

# **Polynomial Gap Extensions of the Erdős-Pósa Theorem**

### Jean-Florent Raymond, Dimitrios M. Thilikos

### **To cite this version:**

Jean-Florent Raymond, Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Polynomial Gap Extensions of the Erdős-Pósa Theorem. EuroComb: European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications, Sep 2013, Pisa, Italy. pp.13-18, 10.1007/978-88-7642-475-5 3. limm-01083659

# **HAL Id: lirmm-01083659 <https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-01083659v1>**

Submitted on 17 Nov 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Polynomial Gap Extensions of the Erdős–Pósa Theorem

Jean-Florent Raymond∗† Dimitrios M. Thilikos∗‡

#### Abstract

Given a graph H, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(H)$  all graphs that can be contracted to H. The following extension of the Erdős–Pósa theorem holds: for every h-vertex planar graph H, there exists a function  $f_H$  such that every graph G, either contains k disjoint copies of graphs in  $\mathcal{M}(H)$ , or contains a set of  $f_H(k)$  vertices meeting every subgraph of G that belongs in  $\mathcal{M}(H)$ . In this paper we prove that this is the case for every graph H of pathwidth at most 2 and, in particular, that  $f_H(k)=2^{O(h^2)} \cdot k^2 \cdot \log k$ . As a main ingredient of the proof of our result, we show that for every graph  $H$  on h vertices and pathwidth at most 2, either G contains  $k$  disjoint copies of H as a minor or the treewidth of G is upper-bounded by  $2^{O(h^2)} \cdot k^2 \cdot \log k$ . We finally prove that the exponential dependence on h in these bounds can be avoided if  $H = K_{2,r}$ . In particular, we show that  $f_{K_{2,r}} = O(r^2 \cdot k^2)$ .

Keywords: Treewidth, Graph Minors, Erdős–Pósa Theorem

### 1 Introduction

In 1965, Paul Erdős and Lajos Pósa proved that every graph that does not contain  $k$ disjoint cycles, contains a set of  $O(k \log k)$  vertices meeting all its cycles [\[9\]](#page-18-0). Moreover, they gave a construction asserting that this bound is tight. This classic result can be seen as a "loose" min-max relation between covering and packing of combinatorial objects. Various extensions of this result, referring to different notions of packing and covering, attracted the attention of many researchers in modern Graph Theory (see, *e.g.*  $[2, 14]$  $[2, 14]$ ).

<sup>∗</sup>Emails: [jeanflorent.raymond@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:jeanflorent.raymond@ens-lyon.fr), [sedthilk@thilikos.info](mailto:sedthilk@thilikos.info)

<sup>†</sup>LIRMM, Montpellier, France.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens and AlGCo projectteam, CNRS, LIRMM. Co-financed by the E.U. (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: "Thales. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund".

Given a graph H, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(H)$  the set of all graphs that can be contracted to H (*i.e.* if  $H' \in \mathcal{M}(H)$ , then H can be obtained from H' after contracting edges). We call the members of  $\mathcal{M}(H)$  models of H. Then the notions of covering and packing can be extended as follows: we denote by  $\mathbf{cover}_H(G)$  the minimum number of vertices that meet every model of H in G and by  $\mathbf{pack}_H(G)$  the maximum number of mutually disjoint models of H in G. We say that a graph H has the Erdős–Pósa Property if there exists a function  $f_H: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for every graph G,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>if 
$$
k = \text{pack}_H(G)
$$
, then  $k \leq \text{cover}_H(G) \leq f_H(k)$  (1)

We will refer to  $f_H$  as the gap of the Erdős–Pósa Property. Clearly, if  $H = K_3$ , then [\(1\)](#page-2-0) holds for  $f_{K_3} = O(k \log k)$  and the general question is to find, for each instantiation of  $H$ , the best possible estimation of the gap  $f<sub>H</sub>$ , if it exists.

It turns out that H has the Erdős–Pósa Property if and only if H is a planar graph. This beautiful result appeared as a byproduct of the Graph Minors series of Robertson and Seymour. In particular, it is a consequence of the grid-exclusion theorem, proved in [\[20\]](#page-18-2) (see also [\[6\]](#page-17-1)).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Proposition 1.** There is a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that if a graph excludes an r-vertex planar graph R as a minor, then its treewidth is bounded by  $g(r)$ .

In  $[20]$  Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas conjectured that g is a low degree polynomial function. Currently, the best known bound for g is  $g(k)=2^{O(k \log k)}$  and follows from [\[7\]](#page-17-2) and [\[18\]](#page-18-3) (see also [\[15,](#page-18-4) [20\]](#page-18-2) for previous proofs and improvements). As the function g is strongly used in the construction of the function  $f_H$  in [\(1\)](#page-2-0), the best, so far, estimation for  $f_H$  is far from being exponential in general. This initiated a quest for detecting instantiations of  $H$  where a polynomial gap  $f_H$  can be proved.

The first result in the direction of proving polynomial gaps for the Erdős–Pósa Property appeared in [\[12\]](#page-18-5) where H is the graph  $\theta_c$  consisting of two vertices connected by c multiple edges (also called c-pumpkin graph). In particular, in [\[12\]](#page-18-5) it was proved that  $f_{\theta_c}(k) = O(c^2k^2)$ . More recently Fiorini, Joret, and Sau optimally improved this bound by proving that  $f_{\theta_c}(k) \leq c_t \cdot k \cdot \log k$  for some computable constant  $c_t$ depending on  $c$  [\[11\]](#page-18-6). In [\[21\]](#page-18-7) Fiorini, Joret, and Wood proved that if T is a tree, then  $f_T(k) \leqslant c_T \cdot k$  where  $c_T$  is some computable constant depending on T. Finally, very recently, Fiorini [\[10\]](#page-18-8) proved that  $f_{K_4} = O(k \log k)$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Our main result is a polynomial bound on  $f_H$  for a broad family of planar graphs, namely those of pathwidth at most 2. We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** If H is an h-vertex graph of pathwidth at most 2 and  $h > 5$ , then [\(1\)](#page-2-0) holds for  $f_H(k)=2^{O(h^2)} \cdot k^2 \cdot \log k$ .

<span id="page-2-3"></span>Note that the contribution of h in  $f_H$  is exponential. However, such a dependence can be waived when we restrict H to be  $K_{2,r}$ . Our second result is the following:

**Theorem 2.** If  $H = K_{2,r}$ , then [\(1\)](#page-2-0) holds for  $f_H(k) = O(r^2 \cdot k^2)$ .

Both results above are based on a proof of Proposition [1,](#page-2-1) with polynomial  $g$ , for the cases where R consists of k disjoint copies of H and H is either a graph of pathwidth at most 2 or  $H = K_{2,3}$  (Theorems [3](#page-7-0) and [4](#page-7-1) respectively). For this, we follow an approach that makes strong use of the k-mesh structure introduced by Diestel et al. [\[7\]](#page-17-2) in their proof of Proposition [1.](#page-2-1) Our proof indicates that, when excluding copies of some graph of pathwidth at most 2, the entangled machinery of [\[7\]](#page-17-2) can be partially modified so that polynomial bounds on treewidth are possible. Finally, these bounds are then "translated" to polynomial bounds for the Erdős–Pósa gap using a technique developed in [\[13\]](#page-18-9) (see also [\[12\]](#page-18-5)).

### 2 Definitions and notations

#### 2.1 Basics

In this paper, logarithms are binary.

