

Geometric Extensions of Cutwidth in any Dimension

Menelaos Karavelas, Dimitris Zoros, Spyridon Maniatis, Dimitrios M. Thilikos

► **To cite this version:**

Menelaos Karavelas, Dimitris Zoros, Spyridon Maniatis, Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Geometric Extensions of Cutwidth in any Dimension. ICGT: International Colloquium on Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Jun 2014, Grenoble, France. 2014. <lirmm-01083698>

HAL Id: lirmm-01083698

<https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-01083698>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Geometric Extensions of Cutwidth in any Dimension*

Menelaos I. Karavelas[†] Spyridon Maniatis[‡] Dimitrios M. Thilikos^{‡§}
Dimitris Zoros[‡]

Abstract

We define a multi-dimensional geometric extension of cutwidth. A graph has d -cutwidth at most k if it can be embedded in the d -dimensional euclidean space so that no hyperplane can intersect more than k of its edges. We prove a series of combinatorial results on d -cutwidth which imply that for every d and k , there is a linear time algorithm checking whether the d -cutwidth of a graph G is at most k .

1 Introduction

The cutwidth of a (total) vertex ordering of a graph is the maximum number of edges connecting vertices on opposite sides of any of the “gaps” between successive vertices in the linear layout. The cutwidth of a graph G , denoted by $\text{CW}(G)$, is the minimum cutwidth over all its possible vertex orderings. The problem that asks, given a n -vertex graph G and an integer k , whether $\text{CW}(G) \leq k$, is an NP-complete problem known in the literature as the MINIMUM CUT LINEAR ARRANGEMENT problem [4]. From the parameterized complexity point of view, the same problem is fixed parameter tractable, as an algorithm that checks whether $\text{cutwidth}(G) \leq k$ in $f(k) \cdot n$ steps was given in [10]. Cutwidth has been extensively studied both from its combinatorial (see e.g. [2, 7, 1]) as well as its algorithmic point of view [8, 11, 3, 6].

d -dimensional cutwidth In this note we introduce a multi-dimensional geometric extension of cutwidth, namely the d -dimensional cutwidth (or, simply, d -cutwidth) that, roughly, instead of mono-dimensional linear arrangements of the graph G , we consider embeddings of G in the d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d and define the d -cutwidth of such an embedding to be the maximum number of edges a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d can intersect. Then, the d -cutwidth of G , denoted by $\text{CW}_d(G)$, is the minimum d -cutwidth over all such embeddings. Our results are summarized in the following.

Theorem 1 *The following hold:*

*The last three authors were co-financed by the E.U. (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program “Education and Lifelong Learning” of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: “Thales. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund”. Emails: mkaravel@iacm.forth.gr, spyridon.maniatis@gmail.com, sedthilk@thilikos.info, dzoros@math.uoa.gr.

[†]Dept. of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece.

[‡]Dept. of Mathematics, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

[§]AlGCo project team, CNRS, LIRMM, France.

- i. d -cutwidth is immersion closed¹.
- ii. For every graph G and every $d \geq 1$, $\text{CW}_d(G) \leq \text{CW}_{d+1}(G)$.
- iii. For every graph G and every $d \geq 1$, $\text{CW}_d(G) \leq d \cdot \text{CW}(G)$.
- iv. For every graph G , $\text{CW}_3(G) \leq 2 \cdot \text{CW}_2(G)$.

