (black) pixels correspond to pixels whose value has (not) changed since last sampling time $t_{i-1}$. The number $n_i$ of white pixels is recorded and represents the magnitude of the animal’s movement between frames $f_{i-1}$ and $f_i$.

2.2.2. Posture detection

Posture detection problem was addressed with the side view. The first step of the algorithm relies on the detection of movement presented in section 2.2.1. The second step is illustrated in Figure 3 and detailed thereafter.

To ascertain whether the animal is standing or lying, the number of white pixels along a given horizontal line, placed approximately to the height of its back, is quantified. Therefore, if a sufficient amount of white pixels of $m^h_i$ are retrieved along this line, it means that the animal is standing. Otherwise, it is considered as lying.

Furthermore, the optimal position of the horizontal line can be automatically determined, using the
following adaptive law, for each frame $m^b_i$:

- $M$ horizontal lines ($l_1$ to $l_M$) are placed regularly on the frame, as depicted on Figure 4.

![Figure 4: M horizontal lines $l_1$ to $l_M$ are placed on $m^b_i$. The line that will be used to determine between the standing and the lying postures is called $l_k$.](image)

- Along each line $l_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, M$), the number of white pixels $n_i(l_j)$ is computed.

Subsequently, once the whole file has been processed:

- For each line $l_j$, the standard deviation $\sigma(l_j)$ of $n_i(l_j)$, the number of white pixels for all the frames $m^b_i$, is computed.

- The line $l_k$ that will be used to determine between the standing and the lying postures is the line that maximizes the standard deviation:

$$l_k = \arg \max_{j \in [1,M]} \sigma(l_j).$$

(2)
This expression is justified by the fact that the strongest variations in the number of white pixels (from zero when the lamb is lying to its maximum when it is standing) are observed for line $l_k$, corresponding to the very top of the back of the lamb. On the contrary, in the lower part of the frame, the number of white pixels is always large, irrespective of the lamb’s posture. Likewise, lines located above $l_k$ have smaller values of standard deviation as they, at most, only correspond to the head of the lamb.

Once $l_k$ has been identified, for each frame $m^b_i$, the standing (or lying) posture is determined if the number of white pixels along $l_k$, $n_i(l_k)$, is greater (lower) than a threshold $n_0$. The value of $n_0$ can be obtained from the histogram of the values $n_i(l_k)$.

Let us note that Figure 4 corresponds to the detection of a standing posture. One can see both the current silhouette of the lamb and its silhouette at the previous frame. This latter remains visible due to the differentiation between the previous and the current frame.

2.2.3. Characterization of locomotor activity

In order to characterize the locomotor activity of the lamb, we proceeded with only top view recordings, since a top view obviously provides a higher visibility of the animal moving in the chamber. For this purpose, we propose a method based on the detection of a marker. However, preliminary tests having demonstrated that standard markers (e.g. ARTag [38]) cannot be properly detected due to the poor quality of video recordings (low resolution, high compression, high noise due to the IR sensor), we conducted experiments with a basic black square marker affixed to the back of the lamb.

The method used to detect the marker is summarized by the flowchart depicted in Figure 5. Firstly, to deal with the issue of strong illumination variations of the scene (only one IR lamp), color images are transformed to grayscale images and then to binary images by applying an adaptive threshold, depending of the neighborhood of each pixel [39]. Secondly, in order to detect the square marker, we use a Canny edge detection, followed by a Hough transform to localize the four sides of the marker (see segmentation and line fitting methods in sections 5 and 10 of [37]). The Canny edge detector is very well suited to our IR images, as it includes a noise reduction. Then, we ascertain whether angles between joint edges are approximately 90 degrees. Thereafter, the trajectory is obtained by storing, for each frame, the $(x_i, y_i)$ position of the center of the marker. If the marker is not detected (e.g. when the lamb is lying), the position is recorded as unchanged and therefore $(x_i, y_i) = (x_{i-1}, y_{i-1})$.