**Graphs and subgraphs** A graph G is a pair  $(V, E)$  where V is called the set of vertices of G and E is called the set of edges of G and satisfies  $E \subseteq V^2$ . Two vertices v, u of G are said to be *adjacent* if  $(u, v) \in E$ . A multigraph is a graph where multiple edges between two vertices are allowed. In this paper, the graphs we consider are finite, undirected and without loops. Unless otherwise specified, graphs are assumed to be simple (i.e. multiedges are not allowed).

For any graph  $G, V(G)$  (resp.  $E(G)$ ) denotes the set of vertices (resp. edges) of G. A graph  $G'$  is a subgraph of a graph  $G$  if  $V(G') \subseteq V(G)$  and  $E(G') \subseteq E(G)$  and we write it  $G' \subseteq G$ . If X is a subset of  $V(G)$ , we note  $G[X]$  the subgraph of G induced by X, i.e. the graph  $(X, \{xy \in E(G), x \in X \text{ and } y \in X\}).$ 

When talking about graphs, unless otherwise stated, by *disjoint* we mean vertexdisjoint. We denote by  $K_n$  the complete graph on n vertices and by  $K_{p,q}$  the complete bipartite graph with partitions of size  $p$  and  $q$ . For any integer  $k$  and any graph  $G$ , the graph  $k \cdot G$  is the disjoint union of k copies of the graph G. A pair  $\{A, B\}$  is a separation of a graph G if  $A \cup B = V((G)$  and G has no edge between  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$ . The integer  $|A \cap B|$  is the *order* of the separation  $\{A, B\}$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic graph classes: paths, cycles, trees, etc..

**Neighbourhood and degree** For any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the neighbourhood  $N_G(v)$ of v in G is the set of vertices that are adjacent to v in G. The degree of  $v \in V(G)$ in G, denoted  $\deg_G(v)$ , is the cardinal of  $N_G(v)$ . The minimum value taken by  $\deg_G$ in  $V(G)$  is called the *minimum degree* of G and denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . When dealing with multigraphs, the multidegree of a vertex v (written deg<sup>m</sup>(v)) is the number of simple edges incident to v. In these notations, we drop the subscript when it is obvious. The average degree over all vertices of a graph G is written  $\text{ad}(G)$ .

**Contractions** In a graph G, a contraction of the edge  $e = (u, v) \in E(G)$  is the operation that transforms G into a graph H such that  $V(H) = V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$   ${v_e}$  and  $E(H) = {(x, y) \in E(G), x \notin {u, v}$  and  $y \notin {u, v}$   $\cup$   ${(x, v_e), (x, u) \in E(G)}$  $E(G)$  or  $(x, v) \in E(G)$ . We say that a graph G can be *contracted* to a graph H if H is the result of a sequence of edge contractions on G.

Trees An acyclic connected graph is called a tree. The vertices of degree 1 of a tree are its leaves and its other vertices are called internal vertices. A tree whose every internal vertex has degree at most 3 is said to be ternary. A binary tree is a ternary tree whose one of the internal nodes, the root, is distinguished and has degree at most 2.

#### 2.2 More definitions

**Definition 1** (graph  $\Xi_r$ ). We define the graph  $\Xi_r$  as the graph of the following form (see figure [1\)](#page-4-0).

$$
\begin{cases}\nV(G) = \{x_0, \ldots, x_{r-1}, y_0, \ldots, y_{r-1}, z_0, \ldots, z_{r-1}\} \\
E(G) = \{(x_i, x_{i+1}), (z_i, z_{i+1})\}_{i \in [0, r-2]} \cup \{(x_i, y_i), (y_i, z_i)\}_{i \in [0, r-1]}\n\end{cases}
$$



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1: The graph  $\Xi_5$ 

**Definition 2** (minor model). A *minor model* (sometimes abbreviated *model*) of a graph H in a graph G is a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  where M is a collection of disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$  such that  $\forall X \in \mathcal{M}, G[X]$  is connected and  $\varphi: V(H) \to \mathcal{M}$  is a bijection that satisfies  $\forall \{u, v\} \in E(H), \exists u' \in \varphi(u), \exists v' \in \varphi(v), \{u', v'\} \in E(G)$ . We say that a graph H is a minor of a graph  $G$  ( $H \leq_m G$ ) if there is a minor model of H in G. Notice that  $H$  is a minor of  $G$  if  $H$  can be obtained by a subgraph of  $G$  after contracting edges.

**Definition 3** (degeneracies). The *degeneracy* of G, written  $\delta^*(G)$ , is the maximum value taken by  $\delta(G')$  over all subgraphs  $G'$  of  $G$ :

$$
\delta^*(G) = \max_{G' \subseteq G} \delta(G')
$$

Similarly, the *contraction degeneracy* of G, introduced in [\[3\]](#page-17-3) and denoted  $\delta_c(G)$ , is the maximum value of  $\delta(G')$  for all minors  $G'$  of  $G$ :

$$
\delta_c(G) = \max_{G' \leq m} \delta(G')
$$

Remark that, as a subgraph is a minor, for all graph  $G$  we have the following inequality

$$
\delta_c(G) \geq \delta^*(G)
$$

These definitions remains the same on multigraphs (we do not take into account the potential multiplicities of the edges).

Definition 4 (tree decomposition and treewidth). A tree decomposition of a graph G is a pair  $(T, \mathcal{X})$  where T is a tree and X a family  $(X_t)_{t \in V(T)}$  of subsets of  $V(G)$  (called bags) indexed by elements of  $V(T)$  and such that

- (i)  $\bigcup_{t \in V(T)} X_t = V(G);$
- (ii) for every edge e of G there is an element of X containing both ends of  $e$ ;
- (iii) for every  $v \in V(G)$ , the subgraph of T induced by  $\{t \in V(T) \mid v \in X_t\}$  is connected.

The *width* of a tree decomposition T is defined as equal to  $\max_{t \in V(T)} |X_t| - 1$ . The treewidth of G, written  $\text{tw}(G)$ , is the minimum width of any of its tree decompositions.

**Definition 5** (nice tree decomposition). A tree decomposition  $(T, V)$  of a graph G is said to be a nice tree decomposition if

- 1. every vertex of T has degree at most 3;
- 2. T is rooted on one of its vertices r whose bag is empty  $(V_r = \emptyset);$
- 3. every vertex  $t$  of  $T$  is
	- either a base node, i.e. a leaf of T whose bag is empty  $(V_t = \emptyset)$  and different from the root;
	- or an *introduce node*, *i.e.* a vertex with only one child  $t'$  such that  $V_{t'} =$  $V_t \cup \{u\}$  for some  $u \in V(G)$ ;
	- or a *forget node*, *i.e.* a vertex with only one child  $t'$  such that  $V_t = V_{t'} \cup \{u\}$ for some  $u \in V(G)$ ;
	- or a join node, i.e. a vertex with two child  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that  $V_t = V_{t_1} = V_{t_2}$ .

It is known that every graph has an optimal tree decomposition which is nice [\[16\]](#page-18-10).

Definition 6 (path decomposition and pathwidth). A path decomposition of a graph G is a tree decomposition T of G such that T is a path. Its width is the width of the tree decomposition T and the *pathwidth* of G, written  $\mathbf{pw}(G)$ , is the minimum width of any of its path decompositions.

**Definition 7** (linked and externally k-connected). Let  $k$  be a positive integer,  $G$  be a graph and  $X, Y$  be two subsets of  $V(G)$ .

X and Y are said to be *linked* by a path if there is a path in G from an element of  $X$  to an element of  $Y$ .

