



HAL
open science

Bounds and approximation results for scheduling coupled-tasks with compatibility constraints

Rodolphe Giroudeau, Jean-Claude König, Benoit Darties, Gilles Simonin

► **To cite this version:**

Rodolphe Giroudeau, Jean-Claude König, Benoit Darties, Gilles Simonin. Bounds and approximation results for scheduling coupled-tasks with compatibility constraints. PMS: Project Management and Scheduling, Apr 2016, Valencia, Spain. pp.94-97. lirmm-01313722

HAL Id: lirmm-01313722

<https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-01313722>

Submitted on 7 Jun 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bounds and approximation results for scheduling coupled-tasks with compatibility constraints

R. Giroudeau¹, J.C König¹, B. Darties² and G. Simonin³

¹ LIRMM UMR 5506, 161 rue Ada 34392, Montpellier France
 {rgirou,konig}@lirmm.fr

² LE2I UMR6306, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France
 benoit.darties@u-bourgogne.fr

³ Insight Centre for Data Analytics, University College Cork, Ireland
 gilles.simonin@insight-centre.org

Abstract. This article is devoted to propose some lower and upper bounds for the coupled-tasks scheduling problem in presence of compatibility constraints according to classical complexity hypothesis ($\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$, \mathcal{ETH}). Moreover, we develop an efficient polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the specific case for which the topology describing the compatibility constraints is a quasi split-graph.

Keywords: coupled-task, compatibility graph, complexity, approximation.

1 Introduction, motivations, model

We consider in this paper the coupled-task scheduling problem subject to compatibility constraints. The motivation of this model is related to data acquisition processes using radar sensors: a sensor emits a radio pulse (first sub-task a_i), and listen for an echo reply (second sub-task b_i). To make the notation less cluttered, the processing time of a sub-task will be denoted by a_i instead of p_{a_i} used in the theory of scheduling. Between these two instants (emission and reception), clearly there is an idle time L_i due to the propagation, in both sides, of the radio pulse. A coupled-task (a_i, L_i, b_i) , introduced by Shapiro (1980), is a natural way to model such data acquisition. This model has been widely studied in several works, i. e. Blażewicz et al. (2009). Other works proposed a generalization of this model by including compatibility constraints: scheduling a sub-task during the idle time of another requires that both tasks are compatible. The relations of compatibility are modeled by a compatibility graph G , linking pair of compatible tasks only. This model is detailed in Simonin et al. (2012). In previous works, we studied the complexity of scheduling coupled-tasks with compatible constraints under several parameters like the size of the sub-tasks or the class of the compatibility graph (Simonin et al. 2013).

In this work, we propose original complexity and approximation results for the problem of scheduling *stretched* coupled-task with compatibility constraints. A *stretched* coupled-tasks i is a coupled-task having both sub-tasks processing time and idle time equal to a triplet $(\alpha(i), \alpha(i), \alpha(i))$, where $\alpha(i)$ is the *stretch factor* of the task i - one can apply a stretch factor $\alpha(i)$ to a reference task $(1, 1, 1)$ to obtain i -.

The objective is to minimize the makespan C_{max} . The input of the problem is a collection of coupled-tasks $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$, a stretch factor function $\alpha : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and a compatibility graph $G_c = (\mathcal{T}, E)$ where edge from E link pairs of compatible tasks only. When dealing with stretched coupled-tasks only, a edge $\{x, y\} \in E$ exists if $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$ (then x and y can be scheduled together without idle time as the idle time of one task is employed to schedule the sub-task of the other, thus we can schedule sequentially a_x, a_y, b_x, b_y

- or a_y, a_x, b_y, b_x - in $\frac{4\alpha(x)}{3}$ time units), or if $3\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(y)$ (then x can be entirely executed during the idle time of y *i.e.* a_y, a_x, b_x, b_y and scheduling both tasks requires $3\alpha(y)$ time units). We note $\#(X)$ the number of different stretch factors in a set of tasks X , and we note $d_G(X)$ the maximum degree of any vertex $x \in X$ in a graph G_c .

We use the well-known Graham notation (Graham et al. 1979) to define the problems presented in this paper. In this work, we propose new complexity and inapproximability results when the compatibility graph is a restricted 1-*stage bipartite* graph $G = (X, Y, E)$, *i.e.* a bipartite graph where edges are oriented from X to Y only. Then we show the problem is \mathcal{NP} -complete on a quasi-split graph $G = (G_X, G_Y, E)^4$ even if $\#(V(G_X)) = 1$ and $\#(V(G_Y)) = 1$, but is $5/4$ -approximable.