Then, from the two-dimensional vector $(X, Y) = [(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_N, y_N)]$, of the estimated trajectory, where $N$ is the total number of frames of the video file, it is therefore possible to compute the distance
Figure 5: Flowchart of the algorithm applied to top view video to estimate the animal’s trajectory.

\[
D = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \sqrt{(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_{i-1})^2 + (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i-1})^2}
\]

where \(\bar{x}_i\) and \(\bar{y}_i\) are the low pass filtered versions of \(x_i\) and \(y_i\) respectively, in order to significantly reduce the influence of measurement noise. These values are obtained by means on sliding windows. This distance is first measured in pixels, which allows quantitative comparison of different videos as long as they are performed with the same camera. Then, the value in meters can be retrieved after calibration of the camera (intrinsic parameters).

Finally, the utilization distribution, which depicts the map representing the frequency of presence of the lamb on each pixel, can also be estimated by using kernel density methods [40].

3. Results

3.1. Protocol and video recordings database

Experiments (described in section 2.1) were conducted in the animal facilities dedicated to ovine research at the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Université de Sherbrooke. The Plexiglas chamber was in conformity with the requirements of the Canadian Committee for Animal Care and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Care and Experimentation of the Université de Sherbrooke.

A total of 11 color video recordings, obtained with seven different lambs, were acquired, with three types of IR cameras, along with several image sizes, resolutions and qualities (compression), representing
more than 50 hours of experimentation. In addition, amongst the 11 recordings, six (three top view and three side view) were synchronously performed.

Table 1 summarizes the technical features of the video files studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Top view</th>
<th>Side view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of videos</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of recordings</td>
<td>Total (h)</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± std (h)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range (h)</td>
<td>0.2 - 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video resolution (pixels)</td>
<td>320x240 or 640x480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video bit rates (kbps)</td>
<td>185 or 1900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of frames per second</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codec</td>
<td>WMV7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Estimation of movement

Movement can be estimated using either a top view camera or a side view camera. Figure 6 illustrates an example; identical results were observed for all videos. It shows the detection obtained from the top view video (Figure 6(a)) and the side view video (Figure 6(b)), during the same experiment. The manual scoring of standing/lying postures was added in Figure 6(c) for purposes of discussion of the results.

By comparing Figures 6(a) and (b), we observe that the two series are similar and lead to the same interpretation. However, small differences can be pointed, as 1 in Figure 6(b) corresponding to short vertical movement, that are more visible with the side view compared to the top view. Movements occurring when the lamb is standing lead for the most part to higher values (see 2 in Figure 6(a)), since they mostly correspond to walking. By contrast, movement measured when the lamb is lying are of low amplitude (see 3 in Figure 6(a)) and are related to head movements.

3.3. Posture detection

3.3.1. Optimization of the parameters

As reported in the methods section, we propose an original and automatic procedure to adjust the line maximizing the standard deviation. This line is chosen between M lines preliminary placed on the frames.

Firstly, this number M was optimized on the seven side view video recordings. For this purpose, we computed, as a function of the number of horizontal lines extending from 5 to 25, the concordance (in %) between manual and automated scoring, i.e. the percentage of time for which the posture (standing or lying)
is correctly detected by automated scoring (compared with manual scoring, considered as the truth). Results are plotted in Figure 7. The curves show that below 15 lines, concordance is consistently insufficient. Then, depending on the video, a gap is observed between 15 and 18 lines, reaching performances nearing 100%. For this reason, we adjusted the number of lines to 20.

Secondly, Figure 8 illustrates an example of an experiment aimed at estimating the optimal line $l_k$, that will be used to discriminate standing and lying postures. By examining the evolution of the standard deviation of the number of white pixels on each line, its maximum is reached with line $l_{14}$, corresponding to the best position of the horizontal line.

3.3.2. Performances

The method used to detect the lamb’s posture was evaluated on the seven side view video recordings. For each of these recordings, a visual scoring (i.e. human scoring) was performed and used as a reference to estimate the automated scoring performances. It is worthwhile to note that the scoring of standing and lying postures has been performed by only one scorer since it was not considered as a scorer-dependent task.