X and Y are said to be k-connected in G if for all disjoint subsets  $X' \subseteq X$  and  $Y' \subseteq Y$  such that  $|X'| = |Y'| \leq k$  there are  $|X'|$  disjoint paths between X' and Y'

in G. If these paths have no internal vertices nor edges in  $G[X \cup Y]$ , then X and Y are said to be externally k-connected in G. If  $X = Y$ , X is said to be (externally) kconnected in G.

**Definition 8** (k-mesh, [\[6\]](#page-17-1)). An (ordered) pair  $(A, B)$  of subsets of  $V(G)$  is a called a k-mesh of order s in G if  $V(G) = A \cup B$  and  $G[A]$  contains a ternary tree T such that

- (i)  $A \cap B \subseteq V(T)$  and  $A \cap B \cap V(T)$  are nodes of degree at most 2 in T;
- (ii) at least one leaf of T is in  $A \cap B$ ;
- (iii)  $|A \cap B| = s;$
- (iv)  $A \cap B$  is externally k-connected in B.

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>3 Preliminaries

**Proposition 2** (6), (12.14.5)). Let G be a graph and let  $p \ge q \ge 1$  be integers. If G contains no q-mesh of order p then G has treewidth less than  $p + q - 1$ .

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Proposition 3** (follows from [\[6\]](#page-17-1), (2.14.6)). Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let T be a tree of maximum degree at most 3 and  $X \subseteq V(T)$ . Then T has  $\left| \frac{|X|}{2k-1} \right| - 1$  vertex-disjoint subtrees each containing at least  $k$  vertices of  $X$ .

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Proposition 4** ( [\[4\]](#page-17-4)). For any integer  $r \geq 1$  and any graph G,

$$
G \not\geq_m K_{2,r} \Rightarrow \mathbf{tw}(G) < 2r - 2
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Proposition 5** ( [\[22\]](#page-18-11)). For any integer  $k \geq 1$  and any graph G, there exist sets  $V_1,\ldots,V_k$  partitioning  $V(G)$  (i.e.  $\sqcup_{i\in [1,k]} V_i = V(G)$ ) such that

$$
\forall i \in [\![1,k]\!], \forall u \in V_i, \ \deg_{V_i}(v) \geqslant \frac{\deg_G(v)}{k}-1
$$

In particular, if  $\delta(G) \geqslant p$  then  $\forall i \in [1, k]$ ,  $\delta(G[V_i]) \geqslant \frac{p}{k} - 1$ 

<span id="page-6-5"></span>**Proposition 6** (Erdős–Szekeres Theorem, [\[8\]](#page-18-12)). Let k and  $\ell$  be two strictly positive integers. Then any sequence of  $(\ell-1)(k-1)+1$  distinct integers contains either an increasing subsequence of length k or a decreasing subsequence of length  $\ell$ .

<span id="page-6-4"></span>**Proposition 7** ( [\[17\]](#page-18-13), [\[23\]](#page-18-14), [\[6\]](#page-17-1) (7.2.3)). There is a real constant c such that every graph of average degree more than a function  $c(t) = (c + o(1))t\sqrt{\log t}$  contains  $K_t$  as minor. According to [\[17\]](#page-18-13),  $c(t) < 648 \cdot t \sqrt{\log t}$ .

### 4 Excluding packings of planar graphs

Theorems [1](#page-2-2) and [2](#page-2-3) follow combining the two following results with the machinery introduced in [\[13\]](#page-18-9) (see also [\[12\]](#page-18-5)). They have independent interest as they detect cases of Theorem [1](#page-2-1) where  $q$  depends polynomially on  $k$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Theorem 3.** Let H be a graph of pathwidth at most 2 on  $r > 5$  vertices. If G does not contain k disjoint copies of H as minors then  $\text{tw}(G) \leq 2^{O(r^2)} \cdot k^2 \cdot \log 2k$ .

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem 4.** For every positive integer  $r$ , if  $G$  does not contain  $k$  disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$  as a minors then  $\text{tw}(G) < 20k^2r^2 - 8k^2r + 2r - 1$ .

#### <span id="page-7-5"></span>4.1 Auxiliary results

**Lemma 1.** Let G be a graph and let  $p \ge q \ge 1$  be integers. If  $\text{tw}(G) \ge 5pq - 2q + 2p - 1$ , then there exist 2q disjoint sets  $X_1, \ldots, X_{2q}$  of  $V(G)$  and a set  $P$  of pq disjoint paths in G of length at least 2 and such that

- <span id="page-7-2"></span>(i)  $\forall i \in [1, 2q], X_i$  is of size p and is connected in G by a tree  $T_i$  using the elements of some set  $A \subseteq V(G)$ ;
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>(ii) any path in P has one of its ends in some  $X_i$  with  $i \in [1, q]$ , the other end in some  $X_i$  with  $j \in [q+1, 2q]$  and its internal vertices are in none of the  $X_l$ , for all  $l \in [1, 2q]$ , nor in A.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>(iii)  $\forall i, j \in [1, 2k], i \neq j \Rightarrow T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$

*Proof.* Let G be a graph,  $p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$  two integers and assume that  $\text{tw}(G) \geqslant 5pq - 2q + 2p - 1$ . According to Proposition [2,](#page-6-0) G contains a  $(pq)$ -mesh of order  $(2p-1)(2q+1)$ . Let  $(A, B)$ be this mesh,  $X = A \cap B$  and let T be the tree related to A. By definition of a mesh, T is a tree of maximum degree 3 and  $X \subseteq V(T)$ .

Using Proposition [3,](#page-6-1) there exist  $\frac{|X|}{2p-1}$   $-1=2q$  disjoint subtrees  $T_1, \ldots T_{2q}$  of  $V(T)$  such that for all  $i \in [1, 2q], |\bar{V}(T_i) \cap X| \geq p$ . For all  $i \in [1, 2q],$  let  $X_i$  be a subset of  $V(T_i) \cap X$  such that  $|X_i| = p$ .

The set X is externally  $(pq)$ -connected in B (by definition of a mesh), *i.e.* any two subsets of  $X$  of size  $pq$  are linked by  $pq$  disjoint paths whose internally vertices are in B. Thus, the sets  $Z_1 = \bigcup_{i \in [\![1,q]\!]} X_i$  and  $Z_2 = \bigcup_{i \in [\![q+1,2q]\!]} X_i$  (whose each is of size  $pq$ ) are externally connected in B. Let  $P$  be these  $pq$  paths between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . We now check the conditions [\(i\)](#page-7-2), [\(ii\)](#page-7-3) and [\(iii\)](#page-7-4) on  $\{X_i\}_{i\in\llbracket 1,2q\rrbracket}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ .

- [\(i\)](#page-7-2) by definition of  $\{X_i\}_{i\in\llbracket 1,2q\rrbracket}$ , for all  $i\in\llbracket 1,2q\rrbracket$ ,  $|X_i|=p$  and  $X_i$  belongs to  $V(T_i)$ , therefore  $X_i$  is connected in G by the tree  $T_i$ ;
- [\(ii\)](#page-7-3)  $P$  contains disjoint paths such that
	- $\bullet$  they do not use elements of A (by definition);
	- they are external to  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  (*i.e.* none of their internal vertices belongs to  $X_i$ , for all  $i \in [1, 2q]$ ;

• any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  links  $Z_1$  to  $Z_2$ , thus p have one end in  $Z_1$  and the other end in  $Z_2$ , put another way p have one end in some  $X_i$  for  $i \in [1, 2q]$  and the other end in some  $X_j$  for some  $j \in [q + 1, 2q]$ .