2 Preliminaries and definitions

Every $(d-1)$ -dimensional subspace Π of a d -dimensional space \mathcal{X} is called a *hyperplane* of \mathcal{X} . Here we are interested in hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^d (which are known to be isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}). Let Π be a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d , then there are $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Pi = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid a_1x_1 + \dots + a_dx_d + a_0 = 0\}$. We denote by $H(d)$ the set of all hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^d . A *hypersphere*, $S(c, r)$, with *center* c and *radius* r in \mathbb{R}^d is the set $\{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - c_i)^2 = r^2\}$. We denote by $S(d)$ the set of all hyperspheres of \mathbb{R}^d . We call a continuous function $C : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ a *curve* of \mathbb{R}^d with ends $C(0)$ and $C(1)$.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. An embedding of G , denoted by $\mathcal{E}_d(G)$, in the euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d is a tuple (f, \mathcal{C}) , where $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is an injection, mapping the vertices of G to \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_e \mid e \in E\}$ is a set of curves of \mathbb{R}^d with the following properties: **(a)** for every $e = \{u, v\} \in E$, the ends of C_e are $f(u)$ and $f(v)$, and **(b)** for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $v \in V$ it holds that $f_e(x) \neq f(v)$. For simplicity, we may sometimes refer to the elements of $f(V)$ and \mathcal{C} as the vertices and edges of $\mathcal{E}_d(G)$ respectively. We denote by $\mathbf{E}_d(G)$ the set of all embeddings $\mathcal{E}_d(G) = (f, \mathcal{C})$, of G in \mathbb{R}^d , such that for every positive integer $i \leq d$, if S is a subset of V with $|S| \geq i$, then the dimension of the subspace defined by $\{f(u) \mid u \in S\}$ is $i - 1$. We call an element of $\mathbf{E}_d(G)$ *essential-embedding of G in \mathbb{R}^d* . Let $\mathcal{E}_d(G)$ be a essential-embedding of G in \mathbb{R}^d , then if Π is a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d (resp. Σ is a hypersphere of \mathbb{R}^d) that does not intersect any $f(v)$, $v \in V$, we denote by $\partial_G(\mathcal{E}_d(G), \Pi)$ (resp. $\partial_G(\mathcal{E}_d(G), \Sigma)$) the set of curves of $\mathcal{E}_d(G)$ that are intersected by Π (resp. Σ).

Definition 1 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and k, d be positive integers, where $d \geq 2$. Then we define the d -dimensional cutwidth of G , or simply d -cutwidth, to be

$$\text{CW}_d(G) = \min_{\mathcal{E}_d(G) \in \mathbf{E}_d(G)} \max\{|\partial_G(\mathcal{E}_d(G), \Pi)| \mid \Pi \in H(d)\}$$

Observe that any hyperplane Π of \mathbb{R}^d that meets a curve $C_e \in \mathcal{C}$ once, also meets the unique straight line segment of \mathbb{R}^d with parametric equation $\sigma_e(t) = t \cdot C_e(0) + (1 - t) \cdot C_e(1)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the straight line segment of \mathbb{R}^d that is defined by the “images” of the endpoints of edge e . Therefore, without loss of generality, we can consider only *straight-line embeddings* where $\mathcal{C} = \{\sigma_e \mid e \in E\}$. Notice that every straight line embedding $\mathcal{E}_d(G) = (f, \mathcal{C})$ is fully defined by the function f , therefore, for simplicity, for now on we will omit \mathcal{C} . Observe that the definition of 1-cutwidth, where hyperplanes degenerate to subspaces of \mathbb{R} of dimension 0 (i.e., points) is equivalent to the usual definition of

¹A graph H is an *immersion* of a graph G if it can be obtained from G after a sequence of vertex/edge removals or edge lifts (the operation of *lifting* two edges $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, z\}$ incident to the same vertex y is the operation of replacing these edges by the edge $\{x, z\}$). A graph invariant is *immersion closed* if its value on a graph G is always smaller or equal than its value on its immersions.

cutwidth. Therefore, d -cutwidth is the intuitive generalization of the notion of cutwidth in any dimension $d \geq 2$. Also observe that our demand of essential embeddings is expressed here by our demand of injective functions.

3 Properties of d -cutwidth

This section is devoted to the last two statements of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.iii. Consider the d -dimensional *moment curve* C with parametric equation $C(t) := (t, t^2, t^3, \dots, t^d)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider also an ordering of the nodes of G that realizes the cutwidth of G . Embed a node v_i of G to the point $p_i = C(t_i)$, for an appropriate value t_i . By appropriate we mean that if a node v_i is after a node v_j in the cutwidth ordering, then the parametric value t_i corresponding to v_i is strictly greater than the parameter value t_j corresponding to node v_j . Now embed an edge $e_{ij} = (v_i, v_j)$ of G by connecting the points p_i and p_j on C with the minimum length arc of C connecting these points.