The performances of the automated scoring relative to the visual scoring have been subsequently computed. These scores (in percentage) summarized in Table 2 include: (1) standing scored as standing ($%S$ sc
Figure 7: Optimization of the number of lines performed on the seven side view video recordings.

Figure 8: Standard deviation of the number of white pixels along each horizontal line ($l_1$ to $l_{20}$) computed for the entire video.

Table 2: Performances for lamb’s posture scoring using a side view camera (in %). S: standing, L: lying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Videos</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>% Stand.</th>
<th>% Lying</th>
<th>% S sc S</th>
<th>% S sc L</th>
<th>% L sc S</th>
<th>% L sc L</th>
<th>% Concordance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video 1</td>
<td>1613</td>
<td>67,31</td>
<td>32,69</td>
<td>100,00</td>
<td>0,00</td>
<td>0,57</td>
<td>99,43</td>
<td>99,81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 2</td>
<td>57565</td>
<td>10,93</td>
<td>89,07</td>
<td>99,67</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>0,40</td>
<td>99,60</td>
<td>99,61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 3</td>
<td>14961</td>
<td>6,84</td>
<td>93,16</td>
<td>88,29</td>
<td>11,71</td>
<td>0,22</td>
<td>99,78</td>
<td>98,99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 4</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>74,00</td>
<td>26,00</td>
<td>99,20</td>
<td>0,80</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>99,88</td>
<td>99,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 5</td>
<td>14710</td>
<td>14,14</td>
<td>85,86</td>
<td>99,53</td>
<td>0,47</td>
<td>0,00</td>
<td>100,00</td>
<td>99,93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 6</td>
<td>14170</td>
<td>22,16</td>
<td>77,84</td>
<td>98,92</td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>0,00</td>
<td>100,00</td>
<td>99,76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video 7</td>
<td>16450</td>
<td>4,44</td>
<td>95,56</td>
<td>100,00</td>
<td>0,00</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>99,87</td>
<td>99,88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>17296</td>
<td>28,55</td>
<td>71,45</td>
<td>97,94</td>
<td>2,06</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>99,79</td>
<td>99,62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>18870</td>
<td>29,38</td>
<td>29,38</td>
<td>4,28</td>
<td>4,28</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>0,33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S), (2) standing scored as lying (%S sc L), (3) lying scored as standing (%L sc S), (4) lying scored as lying (%L sc L), and (5) global concordance (% Concordance). The total duration and the percentage of time standing and lying are provided for each video. In addition, the mean and standard deviation of the values obtained with the seven recordings are computed. Results show that the lying posture was consistently well detected, with a mean concordance of 99.79% between the automated and the visual scoring. With regard to the standing posture, values were slightly lower, although primarily due to video 3, where 11.71% of standing was scored as lying. These errors were due to external experimental disturbances (lighting modifications and passing of people in front of the camera).

We can remark that columns 5 and 8 of Table 2 can be interpreted as sensibility and specificity values, if we consider the problem of the detection of the standing posture (and the contrary for the detection of the lying posture).

3.4. Characterization of locomotor activity

Two examples of a one-hour long trajectory (the first and the fifth from video 5) are depicted in Figures 9 (a) and (b). The first example corresponds to a sequence when the lamb was awake and walked a great deal, whereas in the second example, the lamb was quiet and lying.

Figure 9: Top: two examples of one-hour long trajectory. (a) 1st hour, (b) 5th hour of the experiment. Bottom (c) and (d): Corresponding maps representing the frequency of presence of the lamb on each pixel.
Then, from the trajectories, the distance (see eq. 3) covered by the lamb was computed and yielded 17.9 m and 0.5 m respectively. Finally, Figures 9 (c) and (d) illustrate the utilization distribution applied to the same videos and allows to obtain a complementary view of the lamb’s activity during an experiment.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we proposed a full system including the devices and the methods allowing to extract a set of parameters and informations on a large animal protocol, by the use of the video. We showed that, using two different cameras, it is possible to estimate the posture (standing or lying), the movement as well as the locomotor activity of a large animal, a lamb in this experiment.