[\(iii\)](#page-7-4) by definition the  $T_i$ 's are all disjoint.

The sets  $\{X_i\}_{i\in[\![1,2q]\!]}$  satisfies the properties [\(i\)](#page-7-2), [\(ii\)](#page-7-3) and [\(iii\)](#page-7-4) so we found these s we were looking for. sets we were looking for.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 2.** For any integer  $a \geq 1$  and for any graph G,  $V(G)$  contains more than  $(1-\frac{1}{a})|V(G)|$  vertices of degree strictly less than  $2a\delta^*G$ . In particular,  $V(G)$  contains at least  $\frac{|V(G)|}{2}$  vertices of degree strictly less than  $\delta^*(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \geq 1$  be an integer and let G be a graph.

Let  $n_h$  be the number of vertices of G with degree at least  $h = 2a \times \delta^*(G)$ , *i.e.*  $n_h = |\{v \in V(G), \deg(v) \geq h\}|$  and  $n_{-h}$  the number of vertices of degree strictly less than h, i.e.  $n_{-h} = |V(G)| - n_h$ . Clearly, there is at least  $\frac{1}{2}hn_h$  edges incident the  $n_h$  vertices of degree at least  $h$ . We thus have:

$$
\frac{1}{2}hn_h \leq |E(G)| \qquad \text{(because there may be other edges)}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) \qquad \text{(Handshaking lemma)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{hn_h}{|V(G)|} \leq \frac{\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)}{|V(G)|}
$$
\n
$$
< 2\delta^*(G) \qquad \left(\text{because } \frac{\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)}{|V(G)|} = \text{ad}(G) < 2\delta^*(G)\right)
$$
\n
$$
n_h < |V(G)| \left(1 - \frac{2\delta^*(G)}{h}\right)
$$
\n
$$
> |V(G)| \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \qquad \text{(by replacing } h \text{ by its value)}
$$

Finally, we found that G contains more than  $|V(G)| \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right)$  vertices of degree strictly less than  $2a \times \delta^*(G)$ , what we wanted to prove. ⊡

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Lemma 3.** Let k, r be two positive integers and G a graph such that  $\delta_c(G) \geqslant 2kr$ . Then G contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$  as minors.

*Proof.* Let  $k, r$  be two positive integers and G a graph of contraction degeneracy at least  $2kr$ . Then G has a minor G' such that  $\delta(G') \geq 2kr$ .

According to Proposition [5,](#page-6-2) there is a partition  $V = \{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$  of  $V(G')$  such that

$$
\forall V_i \in \mathcal{V}, \ \delta(G'[V_i]) \geqslant \frac{2kr}{k} - 1 = 2r - 1
$$

The minimum degree of a graph is a lower bound for its treewidth, then any  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$  has treewidth at least  $2r - 1$ , and thus by Proposition [4](#page-6-3)  $V_i$  contains  $K_{2,r}$  as a minor. V is a partition of size k of  $V(G')$  and each element of V contains  $K_{2,r}$  as a minor consequently G' contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$  as minors. As G' is a minor of G, G contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$  as minors, what we wanted to show.

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.** Let T be a ternary tree and  $X = \{v \in V(T), \deg_T(v) \leq 2\}$ . Then

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>(i) for any path P on l vertices in  $T$ ,  $T$  has a partition  $M$  such that
	- a) every vertex of P belongs to a different element of  $\mathcal{M}$ ;
	- b) every element of  $M$  contains an element of  $X$ .
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>(ii) T has diameter at least  $2 \log \frac{2}{3} |X|$ .

*Proof of [\(i\)](#page-9-0).* Let T, X, P be as in the statement of the lemma. For every  $u \in V(P)$ , we set  $M_u$  as the set of vertices of the connected component  $G\setminus (P\setminus \{u\})$  that contains u. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_u\}_{u \in P}$ . Clearly, for all  $u, v \in V(P)$ , if  $u \neq v$  then  $M_u \cap M_v = \emptyset$ . Also, since T is connected, there is no vertex of  $V(T)$  that is not in an element of . Therefore M is a partition of  $V(T)$ . By definition, for every  $u \in V(P)$ ,  $u \in M_u$ . Besides, every element  $M$  of  $M$  contains either exactly one element, which is necessarily a vertex of degree 2 in  $T$ , or more than one element ad in this case it induces in  $G$  a tree whose leaves are also leaves of G. In both cases M contains an element of X as required.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of [\(ii\)](#page-9-1).* Let  $P = p_0 \dots p_k$  be a longest path in T. In order to be able to use the notions of height and of child, we root T at node  $n_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$  (which is clearly not a leave).

We prove the proposition for the case where  $T$  has no vertices of degree two. If this is not the case, we can just add a leaf as child of every vertex of degree two. As these vertices have an other child, there is at least one longest path that use none of the new vertices.

Let  $\ell = |X|$ . By contradiction, assume that  $k < 2 \log \frac{2}{3}\ell$ .

Let  $T'$  be the full ternary tree of height  $\begin{bmatrix} k' \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . As  $T'$  is complete, it has  $3.2^{\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}-1}$ leaves. The tree  $T'$  clearly contains  $T$  as subgraph because they have same height, thus T' has at most as much leaves as T, *i.e.*  $l \leqslant 3 \cdot 2^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1}$ . If we use our first assumption, we get:

$$
l \leqslant 3 \cdot 2^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1}
$$
  
< 
$$
< 3 \cdot 2^{\lceil \log \frac{2}{3} \ell \rceil - 1}
$$
  

$$
l < l
$$

<span id="page-9-2"></span>We obtain a contradiction, thus our assumption  $k < 2 \log \frac{2}{3} \ell$  was false: T has diameter at least  $2 \log \frac{2}{3} |X|$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let k, r be two positive integers and  $G = ((V_1, V_2), E)$  a bipartite multigraph such that

$$
|V_1| = |V_2| \ge 4k^2r
$$
  

$$
\forall v \in V(G), \deg^m(v) = 2kr^2
$$
  

$$
\delta^*(G) < 2kr
$$

Then G has at least k (vertex-)disjoint multiedges of multiplicity at least  $r$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a graph that fill the conditions of the lemma. For  $(u, v) \in E(G)$ , let mult $(u, v)$  denote the multiplicity of the edge  $(u, v)$ . According to lemma [2,](#page-8-0) G contains at least  $\frac{1}{2}V(G) \ge 4k^2r$  vertices of degree strictly less than  $\delta^*(G) < 2kr$ . Then, one of  $V_1, V_2$  contains at least  $2k^2r$  such vertices. We assume without loss of generality that this is  $V_1$ . Let L be a subset of  $V_1$  of size  $2k^2r$  containing vertices of degree strictly less than  $2kr$ . For all  $v \in L$ , v has degree less than  $2kr$  (by definition of L) and multidegree  $2kr^2$  (by initial assumption) so there is a least one  $u \in V_2$  such that  $\text{mult}(u, v) \geq r$ .

We now define an auxiliary function. Let  $f : L \to V_2$  a function such that  $\forall v \in L$ , mult $(v, f(v)) \geq r$ . According to the previous remark, such a function exists. For all  $u \in f(L)$ , the multidegree of u is by assumption  $2kr^2$  thus u cannot be the image of more than  $\frac{\deg^{m}(u)}{r} = 2kr$  elements of L. Consequently,  $f(L)$  has size at least  $\frac{|L|}{2kr} \geq k$ . Remark that for all  $u_1, u_2 \in f(L)$  with  $u_1 \neq u_2$ , the preimages of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ are disjoint.