Consider a generic hyperplane Π with equation $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_dx_d + a_0 = 0$, where, for all i , $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Π can cut C at at most d points. To see that, solve the system of equations

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_dx_d + a_0 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = t^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

for t . This gives the polynomial equation $q(t) := a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots + a_dt^d = 0$, in t of maximum degree d . Since $q(t) = 0$ has at most d real roots, we deduce that Π intersects C at at most d points. At each point of intersection at most $\text{CW}(G)$ edges of the embedding of G pass through that point. Hence, Π intersects at most $d \cdot \text{CW}(G)$ edges of G , i.e., $\text{CW}_d(G) \leq d \cdot \text{CW}(G)$. \square

Spherical d -cutwidth Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and two positive integers k and d , where $d \geq 2$, we define the *spherical d -dimensional cutwidth* of G , or simply spherical d -cutwidth, to be equal to

$$\text{SCW}_d(G) = \min_{\mathcal{E}_d(G) \in \mathbf{E}_d(G)} \max\{|\partial_G(\mathcal{E}_d(G), \Sigma)| \mid \Sigma \in S(d)\}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.iv is a consequence of Theorem 1.iii and the following two lemmata.

Lemma 1 *For every graph G and any $d \geq 2$, $\text{CW}_d(G) \leq \text{SCW}_d(G) \leq (d+1) \text{CW}(G)$.*

Lemma 2 *For every graph G and every $d \geq 1$, $\text{CW}_{d+1}(G) \leq \text{SCW}_d(G)$.*

The above results clarify the relation between d -cutwidth and spherical d -cutwidth and we believe that they have independent interest. We omit the proofs as they are too lengthy to fit in this extended abstract.

4 Algorithmic remarks about d -cutwidth

As a consequence of the result in [9], for every k , the class of immersion minimal graphs with d -cutwidth bigger than k contains a finite set of graphs. We call this class *immersion obstruction set* for cutwidth at most k and we denote it by \mathcal{O}_k . This fact, combined with Theorem 1.i, implies that $\text{cw}_d(G) \leq k$ if and only if none of the graphs in \mathcal{O}_k is contained in G as an immersion. According to the result of Grohe, Kawarabayashi, Marx, and Wollan in [5], checking whether an n -vertex graph contains as an immersion some k -vertex graph H , can be done in $f(k) \cdot n^3$ steps. As a consequence, checking whether $\text{cw}_d(G) \leq k$ can be done in $f(k) \cdot n^3$ steps. This running time can become linear (on n) using the first inequality of Theorem 1.iii. Indeed, the algorithm first checks whether $\text{CW}(G) \leq k$. If the answer is negative then we can safely report that $\text{cw}_d(G) > k$. If not, then it is known (see e.g. [10]) that G has a tree decomposition of width $\leq k$ and to check whether some of the graphs in \mathcal{O}_k is contained in G as an immersion can be done using dynamic programming in $f(k) \cdot n$ steps.

Unfortunately, the above algorithm is non-constructive as we have no other knowledge about the set \mathcal{O}_k , except from the fact that it is finite. To obtain a constructive $f(k) \cdot n$ step algorithm for d -cutwidth remains an insisting open problem.

References

- [1] F. R. K. Chung and Paul D. Seymour. Graphs with small bandwidth and cutwidth. *Discrete Mathematics*, 75(1-3):113–119, 1989.
- [2] Fan R. K. Chung. On the cutwidth and the topological bandwidth of a tree. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 6(2):268–277, 1985.
- [3] Moon Jung Chung, Fillia Makedon, Ivan Hal Sudborough, and Jonathan Turner. Polynomial time algorithms for the MIN CUT problem on degree restricted trees. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 14(1):158–177, 1985.
- [4] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability. A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1979.
- [5] Martin Grohe, Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi, Dániel Marx, and Paul Wollan. Finding topological subgraphs is fixed-parameter tractable. In *Proceedings of the 43rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, (STOC 2011)*, pages 479–488, 2011.
- [6] Dimitrios M. Thilikos Hans L. Bodlaender, Michael R. Fellows. Derivation of algorithms for cutwidth and related graph layout parameters. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 75(4):231–244, 2009.
- [7] Ephraim Korach and Nir Solel. Tree-width, path-width, and cutwidth. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 43(1):97–101, 1993.
- [8] B. Monien and I. H. Sudborough. Min cut is NP-complete for edge weighted trees. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 58(1-3):209–229, 1988.
- [9] Neil Robertson and Paul D. Seymour. Graph minors XXIII. Nash-Williams’ immersion conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(2):181–205, 2010.
- [10] Dimitrios M. Thilikos, Maria J. Serna, and Hans L. Bodlaender. Cutwidth I: A linear time fixed parameter algorithm. *Journal of Algorithms*, To appear.
- [11] Mihalis Yannakakis. A polynomial algorithm for the min-cut linear arrangement of trees. *Journal of the ACM*, 32(4):950–988, 1985.