2 Complexity and approximation results

Theorem 1. *Deciding whether an instance of $1|\alpha, G_c = 1$ -stage-bipartite, $\#(X) = 2, \#(Y) = 1, d_{G_c}(X) \in \{1, 2\}, d_{G_c}(Y) \in \{3, 4\} | C_{max}$ is a problem hard to approximate within $\frac{21-\rho^{\text{MAX-3DM-2}}}{20} \leq \rho$, where $\rho^{\text{MAX-3DM}}$ gives the upper bound for the MAX-3DM. Since $\rho^{\text{MAX-3DM-2}} \leq \frac{140}{141}$, we obtain $1 + \frac{1}{2820}$.*

Proof. We prove first that the problem is \mathcal{NP} -complete via a polynomial-time reduction. Based on this reduction, we apply the gap-preserving reduction.

The proof is based on a reduction from the maximum 3 DIMENSIONAL MATCHING (MAX-3DM) (Garey & Johnson 1979): let A, B , and C be three disjoint sets of equal size, with $n = |A| = |B| = |C|$, and a set $T \subseteq A \times B \times C$ of triplet, with $|T| = m$. The aim is to find a matching (set of mutually disjoint triplets) $T^* \subseteq T$ of maximum size. This problem is well known to be \mathcal{NP} -complete. The restricted version of this problem in which each element of $A \cup B \cup C$ appears exactly twice is denoted MAX-3DM-2 and remains \mathcal{NP} -complete (Chlebik 2003). In this restricted version, we have $m = 2n$.

We transform the instance of MAX-3DM-2 to an instance of $1|\alpha, G_c = 1$ -stage bipartite, $\#(X) = 2, \#(Y) = 1, d_{G_c}(X) \in \{1, 2\}, d_{G_c}(Y) \in \{3, 4\} | C_{max} = 63n - 3k(1 - \epsilon)$ as follows: we define a set of tasks $X \cup Y$ and model the compatibility constraint with a graph $G_c = (X, Y, E)$. For each element $x_i \in A \cup B \cup C$, we add an *item* coupled-task x_i into X with $\alpha(x_i) = 1$. For each triplet $t_i \in T$, we add a *box* coupled-task t_i to Y with $\alpha(t_i) = 9$, and an *item* coupled-task t'_i with $\alpha(t'_i) = 2 + \epsilon$. For each $t_i \in T$ and each $x_i \in t_i$, we add the compatibility arc (x_i, t_i) to E . We also add the compatibility arc (t'_i, t_i) to E . So, the set of X -tasks (resp. Y -tasks) are constituted by *item* coupled-task x_i and t'_i (resp. *box* coupled-task).

Clearly we have m *box* coupled-tasks (each with an idle time of 9 units) of degree 4 in G_c , m *item* coupled-tasks with stretch factor $2 + \epsilon$ of degree 1 in G_c , and $3n$ *item* coupled-tasks with stretch factor 1 of degree 2 in G_c . Moreover G_c is a bipartite graph. The reduction is constructed in polynomial time.

It exists a schedule of length $63n - 3k(1 - \epsilon)$ iff it exists a matching of size k for MAX-3DM-2 instance.

Hereafter, we propose some negative results concerning the existence of subexponential-time algorithms under the following complexity-theoretic hypothesis that is known as the Exponential-Time Hypothesis (see (Woeginger 2001) for a survey on exact algorithms for \mathcal{NP} -hard problems) for stretched coupled-tasks, and other ones previously studied.

Recall first the EXPONENTIAL-TIME HYPOTHESIS ((Impagliazzo & Paturi 2001), and (Impagliazzo et al. 2001)): there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that there exists no algorithm for 3-Satisfiability that uses only $O(c^l)$ time where l denotes the number of variables.

⁴ A quasi split graph is a connected graph $G = (G_X, G_Y, E)$, with G_X a connected non-oriented graph (not complete) and G_Y a independent set. The other arcs are oriented from X to Y only.

Corollary 1. *Assuming the Exponential-Time Hypothesis, there exists no algorithm with a worst-case running time that is subexponential in n (the number of vertices), i.e.:*

1. For the $1|a_i = b_i = p, L_i = 2p, G_c|C_{max}$ problem in $O(2^{o(n)})$ time
2. For $1|a_i = a, b_i = b, L_i = a + b, G_c|C_{max}$ in $O(2^{o(n)})$ time
3. $1|\alpha, G_c = 1 - \text{bipartite}|C_{max}$ in $O(2^{O(n)})$ -time algorithm.