We finally show k disjoint multiedges of multiplicity at least r in  $G$ . Choose  $k$ distinct elements  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  of  $f(L)$  and for all  $i \in [1, k]$  let  $v_i$  be an element of L in the preimage of  $u_i$  (i.e. such that  $f(v_i) = u_i$ ). As said before, the preimages of distinct elements of  $f(L)$  are distinct so the  $v_i$ 's are all distinct. By definition  $\forall i \in [1, k], f(v_i) = u_i$  so there is an edge of multiplicity r between  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  in G. Therefore,  $\{(v_i, u_i)\}_{i \in \llbracket 1,k \rrbracket}$  is the set of edges we were looking for.

 $\Box$ 

In [\[19\]](#page-18-15) we prove the following lemma.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Lemma 6** ( [\[19\]](#page-18-15)). For all graph G, if  $n = |V(G)|$ , then  $\text{pw}(G) \leq 2 \Rightarrow G \leq_m \Xi_n$ .

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Lemma 7.** For all positive integers p, q and all graph G, if  $\text{tw}(G) \geq 20p^2q^2 - 8p^2q +$  $2q-1$  and  $\delta_c(G) < 2pq$  then G contains  $2p$  disjoint subsets  $X_1, \ldots, X_{2p}$  of  $V(G)$  and a set  $P$  of pq disjoint paths of length at least 2 in  $G$  such that

- (i)  $\forall i \in [1, 2p], X_i$  is of size q and is connected in G by a tree  $T_i$  using the elements of some set  $A \subseteq V(G)$ ;
- (ii) any path in P has one of its ends in some  $X_i$  with  $i \in [1,p]$ , the other end in  $X_{2i}$  with  $j \in [q+1, 2p]$  and its internal vertices are in none of the  $X_l$ , for all  $l \in [1, 2p]$ , nor in A;
- (iii)  $\forall i, j \in [1, 2p], i \neq j \Rightarrow T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset.$

*Proof.* According to lemma [1,](#page-7-5) G contains  $8p^2q$  disjoint sets  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{8p^2q}$  of  $V(G)$  and a set  $P$  of  $4p^2q^2$  disjoint paths in G of length at least 2 and such that

- (i)  $\forall i \in [1, 8p^2q], Y_i$  is of size q and is connected in G by a tree  $T_i$  using the elements of some set  $A \subseteq V(G)$ ;
- (ii) any path in P has one of its ends in some  $Y_i$  with  $i \in [1, 4p^2q]$ , the other end in some  $Y_j$  with  $j \in [4p^2q + 1, 8p^2q]$  and its internal vertices are in none of the  $Y_l$ , for all  $l \in [1, 8p^2q]$ , nor in A;

(iii) 
$$
\forall i, j \in [\![1, 8p^2q]\!], i \neq j \Rightarrow T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset.
$$

Let us consider the bipartite multigraph  $H$  defined by

- $V(H) = \{Y_i\}_{i \in [\![1,8p^2q]\!]}$ ;
- for all n integer and  $i, j \in [\![1, 8p^2q]\!]$  there is an edge of multiplicity m between the two vertices  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  iff there is exactly m paths from a vertex of  $Y_i$  to a vertex of  $Y_j$  in  $P$ .

Clearly, H is a minor of G. Consequently  $2pq > \delta_c(G) \geq \delta_c(H) \geq \delta^*(H)$ .

The three conditions required on  $H$  by lemma [5](#page-9-2) are filled, so  $H$  contains  $p$  disjoint multiedges of multiplicity q.

By construction of  $H$ , having an edge of multiplicity  $m$  in  $H$  is equivalent to having m distinct paths in P between two sets  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$ , then having p disjoint multiedges of multiplicity q in H is equivalent to having p disjoint pairs  $(X_i, X_{2i})_{i \in [\![1,p]\!]}$ of elements of  ${Y_i}_{i\in\llbracket 1,4p^2q\rrbracket}$  and a set P of pq paths that contains q paths that links the two elements of each of the *p* pairs. The set  $\{X_i\}_{i\in\llbracket 1,2p\rrbracket}$  is thus the one we were looking for. looking for.

#### 4.2 Proof of Theorem [3](#page-7-0)

*Proof of theorem [3.](#page-7-0)* We prove the contrapositive. Let k be a integer, H a graph on  $r > 5$  vertices and of pathwidth at most 2 and G a graph. From Proposi-tion [6,](#page-10-0)  $H \leq_m \Xi_r$ . If we show that G contains k disjoint copies of  $\Xi_r$  as minors then we are done. Let  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
g(k,r) = k^2 \log 2k \left( 180 \cdot 2^{r(r-2)} - 24 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-2)} \right) + 6 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-2)} - 1
$$

We prove the following statement: for all graph  $G$ ,  $\text{tw}(G) \geq g(k, r)$  implies that  $G \geq_{\text{m}}$  $k \cdot \Xi_r$ . Let k and  $r > 5$  be two positive integers and assume that  $\text{tw}(G) \geq g(k, r)$ . First case:  $\delta_c(G) \geqslant c \cdot 3rk\sqrt{\log 3rk}$ .

By definition of the contraction degeneracy, there is a graph  $G'$  minor of  $G$  and such that  $\delta(G') \geqslant c \cdot \frac{3rk\sqrt{\log 3rk}}{2}$ . The average degree is at least the minimum degree, so  $\text{ad}(G') \geqslant c \cdot 3rk\sqrt{\log 3rk}$ . According to Proposition [7,](#page-6-4) G' contains  $K_{3kr}$  as minor.

The graph  $\Xi_r$  have 3r vertices, therefore  $K_{3kr}$  contains  $k \cdot \Xi_r$  as minor. We then have  $k \cdot \Xi_r \leq m$   $K_{3kr}$ ,  $K_{3kr} \leq m$  G' and  $G' \leq m$  G, therefore by transitivity of the minor relation, G contains  $k \cdot \Xi_r$  as minor, what we wanted to show. Second case:  $\delta_c(G) < c \cdot 3rk\sqrt{\log 3rk}$ .

Observe that  $c \cdot 3rk\sqrt{\log 3rk} < c \cdot 3r\sqrt{\log 6r} \cdot k\sqrt{\log 2k}$ . Let  $k_0 = k\sqrt{\log 2k}$  and  $r_0 = 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{r(r-2)}{2}}$ , and remark that  $k_0 \geq k$  and,  $r_0 \geq c \cdot 3r \sqrt{\log 6r}$  (remember that  $c \le 648$  and  $r > 5$ ). With these notations, we have  $\delta_c(G) < 2k_0r_0$ . We will show that  $G \geqslant_m k_0 \cdot K_{2,r}$  from which yields that  $G \geqslant_m k \cdot K_{2,r}$ . By assumption,  $\text{tw}(G) \geqslant g(k,r)$ . Therefore, by Lemma [7](#page-10-1) (applied for  $p := k_0$  and  $q := r_0$ ), G contains  $2k_0$  subsets  $X_1,\ldots,X_{2k_0}$  of  $V(G)$  and a set  $\mathcal P$  of  $k_0r_0=3k_0\cdot 2^{\frac{r(r-2)}{2}}$  disjoint paths of length at least  $2$  in  ${\cal G}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall i \in [1, 2k_0], X_i$  is of size  $r_0 = 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{r(r-2)}{2}}$  and is connected in G by a tree  $T_i$ using the elements of some set  $A \subseteq V(G)$ ;
- (ii) any path in P has one of its ends in some  $X_i$  with  $i \in [1, k_0]$ , the other end in  $X_{2i}$  and its internal vertices are in none of the  $X_l$ , for all  $l \in [1, 2k_0]$ , nor in A;
- (iii)  $\forall i, j \in [1, 2k_0], i \neq j \Rightarrow T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset.$

We assume that for all  $i \in [1, 2k_0], X_i = \{v \in V(T_i), \deg_T(v) \leq 2\}$ . It is easy to come down to this case by considering the minor of  $G$  obtained after deleting in  $T_i$ the leaves that are not in  $X_i$  and contracting one edge meeting a vertex of degree 2 which is not in  $X$  while such a vertex exists.

