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Detection of manhole covers in high-resolution aerial images of urban areas by combining two methods
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Abstract—Mispositioning of buried utilities is an increasingly important problem both in industrialized and developing countries because of urban sprawl and technological advances. However, some of these networks have surface access traps which may be visible on high resolution airborne or satellite images and could serve as presence indicators. We put forward a methodology to detect manhole covers and grates on very high-resolution aerial and satellite images. Two methods are tested: the first is based on a geometrical circular filter whereas the second uses machine learning to retrieve some patterns. The results are compared and combined in order to benefit from the two approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

REGARDLES of economic growth, urban expansion is an on-going trend [1] [2] and urban areas are viewed as particularly vulnerable to climate change; current forecasts predict increasing poverty and rapid urbanization [3]. Hence, urban areas are highly sensitive and need frequent monitoring. One of the direct consequences of urban expansion is the development of underground utility networks. Over the past century it was common practice for public service providers to install, operate and repair their networks separately [4]. Hence it is now very difficult to find accurate records of utility network maps. Mispositioning of buried utilities is an increasingly important problem both in industrialized and developing countries because of urban sprawl and technological advances that create new needs among consumers resulting in additional cables and pipes that have to be added and connected [5] [6]. Urban works will thus be more prone to delays with concomitant additional costs [7] [8]. Locating past records can be a cumbersome and time consuming task. An alternative would be to use a quick survey method to have an estimate of the current situation. Some of these buried networks have surface access traps which may be visible on high resolution airborne or satellite images. Indeed, high spatial resolution imagery is becoming more and more available. There is a growing number of cities that provide high resolution (<20 cm/pixel) orthophotos through open data platforms i.e. free of charge. If correctly detected, these elements could serve as indicators of underground utility networks. Furthermore, they can be used as landmarks in photogrammetric applications [9] or in geotechnical works such as subsidence calculations [10]. We put forward a methodology to detect small urban objects, namely manhole covers and grates, on very high-resolution aerial and satellite images. Two methods are tested: the first is based on a geometrical circular filter whereas the second uses machine learning to retrieve some patterns. The results are compared and combined in order to benefit from the two approaches.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

THE methodology is tested on a small town in southern France (Gigean, Herault).

A. Data

Three images with different resolutions were used to put forward the method. Pléiade bundle products (50 cm resolution) obtained through the SUDOC project and Orthophotos (Fig. 1) of the town of Gigean (Hérault, South of France) with a 25 cm resolution provided by SIG-LR, were used in the pretreatment step of the methodology. Supplementary high resolution orthorectified aerial photographs (5,300 x 5,500 pixels at 4 cm resolution) were specifically acquired since the machine learning method requires a very high resolution (see Figure 2). Ground truth was acquired on three residential zones (Zone 1 to 3 in Fig. 1).

The methodology is threefold. First the image is segmented to extract the zones where manhole covers and grates can be found i.e. roads, streets and pavements. Then the vegetation and shadows are eliminated by using colorimetric indices, using infrared information provided by the Pléiade images. Finally either the circular object detection method proposed in [11] or the machine learning approach is used to locate the manhole covers and grates. These two methods are compared and merged to increase the detection performance.
Fig. 1. Orthophoto of Gigean, south of France ©SIG-LR 2014, and location of the three testing zones used to validate the approach.

Fig. 2. Extract of the 4 cm resolution image.

B. Pretreatment procedure

1) Roads detection: Several methods were tested to extract the roads. The most efficient one in terms of implementation simplicity, calculation time and final results, consists in selecting all the light grey pixels in the image, i.e. pixels having a low intensity in all channels of the image. The average value of each pixel over the three channels (Red, Green, Blue) is computed and the pixel is classified as "road" if its radiometric value in each channel deviates from the mean value by less than a given threshold. In this application the threshold was set to 10%. Classified pixels are then merged into connected components using the union-find algorithm. The roads are expected to constitute large connected components; hence the smallest units (smaller than 1000 pixels) are eliminated. Figure 3 shows the orthophoto after the road detection step.

2) Vegetation and shadow removal: Two indices are used to segment the vegetation. The classical NDVI uses the Near InfraRed (NIR) information of the Pléiades images in contrast with the Red (R) band:

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{R}}{\text{NIR} + \text{R}}
\]

(1)

The ExG index (Excess Green) is used on the areal orthophotos which do not have a NIR channel; it is defined as:

\[
\text{ExG} = 2\text{G} - \text{R} - \text{B}
\]

(2)

The results are then combined to benefit from the spectral resolution of the Pléiades images and the spatial resolution of the orthophotos (see Fig. 4).

Fig. 3. Roads detection using grey-level threshold and extraction of the biggest connected components.