Proof. 1. For $1|a_i = b_i = p, L_i = 2p, G_c|C_{max}$: In (van Rooij et al. 2013), the authors proved that for PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES on graphs of maximum degree four, there is no algorithm with a worst-case running time $O(2^{o(n)})$ that is subexponential in n .

Therefore, we transform a PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES instance with n vertices and m edges into an equivalent instance G_c for bounded degree at most four. Since the transformation is linear (see (Simonin et al. 2012)) the result holds.

2. For the problem $1|a_i = a, b_i = b, L_i = a + b, G_c|C_{max}$: In (Lokshtanov, Marx & Saurabh 2011) the authors proved that for HAMILTONIAN PATH there is no $O(2^{o(n)})$ -time algorithm. As the same way as previously the transformation is linear (see (Simonin et al. 2012)).
3. $1|\alpha, G_c = 1 - \text{bipartite}|C_{max}$: In (Chen, Jansen & Zhang 2014), the authors proved that for MAX 3DM, there is no $O(2^{O(n)})$ -time algorithm, therefore this result is transposed to the scheduling problem using the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Scheduling stretched coupled task in presence of a quasi split graph is a \mathcal{NP} -complete problem even if $\#(V(G_X)) = 1$ and $\#(V(G_Y)) = 1$*

Proof. The proof is based on a reduction from a variant of the well-know \mathcal{NP} -complete PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES. This problem consists to ask if the vertices of a graph $G = (V, E)$, with $|V| = 3q, q \in \mathbb{N}$, can be partitioned into q disjoint sets T_1, T_2, \dots, T_q , each containing exactly three vertices, such that for each $T_i = \{u_i, v_i, w_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq q$, all three of the edges $\{u_i, v_i\}, \{u_i, w_i\}, \{w_i, v_i\}$ belong to E .

The problem PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES remains \mathcal{NP} -complete even if the graph G can be partitioned into three sets with the same size, A, B et C such that each set is an independent set (Morandini, M. 2004). The polynomial-time transformation is based on this variant. Let $G = (A \cup B \cup C, E)$ be an instance of the variant of PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES. We consider the split-graph $G' = (A \cup B, C, E')$ obtained as follows:

$\forall v \in A$ (resp. B), we create a vertex A_v (resp. B_v) with processing time $(1, 1, 1)$. Moreover, $\forall v \in C$ we create a task C_v with processing time $(4, 4, 4)$. The edges between A and B remained the same as the G' whereas the edge between $A \cup B$ and C are oriented. Finally in order to have a connected graph, we add two news vertices (resp. one) z_0 and z_1 (resp. z_2 with processing time equal to $(1, 1, 1)$ (resp. $(4, 4, 4)$). We add edges between z_0 to A_v (resp. z_1 to B_v). Lastly, we add the three edges $(z_0, z_2), (z_1, z_2)$ and (z_0, z_1) .

Notice that the graph $A_v \cup B_v$ form a bipartite graph. The problem is clearly in \mathcal{NP} . It exists a positive solution for the variant of PARTITION INTO TRIANGLES iff a valid schedule of length $12 \times (|C| + 1)$ exists. It is sufficient to execute the two tasks A_v and B_v in four units of time into a task C_u .

Theorem 3. *The problem is $5/4$ -approximable on quasi split-graph where $\#(V(G_Y)) = 1$.*

Proof. W.l.o.g., we suppose that the processing time of X -tasks (resp. Y -tasks) is $(1, 1, 1)$ (resp. $\alpha(y_i)$). Indeed, if $\alpha(x) > 1$, we put $\alpha(y_i) = \lfloor \frac{\alpha(y_i)}{\alpha(x)} \rfloor$ and $\alpha(x) = 1$.

Algorithm: we transform the problem into an oriented maximum flow-problem between G_X and G_Y with two sources s and t , with $\omega(s, x) = \omega(x, y) = 1$ and $\omega(y, t) = \lfloor \frac{\alpha(y_i)}{3\alpha(x)} \rfloor, \forall y_i \in Y, \forall x \in XG_Y$ where $\omega(i, j)$ is the capacity of an arc (i, j) . After the computation of a maximum flow F of value f , for the uncovered remaining X -tasks a maximum