Lamb posture scoring was performed with the side view. The first step of the algorithm relies on the detection of movement method, computing absolute difference between successive frames. The second step consists in counting the number of white pixels crossing an horizontal line placed to the height of the lamb’s back. Consequently, a time delay corresponding to less than one sampling period affects the scoring each time the lamb is lying down. In such instances, the binary frame shows white pixels (i.e. motion) corresponding to both previous standing posture and current lying posture. On the other hand, this phenomenon understandably does not imply any delay when the lamb is standing up. If the posture detection need to be precisely estimated, it is necessary to correct the scoring files at the end of the processing.

When comparing the automated to the manual scoring, we obtained a concordance of 99.62%. These results are of notable interest since they show that video recording appears as a non-invasive, fast, and robust alternative for observing the evolution of the lamb’s posture for long experiments. From this, it is also possible to quickly compute percentages of standing or lying times. In addition, they suggest that the pressure catheter attached to the animal’s back in [7], initially used to isolate the lying stages, could be removed and replaced by this video processing.

Characterization of the locomotor activity was obtained with the top view, applying a method based on the detection of a square marker affixed on the back of the lamb. As the marker is fixed on the animal, the measured trajectory is more accurate than it would be with a method based on the center of gravity of the movement (which does not always correspond to the same area of the body) or even with a method based on shape recognition. In fact, these latter methods can lead to erroneous locomotor activity detection when the animal is lying and only moving its head or legs.
However, the estimation of movement was realized using either side view, either top view, both yielding qualitatively similar results. Nevertheless, it is important to notice that the lamb’s posture cannot be directly estimated from the movements, since absence of movement may be encountered when the lamb is standing as well as when it is lying.

Finally, it is important to note that the proposed approach does not require any knowledge of the background of the observed scene. The latter can even change during the sequence without decreasing the accuracy. Moreover, these proposed methods have a training step that eliminates the need for manual tuning. It is also worthwhile to note that it can process in real-time, except during the training period of the threshold detection used for the differentiation of the standing and lying postures. The time needed to analyse a one hour long video record is only 41 s for the side view, and 310 s for the top view, which implies more complex computations (values measured on a Dell Latitude E6230 laptop, with an Intel Core (TM) i7-3520M CPU running at 2.90 GHz under Microsoft Windows 7 Operating System).

In a practical use, depending of the objective of the study, different cases may be envisaged. With a top view camera, it will be possible to obtain the estimation of the movement and the locomotor activity. With a side view camera, it will be possible to obtain the estimation of the movement and the posture. If both posture and trajectory have to be estimated, it will be necessary to place two cameras and synchronize both recordings before the processing step.

5. Conclusion

The system presented here is currently being used at the Université de Sherbrooke in the context of polysomnography studies. But, data gained from automated video processing, as reported in the present study, could have multiple applications, as exemplified below. Accurate detection of standing posture by a side view camera will be invaluable for the automated scoring of sleep, by immediately allowing to recognize time epochs where lambs are standing as wakefulness. Quantification and pattern recognition of movement and locomotor activity by a combination of a side view camera and/or a top view camera will be of valuable assistance to characterize signs pertaining to the lamb’s welfare and good health, e.g., in the days following surgical instrumentation or after weaning from total liquid ventilation to spontaneous respiration in models of respiratory distress [41]. In addition, they will assist in recognizing abnormal neurological status, e.g. after exposure to toxic substances such as cigarette smoke [42] or polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) [43] in lambs.
These proposed methods can obviously be extended to other animals, for example for monitoring rehabilitation in cats with spinal cord injury, a promising area of translational research [44]. They can also be adapted for monitoring in humans, from newborns to adults. Several parts of the proposed algorithms would be useful for processing videos acquired in patients with epilepsy or various sleep disorders, as well as for the monitoring of falling persons. We are particularly interested in the video-monitoring of newborns and young infants, when sleep stages are often defined according to their behavior [45]. Interestingly, the non-invasiveness of our present video methods would allow to remove some sensors and improve neonatal well-being, which constitutes a crucial component of neonatal care nowadays [46].
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