As  $T_i$  is a ternary tree, one can easily prove that for all  $i \in [1, 2k_0]$ ,  $T_i$  contains a path containing  $2\log \frac{2}{3}|X_i| = (r-1)^2 + 1$  vertices of  $X_i$ . Let us call  $P_i$  such a path whose two ends are in  $X_i$ . Let us consider now the paths  $\{P_i\}_{i\in\llbracket 1,2k_0\rrbracket}$  and the paths that link the elements of different  $P_i$ 's. For each path  $i \in [1, 2k_0]$ , we choose in  $P_i$ one end vertex (remember that both are in  $X_i$ ) that we name  $p_{i,0}$ . We follow  $P_i$  from this vertex and we denote the other vertices of  $P_i \cap X_i$  by  $p_{i,1}, p_{i,1}, \ldots, p_{i,(r-1)^2}$  in this order. The corresponding vertex of some vertex  $p_{i,j}$  of  $P_i \cap X_i$  (for  $i \in [1, k_0]$ ) is defined as the vertex of  $P_{2i} \cap X_{2i}$  to which  $p_{i,j}$  is linked to by a path of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

As said before, the sets  $\{P_i \cap X_i\}_{i \in [\![1,2k_0]\!]}$  are of size  $(r-1)^2 + 1$ . Accord-ing to Proposition [6,](#page-6-5) one can find for all  $i \in [1, k_0]$  a subsequence of length r in  $p_{i,0}, p_{i,1},\ldots,p_{i,(r-1)^2}$ , such that the corresponding vertices in  $X_{2i}$  of this sequence are either in the same order (with respect to the subscripts of the names of the vertices), or in reverse order. For all  $i \in [1, k_0]$ , this subsequence, its corresponding vertices and the vertices of the paths that link them together forms a  $\Xi_r$  model. We have thus  $k_0$  models of  $\Xi_r$  in G, that gives us k disjoint models of  $\Xi_r$  in G (since  $k \leq k_0$ ).

We showed that for all k and  $r > 5$  positive integers, if a graph G has  $\mathbf{tw}(G) \geq$  $g(k, r)$ , then  $G \geq m k \cdot \Xi_r$ . For every graph H on r vertices and of pathwidth at most 2, H is a minor of the subdivided grid  $\Xi_r$  (Proposition [6\)](#page-10-0). Consequently, if G has treewidth at least  $q(k, r)$ , then G contains k disjoint copies of H and we are done.  $\Box$ 

#### 4.3 Proof of Theorem [4](#page-7-1)

*Proof of theorem [4.](#page-7-1)* We prove the contrapositive. Let k and r be two positive integers and G a graph such that  $\text{tw}(G) \geq 20k^2r^2 - 8k^2r + 2k - 1$ . We want to show that G contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$ .

First case:  $\delta_c(G) \geqslant 2kr$ 

According to lemma [3,](#page-8-1) G contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$ , what we wanted to show.

Second case:  $\delta_c(G) < 2kr$ 

According to lemma [7,](#page-10-1) there exist 2k disjoint subsets  $X_1, \ldots, X_{2k}$  of  $V(G)$  and a set  $P$  of disjoint paths of length at least 2 such that

- (i)  $\forall i \in [1, 2k], X_i$  is of size r and is connected in G by a tree  $T_i$  using the elements of some set  $A \subseteq V(G);$
- (ii) any path in  $P$  has one of its ends in some  $X_i$  with  $i \in [1, k]$ , the other end in  $X_{2i}$  with  $j \in [q+1, 2k]$  and its internal vertices are in none of the  $X_l$ , for all  $l \in [1, 2k]$ , nor in A;
- (iii)  $\forall i, j \in [1, 2k], i \neq j \Rightarrow T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset.$

We then perform the following operations on G.

- 1. for all  $i \in [1, 2k]$ , we contract the set  $X_i$  to a single vertex  $x_i$  (this is possible because  $X_i$  is connected by the tree  $T_i$ ;
- 2. for all path  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we contract some edges of p until it have length exactly 2.

Because it has been obtained by contraction of edges, the graph  $G'$  we get by these operations is a minor of G. This new graph has the following properties.

- 1. for all  $i \in [1, k]$ , the vertex  $x_i$  is linked to the vertex  $x_{2i}$  by r disjoint paths of length 2;
- 2. for all  $i, j \in [1, k]$   $i \neq j \Rightarrow x_i \neq x_j$  because the trees  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  contracted to obtain  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are disjoint.

Remark that for all  $i \in [1, k]$ , the subgraph of G' induced by the vertices  $x_i, x_{2i}$  and the r middle vertices of the paths of length 2 that links  $x_i$  and  $x_{2i}$  is the graph  $K_{2,r}$ . We consequently found k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$  in a minor of G, so G contains  $k \times K_{2,r}$ as minor, what we wanted to prove.

 $\Box$ 

## 5 From planar graph exclusion to Erdős–Pósa Property

In the section, we adapt to our needs the technique introduced in [\[13\]](#page-18-9) (and also used in [\[12\]](#page-18-5)) to translate a bound on the treewidth of a graph that does not contain a planar graph as minor to a gap for the Erdős–Pósa Property. We need two lemmata and a theorem in order to prove Theorems [1](#page-2-2) and [2.](#page-2-3)

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Lemma 8** (adapted from [\[13\]](#page-18-9)). Let H be a connected planar graph. Every graph G of treewidth w such that  $\textbf{pack}_H(G) = k$  has a separation  $(A, B)$  of order at most  $w + 1$  satisfying  $\mathbf{pack}_H(G[A \setminus B]) \leq \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$  and  $A \cup B = V(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let H be a connected planar graph, G be a graph of treewidth  $w$  such that  $\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G) = k$  and  $(T, V)$  be a nice optimal tree decomposition of G. For every  $t \in V(T)$ , we denote by  $G_t$  the subgraph of G equal to  $G[(\cup_{u \in \text{desc}_T(t)} V_u) \setminus V_t]$ . We consider the function  $p: V(T) \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $\forall t \in V(T)$ ,  $p(t) = \textbf{pack}_H(G_t)$ . Let us now state some remarks about the function  $p$ .

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Remark 1. For every two vertices  $u, v \in V(T)$ , if  $v \in \text{desc}_T(u)$  then p is nondecreasing along the (unique) path of  $T$  from  $v$  to  $u$ . To see this, it suffices to remark that if  $t \in V(T)$  has child  $t'$ , then  $G_t \supseteq G_{t'}$  (what implies that  $G_v \supseteq G_u$ ).

<span id="page-14-4"></span>In particular,  $p$  is non-decreasing along the path from every vertex of  $T$  to the root of T.