M -matching ($|M| = m$) is applied. The schedule consists in processing first, the Y -tasks with X -tasks inside. The M -tasks are executed after. Lastly, we schedule s isolated-tasks. The length of schedule given by the algorithm is $C_{max} \leq \sum_{y_i \in Y} 3\alpha(y_i) + 4m + 3s$ with $2m + s + f = n = |X|$ and $\sum_{y_i \in Y} 3\alpha(y_i) \geq 9f$. In similar way, the optimal length is $C_{max}^* \geq \sum_{y_i \in Y} \alpha(y_i) + 4m^* + 3s^*$. We suppose that in Y -tasks where are p^* -edges processed and r^* isolated-tasks, then we obtain $2(p^* + m^*) + r^* + s^* = n$, $p^* + r^* \leq f$, and $\sum_{y_i \in Y} \alpha(y_i) \geq 12p^* + 9r^*$. In the worst-case, the p^* -edges are split into two tasks (so p^* news tasks are added to s^*), and also the matched-edges are split (for each m^* edges one task is executed into the Y -task, instead of one of r^* -tasks). Therefore, $2m^*$ tasks are added to the s -value. In the worst case, we have $m^* = r^*$, $s = s^* + p^* + 2r^*$ and $m = 0$. In such case, $C_{max} \leq 12p^* + 9r^* + 3s^* + 3p^* + 6r^*$ and $C_{max}^* = 12p^* + 9r^* + 4r^* + 3s^*$. Thus $\rho \leq \frac{15p^* + 15r^* + 3s^*}{12p^* + 13r^* + 3s^*} \leq \max(5/4, 15/13, 1) = 5/4$.

Tightness: it exists an example for the $C_{max}^* = 36$, and for the heuristic $C_{max} = 45$. Consider the graph: three triangles (x_1, x_2, y_1) , (x_3, x_4, y_2) , and (x_5, x_6, y_1) . We add the edges (x_2, y_3) , (x_3, y_1) and (x_5, y_2) . The optimal solution consists in executing the X -tasks into the Y -tasks; whereas the heuristic leads the solution in which three X -tasks are processed after the Y -tasks.

References

- Blażewicz, J., Ecker, K., Kis, T., Potts, C., Tanas, M. & Whitehead, J. (2009), Scheduling of coupled tasks with unit processing times, *Technical report, Poznan University of Technology*.
- Chen L., Jansen K. & Zhang G. (2014), On the optimality of approximation schemes for the classical scheduling problem, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2014, Portland, Oregon, USA, January 5-7, 2014*
- Chlebík M. & Chlebíková J. (1979), Inapproximability results for bounded variants of optimization problems, *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity* **10** (26), pp. 1–26.
- Garey, M. R. & Johnson, D. S. (1979), *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman & Co., New York, NY, USA.
- Graham, R. L., Lawler, E. L., Lenstra, J. K. & Kan, A. H. G. Rinnooy (1979), Optimization and Approximating in Deterministic Sequencing and Scheduling: a Survey, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* **5**, pp. 287–326.
- Impagliazzo, R. & Paturi, R. (2001), On the Complexity of k -SAT, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **62**(2), 367–375.
- Impagliazzo, R., Paturi, R. & Zane, F. (2001), Which Problems Have Strongly Exponential Complexity?, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **63**(4), 512–530.
- Lokshtanov, D., Marx, D. & Saurabh, S. (2011), Lower bounds based on the Exponential Time Hypothesis, *Bulletin of the EATCS* **105**, 41–72.
- Morandini, M. (2004), NP-complete problem: partition into triangles, *Technical report, Università di Udini*.
- Shapiro, R. D. (1980), Scheduling coupled tasks, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **27**, 477–481.
- Simonin, G., Darties, B., Giroudeau, R. & König, J.-C. (2011), Isomorphic coupled-task scheduling problem with compatibility constraints on a single processor, *J. of Scheduling* **14**(5), 501–509.
- Simonin, G., Giroudeau, R. & König, J.-C. (2013), Approximating a coupled-task scheduling problem in the presence of compatibility graph and additional tasks', *International Journal of Planning and Scheduling* **1**(4), pp. 285–300.
- Simonin, G., Giroudeau, R., König, J.-C. & B. Darties (2012), Theoretical Aspects of Scheduling Coupled-Tasks in the Presence of Compatibility Graph', *Algorithmic in Operations Research* **7**(1), 1—12.
- van Rooij J. M. M., van Kooten Niekerk, M. E. & Bodlaender H. L. (2013), Partition Into Triangles on Bounded Degree Graphs, *Theory Computing Systems* **52**(4), pp. 687-718.
- Woeginger, G. J. (2001), Exact Algorithms for NP-Hard Problems: A Survey, in *Combinatorial Optimization*, pp. 185–208.