Fig. 4. Detection of vegetation using, left: NDVI, middle: ExG and right: fusion of the two previous results. Whiter pixels belong to the vegetation class.

The shadow elimination procedure is based on Dempster-Shafer’s evidence theory following a method presented in [12]. A final smoothing using the Iterated Conditional Modes (ICM) algorithm [13] is performed (Fig. 5). The resulting shadow characteristic image is then combined with the vegetation characteristic image to clean the orthophotos from areas not supposed to contain manhole covers.

C. Circular object detection

The geometrical approach is based on the method proposed in [11] for the detection of circular patterns in a noisy and low contrasted image. The authors put forward a filter that consists of two annular regions \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) of radius \( r_1 \) to \( r \) and \( r \) to \( r_2 \), each of which is divided in eight sub-regions (see Fig. 6).
The filter is applied to a grey-scaled image, obtained with the luminance formula.

Three indices are computed to detect a circular pattern on a sliding window, using normalized histograms of each region/subregion. The first one estimates the similarity between two statistical distributions using the Bhattacharyya coefficient:

$$S(R_1, R_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sqrt{p_1(l)p_2(l)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $p_1$ (respectively $p_2$) is the normalized histogram of $R_1$ (respectively $R_2$) and $L$ is the maximal intensity of the two histograms. The result of this index is 1.0 when the two histograms are identical and 0.0 when they are completely different. The desirable value for this index to isolate a circular pattern from its background is thus the lower.

The second index is computed to avoid detection of linear patterns. It is based on the comparison between the intensity distribution of $R_1$ and those of the eight sub-regions of $R_2$:

$$S_8 = \max_{j \in {1...8}} \left\{ S(R_1, S_j^l) \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $S_j^l$ denotes the $j^{th}$ subregion of $R_2$. This index is low when all oriented similarity scores between $R_1$ and each subregion of $R_2$ are small.

The last index assesses the uniformity within the two main regions:

$$U(R_i) = \min_{j,j' \in {1...8}} \left\{ S(S_j^l, S_j'^l) \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $i$ stands for the region and $j, j'$ for the subregions. The three indices are finally merged into a global index for circular pattern detection:

$$\zeta = (1 - \max \{S(R_1, R_2), S_8\}) \cdot U(R_1) \cdot U(R_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The higher the value of $\zeta$, the higher the probability of encountering a circular shaped object.

### D. Machine learning approach

The circular object detection is only efficient when there is an average color difference between the inside and outside circles. Moreover, this approach doesn’t use the texture information inside the circle to decide on the manhole cover identification. To overcome these problems we use a machine learning algorithm which builds the best model from the data. This method is quite efficient for urban object detection [14]. The learning phase involves three steps [15]:

- extraction of a sample composed of manhole covers and small random images from the training database (each extract being resized to a constant size for the sake of robustness at all scales);
- extraction of multiple histograms from oriented gradients (HOG) [16] to transform data into feature vectors measuring the distribution of the gradient angles within the image;
- application of a linear SVM classifier [17] to create the required model.

The scene covered by the 4cm image contained 125 manholes, with an average size of 80 x 80cm, 91 of which were used to build the training set and 34 for the testing step. During the evaluation step, a multi-scale representation of the testing image called ”pyramid” is used. The pyramid approach allows us to find manhole covers with different sizes. A sliding window is applied on each pyramid to localize the manhole cover. During the process, the training classifier gives a score for recognized manhole covers at each position.

### E. Merging the approaches

The significant difference between the two approaches, stems from the fact that the first one uses pixel intensity to detect a circular shape while the second one is based on a model of the object, built from the gradient. We observed that the detection errors differ from one approach to the other. Therefore, we combined the results from the two methods to increase the detection performance. The final score is obtained by merging the scores given by each approach. Let $f(x)$ be a function that returns the value of $\zeta$ for a pixel $x$ (Eq. 6) rescaled between 0 and 100, and $g(x)$ a function that returns the probability of a pixel $x$ being a manhole cover center by the machine learning approach. The product function between $f$ and $g$ is called $h$ (Eq. 7) and is used as a score value for the presence of manhole covers. Note that all the objects may not be detected by both methods. For instance, function $f$ returns a null score for rectangular shapes. We thus suggest other functions for scoring the presence of a manhole cover,
s(x) for the sum (Eq. 8), n(x) and m(x) for the min and max (Eqs. 9 and 10). Scoring functions are evaluated in section III.

\[
h(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)
\]

Eq. 7

\[
s(x) = \begin{cases} 
2 \cdot f(x) & g(x) = 0 \\
2 \cdot f(x) & f(x) = 0 \\
f(x) + g(x) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Eq. 8

\[
n(x) = \min(f(x), g(x))
\]