*Remark* 2. As  $T$  is a nice decomposition of  $G$ , its vertices can be of four different kinds:

- Base node  $t : p(t) = 0$  because as t has no descendant,  $G_t = \emptyset$ ;
- Introduce node t with child t': as the unique element of  $V_t \setminus V_{t'}$  cannot appear in the elements of  $\text{desc}_T(t')$  (by definition of a tree decomposition),  $G_t = G_{t'}$ and then  $p(t) = p(t')$ ;
- Forget node t with child t': in this case, the unique element of  $G_t \setminus G_{t'}$  may be part of at most one model of  $H$  in  $G_t$  (because we want vertex-disjoint models) therefore either  $p(t) = p(t')$  or  $p(t) = p(t') + 1$ ;
- Join node t with children  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ : the graphs  $G_{t_1}$  and  $G_{t_2}$  are disjoint and  $G_t = G_{t_1} \cup G_{t_2}$ . As H is connected, there is no model of H in  $G_t$  that is simultaneously in  $G_{t_1}$  and in  $G_{t_2}$ , consequently  $p(t) = p(t_1) + p(t_2)$ .

Let t be a vertex of T such that  $p(t) > \frac{2}{3}k$  and for every child t' of t,  $p(t') \leq \frac{2}{3}k$ . We make some claims about this vertex  $t$ :

- <span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span> $(1)$  such t exists;
- <span id="page-14-3"></span> $(2)$  t is unique;
- $(3)$  t is either a forget node or a join node.

*Proof of Claim [\(1\)](#page-14-0).* The value of p on the root r of T is k (because  $G_r = G$ ) and the value of p on every base nodes b is 0 (because  $G_b$  is the empty graph). As p is non decreasing on a path from a base node to the root (Remark [1\)](#page-14-1), a vertex such  $t$ exists.  $\Diamond$ 

*Proof of Claim [\(2\)](#page-14-2).* To show that t is unique, we assume by contradiction that there is another  $t' \in V(T)$  with  $t' \neq t$  and  $p(t') > \frac{2}{3}k$  and for every child  $t''$  of  $t, p(t'') \leq \frac{2}{3}k$ . Three cases can occur:

- either  $t'$  is a descendant of  $t$ . However,  $p$  is non decreasing on a path from a vertex to the root (Remark [1\)](#page-14-1) and  $p(t') \geq \frac{2}{3}k$  whereas the value of p for each child of t is at most  $\frac{2}{3}k$  (by definition of t): this is a contradiction.
- or t is a descendant of t' and the same argument applies (symmetric situation).

• or t and t' are not in the above situations. Let  $v \in V(T) \setminus \{t, t'\}$  be the least common ancestor of t and  $t'$ . As p is non decreasing along any path from a vertex to the root (Remark [1\)](#page-14-1), the child  $v_t$  (resp.  $v_{t'}$ ) of v whose t (resp. t') is descendant of should be such  $p(v_t) > \frac{2}{3}k$  (resp.  $p(v_{t'}) > \frac{2}{3}k$ ). By definition of v, we have  $v_t \neq v_{t'}$ . As v is a join node,  $p(v) = p(v_t) + p(v_{t'}) > \frac{4}{3}k$ , what is impossible.

 $\Diamond$ 

 $\Diamond$ 

*Proof of Claim [\(3\)](#page-14-3).* By definition the value of p on t is strictly positive and different from the value of  $p$  on every child of  $t$ . As this cannot occur with introduce nodes (where p take on t the same value it takes on the child of t) nor on base nodes (where  $p$  is null),  $t$  is either a join node or a forget node.

We now present a separation  $(A, B)$  of order at most  $w + 1$  in G. **Case 1:**  $t$  is a forget node with  $t'$  as child.

Let  $A = V(G_t) \cup V_t$  and  $B = V(G) \setminus V(G_t)$ .

**Case 2:** t is a join node with  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  as children.

By definition of t we have  $p(t) \geqslant \frac{2k}{3}$ . As  $p(t) = p(t_1) + p(t_2)$  (according to Remark [2\)](#page-14-4) there is a  $i \in \{1,2\}$  such that  $p(t_i) \geq \frac{k}{3}$ . Let  $A = V(G_{t_i}) \cup V_t$  and  $B = V(G) \setminus V(G_{t_i})$ 

In both cases, we have

- (i) there is no edge between  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$  therefore  $(A, B)$  is a separation;
- (ii)  $|A \cap B| \leq w + 1$  because  $A \cap B = V_t$  and  $V_t$  is a bag in an optimal tree decomposition of G which have treewidth w, thus  $(A, B)$  is a separation of order at most  $w + 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbf{pack}_H(G[A \setminus B]) \leq \frac{2}{3}k$  by definition of A and t;
- (iv)  $A \cup B = V(G)$  by definition of B.

<span id="page-15-1"></span> $\Box$ Consequently, the pair  $(A, B)$  is a separation of the kind we were looking for.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

**Lemma 9** (adapted from [\[13\]](#page-18-9)). Let H be a connected planar graph, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a real, and let  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a function such that  $g(n) = \Omega(n^{1+\epsilon})$ . For every integer  $k > 0$  and graph G of treewidth less than  $g(k)$ , if G contains less than k disjoint models of H then G has a H-hitting set of size  $O(q(k))$ .

*Proof.* We assume that  $\text{tw}(G) < g(k)$  and that  $\text{pack}_{H}(G) < k$ . According to Lemma [8,](#page-13-0) there is in G a separation  $(A, B)$  of order at most  $g(k)$  such that  $\mathbf{pack}_H (G[A \setminus$  $B]$ )  $\leqslant \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$  and  $A \cup B = V(G)$ .

Remark that as  $\{A \setminus B, A \cap B, B \setminus A\}$  is a partition of  $V(G)$  such that there is no edge between  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$  (because  $(A, B)$ ) is a separation), every model of the connected graph H that use vertices of  $A \setminus B$  and of  $B \setminus A$  also use vertices of  $A \cap B$ . Consequently we have

$$
cover_H(G) \leq cover_H(G[A \setminus B]) + cover_H(G[B \setminus A]) + |A \cap B| \tag{2}
$$

As H is connected and  $A \setminus B$  is disjoint from  $B \setminus A$ , we also have

$$
\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G) \geq \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[A \setminus B]) + \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[B \setminus A])
$$

Let  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  be a real such that

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[A \setminus B]) \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G) \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[A \setminus B]) \leq (1 - \alpha) \cdot \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G) \tag{4}
$$

We are looking for a function f satisfying the inequality  $\mathbf{cover}_H(G) \leq f(\mathbf{pack}_H(G))$ for every graph  $G$  and for every planar connected graph  $H$ . A consequence of the grid-exclusion theorem (see [\[20\]](#page-18-2) and Theorems 12.4.4 and 12.4.10 of [\[6\]](#page-17-1)) is that every planar graph has the Erdős–Pósa Property, thus a function such  $f$  exists. We assume without loss of generality that

$$
f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G)) \leq \mathbf{cover}_{H}(G[A \setminus B]) + \mathbf{cover}_{H}(G[B \setminus A]) + |A \cap B|
$$
 (5)

(to ensure this we can choose as value for  $f(\textbf{pack}_H (G))$  the minimum of the right part of the inequality on all graphs F such that  $\mathbf{pack}_H(F) = \mathbf{pack}_H(G)$ .

By combining the definition of f with  $(5)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  and using the fact that  $(A, B)$  has order at most  $g(k)$ , we get

$$
f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G)) \leq \mathbf{cover}_{H}(G[A \setminus B]) + \mathbf{cover}_{H}(G[B \setminus A]) + |A \cap B|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[A \setminus B])) + f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[B \setminus A])) + |A \cap B|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[A \setminus B])) + f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G[B \setminus A])) + g(k)
$$
  
\n
$$
f(\mathbf{pack}_{H}(G)) \leq f(\alpha \cdot \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G)) + f((1 - \alpha) \cdot \mathbf{pack}_{H}(G)) + g(k)
$$

By the Akra–Bazzi Theorem [\[1\]](#page-17-5), the recurrence  $h(p) = h(\alpha p) + h((1-\alpha)p) + g(p)$ where  $g(p) = \Omega(p^{1+\epsilon})$  is satisfied by a function  $f(p) = O(g(p))$ . Therefore we have **cover**<sub>H</sub>(G)  $\leq f(k) = O(g(k))$ , which means that G has a H-hitting set of size  $O(g(k))$ , what we wanted to prove.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-16-2"></span>The proofs of Theorems [1](#page-2-2) and [2](#page-2-3) immediately follow from this theorem combined with lemmata [3](#page-7-0) and [4.](#page-7-1)

**Theorem 5** (adapted from [\[13\]](#page-18-9)). Let H be a connected planar graph, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a real. Assume that there is a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g(n) = \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$  and for all graph G, for all integer  $k > 0$ ,  $\text{tw}(G) \geqslant g(k) \Rightarrow G \geqslant_m k \cdot H$ . Then H has the Erdős–Pósa Property with gap  $f_H(k) = O(g(k))$ .

*Proof.* Let H,  $\varepsilon$  and g be as in the statement of the lemma. Let G be a graph. Case 1:  $tw(G) \geq g(k)$ 

By definition of  $g$ ,  $G$  contains  $k \cdot H$ .

Case 2:  $tw(G) < g(k)$ 

If G does not contain k disjoint models of H, it has a H-hitting set of size  $O(q(k))$ according to Lemma [9.](#page-15-1)

Consequently, either G contains k disjoint models of  $H$ , or G has a H-hitting set of size  $O(g(k))$ , in other words: H has the Erdős–Pósa Property with gap  $f_H(k)$  =  $O(g(k)).$  $\Box$ 

Proof. Proofs of Theorems [1](#page-2-2) and [2](#page-2-3) According to Theorem [3,](#page-7-0) there is a function  $f(k)=2^{O(h^2)} \cdot k^2 \cdot \log k$  such that for every graph H on h vertices and of pathwidth at most 2, every graph G of treewidth more than  $f(k)$  contains k disjoint copies of H. The application of Theorem [5](#page-16-2) immediately yields that the graphs of pathwidth at most 2 have the Erdős–Pósa Property with gap at most  $f$ .

Similarly, since Theorem [4](#page-7-1) ensure that every graph of treewidth more than some function  $g(k,r) = O(k^2r^2)$  contains k disjoint copies of  $K_{2,r}$ , the application of Theo-rem [5](#page-16-2) gives that for every integer  $r > 0$ , the graph  $K_{2,r}$  has the Erdős–Pósa Property with gap at most  $q$ .  $\Box$ 

**Postscript.** Very recently, the general open problem of estimating  $f_H(k)$  when  $H$  is a general planar graph has been tackled in  $[5]$ . Moreover, very recently, using the results of [\[18\]](#page-18-3) we were able to improve both Theorems [3](#page-7-0) and [2](#page-2-3) by proving low degree polynomial (on both k and  $|V(H)|$ ) bounds for more general instantiations of  $H$  [\[19\]](#page-18-15).

# <span id="page-17-5"></span>References

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[1] Mohamad Akra and Louay Bazzi. On the solution of linear recurrence equations. Computational Optimization and Applications, 10(2):195–210, 1998.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[2] Etienne Birmelé, J. Adrian Bondy, and Bruce A. Reed. The Erdős–Pósa property for long circuits. Combinatorica, 27:135–145, 2007.
- [3] Hans L. Bodlaender, Arie M.C.A. Koster, and Thomas Wolle. Contraction and treewidth lower bounds. In Susanne Albers and Tomasz Radzik, editors, Algorithms – ESA 2004, volume 3221 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 628–639. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>[4] Hans L. Bodlaender, Jan van Leeuwen, Richard B. Tan, and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. On interval routing schemes and treewidth. Inf. Comput., 139(1):92–109, 1997.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>[5] Chandra Chekuri and Julia Chuzhoy. Large-treewidth graph decompositions and applications. In 45st Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, (STOC 2013), 2013.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>[6] Reinhard Diestel. Graph Theory, volume 173 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, fourth edition, 2010.
- [7] Reinhard Diestel, Tommy R. Jensen, Konstantin Yu. Gorbunov, and Carsten Thomassen. Highly connected sets and the excluded grid theorem. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 75(1):61–73, 1999.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[8] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres. A combinatorial problem in geometry. In Ira Gessel and Gian-Carlo Rota, editors, Classic Papers in Combinatorics, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, pages 49–56. Birkhäuser Boston, 1987.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[9] Paul Erd˝os and Louis P´osa. On independent circuits contained in a graph. Canad. J. Math., 17:347–352, 1965.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span><span id="page-18-6"></span>[10] Samuel Fiorini, Tony Huyhn, and Gwenaël Joret. Personal communication, 2013.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[11] Samuel Fiorini, Gwenaël Joret, and Ignasi Sau. Optimal Erdős–Pósa property for pumpkins. Manuscript, 2013.
- [12] Fedor V. Fomin, Daniel Lokshtanov, Neeldhara Misra, Geevarghese Philip, and Saket Saurabh. Quadratic upper bounds on the erdős–pósa property for a generalization of packing and covering cycles. Journal of Graph Theory, To appear in 2013.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[13] Fedor V. Fomin, Saket Saurabh, and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Strengthening Erdős–Pósa property for minor-closed graph classes. Journal of Graph Theory, 66(3):235–240, 2011.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span>[14] Jim Geelen and Kasper Kabell. The Erdős–Pósa property for matroid circuits. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B, 99(2):407–419, March 2009.
- [15] Ken ichi Kawarabayashi and Yusuke Kobayashi. Linear min-max relation between the treewidth of H-minor-free graphs and its largest grid. In Christoph Dürr and Thomas Wilke, editors, 29th Int. Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2012), volume 14 of LIPIcs, pages 278–289, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2012. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span><span id="page-18-10"></span>[16] T. Kloks. Treewidth. Computations and Approximations., volume 842. Springer, LNCS, 1994.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[17] A.V. Kostochka. Lower bound of the hadwiger number of graphs by their average degree. Combinatorica, 4:307–316, 1984.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>[18] Alexander Leaf and Paul Seymour. Treewidth and planar minors. Manuscript, 2012.
- [19] Jean-Florent Raymond and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Low polynomial exclusion of planar graph patterns. Technical Report arXiv:1305.7112, 2013.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[20] Neil Robertson and Paul D. Seymour. Graph minors. V. Excluding a planar graph. J. Combin. Theory Series B, 41(2):92–114, 1986.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[21] David R. Wood Samuel Fiorini, Gwenaël Joret. Excluded forest minors and the Erdős-Pósa property. Technical Report arXiv:1204.5192, , 2012.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[22] Michael Stiebitz. Decomposing graphs under degree constraints. Journal of Graph Theory, 23(3):321–324, 1996.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[23] Andrew Thomason. The extremal function for complete minors. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 81(2):318–338, 2001.