Eq. 9

\[
m(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))
\]

Eq. 10

III. RESULTS

The circular method was first applied on three test zones extracted from the orthophoto image, corresponding to housing estates for which ground truth is available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manholes per zone (nb)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detected objects (nb)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True positives (nb)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positives (nb)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detected manholes (%)</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetected manholes (%)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False detection (%)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I**

DETECTION RESULTS IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS

The primary results indicate (Tab. I) that the filter is able to detect manhole covers, in all three zones with slightly better results for zone 2 and poorer results for zone 3. As the three zones are residential areas with similar semi-detached houses, the difference in results is thought to be caused by a higher proportion of vegetation and shadows. Fig. 7 shows examples of true and false positives as well as undetected objects.

![Examples of results](image)

Fig. 7. Examples of results. Left: true positive, middle: undetected; right: false positive.

The results also highlight the existence of false positives, reaching nearly 60% in zone 3. No clear typology of the situations which lead to false detection can be made at this stage. Over the three zones, 42% to 79% of manholes remain undetected. This may seem as a poor result, however, it is common practice in southern France not to systematically take down all manhole locations during surveying, but rather to register only half or one third of covers each time, in an effort to cut down costs.

The two methods have then been applied on the 4 cm resolution image (see Figure 8). Their results are compared in terms of precision and recall:

\[
\text{precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}
\]

Eq. 11

\[
\text{recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}
\]

Eq. 12

where TP stands for *true positive* i.e. number of correctly detected manhole covers; FN for *false negative*, i.e. number of omitted manhole covers and FP for *false positive*, i.e. number of objects confused as manhole covers. TP+FP is thus the total number of detected objects.

Figure 9 illustrates the performance of the circular detection filter method using a Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) graph [18] i.e. precision as a function of the recall, where the threshold applied to ζ varies. All manhole covers could not be detected by this method because about 20% of them had a rectangular shape and were undetectable. We also noticed a significant number of false positives. In fact, there were many circular patterns on the road such as oil spots or potholes, which are enhanced as the image is smoothed.

The ROC curve of the machine learning method, which has higher precision than the previous one, is also plotted in Figure 9. Poor results are obtained: for a recall of 50%, only 20% of the objects were manhole covers. They may stem from the small size of the training database.

Figure 10 illustrates the combined method, with functions \( h, s, n \) and \( m \). All these functions but \( s \) give better results than the two methods taken separately. The poorer results obtained with the summing function \( s \) were due to the addition of all detections, including false ones. Hence, the precision was worsened according to Eq. 11.

With the other functions (\( m, n \) and \( h \)), the precision was increased by more than 25%. For instance, a recall of 40% corresponded to a precision of approximately 43% for the machine learning approach (ML curve) and reached 68% for the min function (\( n \) curve).

We noticed that the maximum function \( m \) gave a better score: nearly twice the precision of the machine learning method. Actually, if the classifier probability value is high, then this response is more efficient than the dot or minimum return. This could have two explanations. First, nearly ideal circular shapes may be detected with high precision by the
The main shortcomings of this kind of approach are that, when using two methods, the combined recall value is always lower than the lowest score of each method taken separately.

The objective of this work was to put forward a methodology to detect small urban objects on high resolution images in order to reconstruct buried utility networks. The main challenge was to detect grey objects whose size is within the spatial resolution limit of the image and that are located on a grey background.

The preliminary results obtained using the geometrical filter are satisfactory as they allow the detection of 42% of manhole covers in residential areas. Surveying companies in southern France are often required to report one third of manholes in order to cut down production costs. Our method thus allows the same detection rate. However, the rate of false negatives is still unacceptably high and remains unchanged even when using higher resolution images (4 cm resolution). Additional criteria can be used to discriminate these false negatives, such as the density of detected objects. Furthermore, we are currently investigating the use of alternative remote-sensing data such as thermal or infra-red images, in order to improve the detection rate.

Regarding the machine learning method, the results show that nearly 40% of manhole covers were detected with a precision of 80%. These results are encouraging, specially considering that a small training database was used.

Many options remain unexplored and will be investigated in the very near future. For instance, a square filter could be added to the geometrical detection procedure, additional SVMs could be tested as well as other combined methods [19]. The
high resolution of the aerial images for the localisation of small urban objects is a highly promising research field.

The final objective of this project is to estimate urban contribution to downstream waterbodies and not to precisely assess water fluxes in the buried network itself. Based on the detected manhole covers locations, and knowing the efficiency of the method, a network will be statistically reconstructed using the rules and regulations of drainage network implementation in France.

A sensitivity analysis will also be performed to estimate the impact of the partial information on network characteristics have on the assessment of downstream fluxes.
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