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Abstract—Numerical reproducibility failures arise in parallel computation because of the non-associativity of floating-point summation. Optimizations on massively parallel systems dynamically modify the floating-point operation order. Hence, numerical results may change from one run to another. We propose to ensure reproducibility by extending as far as possible the IEEE-754 correct rounding property to larger operation sequences. Our RARE-BLAS (Reproducible, Accurately Rounded and Efficient BLAS) benefits from recent accurate and efficient summation algorithms. Solutions for level 1 (asum, dot and nrm2) and level 2 (gemv) routines are provided. We compare their performance to the Intel MKL library and to other existing reproducible algorithms. For both shared and distributed memory parallel systems, we exhibit an extra-cost of 2× in the worst case scenario, which is satisfying for a wide range of applications. For Intel Xeon Phi accelerator a larger extra-cost (4× to 6×) is observed, which is still helpful at least for debugging and validation.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The increasing power of supercomputers leads to a higher amount of floating-point operations to be performed in parallel. The IEEE-754 [1] standard requires the addition operation to be correctly rounded. However because of the errors generated by every addition, the accumulation of more than two floating-point numbers is non-associative. The combination of the non-associativity of floating-point addition and the non-deterministic behavior in parallel programs yields non-reproducible numerical results.

Numerical reproducibility is important for debugging and validating programs. Some solutions are available in parallel programming libraries. Static scheduling and deterministic reduction ensure the numerical reproducibility of the library OpenMP. Nevertheless, the number of threads has to be set for all runs [2]. The CNR feature (Conditional Numerical Reproducibility) has been introduced in Intel MKL library 11.0 release [2]. By limiting the use of instruction set extensions this feature ensures numerical reproducibility between different architectures. Unfortunately, this decreases significantly the performance especially on recent architectures, and requires the number of threads to remain the same from run to run to ensure reproducible results.

First algorithmic solutions are proposed in [3]. Algorithms ReprodSum and FastReprodSum ensure numerical reproducibility independently of the operation order. Therefore, numerical results do not depend on hardware configuration. The performance of these latter is improved with the algorithm OneReduction [4] by relying on indexed floating-point numbers [5] and requiring a single reduction operation to decrease the communication cost. Hence, highly efficient reproducible parallel sum is provided for large distributed memory computing systems. However, those solutions do not improve accuracy. The computed result even being reproducible is still exposed to accuracy problems, especially when an ill-conditioned problem is addressed.

Another way to guarantee reproducibility is to compute accurately rounded results. Recent works [6], [7], [8] show that an accurately rounded floating-point summation can be calculated with very little or even no extra-cost. We have analyzed in [7] different summation algorithms, and identified those suited for an efficient parallel implementation on recent hardware. So parallel algorithms for correctly rounded dot and asum and for a faithfully rounded nrm2 have been designed [7]. This approach has been extended to the matrix-vector multiplication from the level 2 BLAS in [9]. First implementation of level 1 BLAS exhibits interesting performance with 2× extra-cost in the worst case scenario on shared memory parallel systems [7]. Other implementations for distributed memory computing systems and accelerators (Intel Xeon Phi) are introduced in [9].

In this paper, we focus on the experimental part of this work that illustrates the efficiency of our proposed implementation both in terms of run-time and of accuracy. We exhibit their scalability with tests on the Occigen supercomputer. Experiments with the Intel Xeon Phi accelerator illustrate their efficiency and also their portability to many-core systems. Our correctly rounded dot product scales well on distributed memory parallel systems. Compared to optimized but not reproducible implementations, it has no substantial extra-cost up to about 1600 threads (128 sockets, 12 cores). On Intel Xeon Phi accelerator the extra-cost increases up to 6× mainly because our solution benefits less from the high memory bandwidth of this architecture compared to MKLs implementation. Nevertheless, this seems reasonable enough (less than 10×) to be useful for validation, debugging or even for mid-sized applications that could require accurate and reproducible results.

This document is organized as follows. Section II presents our algorithms for reproducible and accurate parallel BLAS. Section III is devoted to implementation and detailed results. Last Section IV presents some conclusions and future work.

II. PARALLEL RARE BLAS

A. Parallel Algorithms for the Level 1 BLAS

1) Sum of Absolute Values: The condition number of asum is known to equal 1. This justifies the use of the algorithm SumK [10]. The parallel version of algorithm SumK [11] is used for parallel asum. Two stages are required. (1) The first one consists in applying the sequential SumK on local data without performing the final error compensation. So we end with K floating point numbers per thread. (2) Afterwards the master thread gathers all these numbers in a single vector and applies a sequential SumK on it.

The value of K is picked up such that computing asum(p) as \( \text{SumK}(\{|p_i|\}) \) is faithfully rounded. Since the condition number equals 1, one appropriate K only depends on the vector size.

2) Dot Product: Our implementation of parallel reproducible dot and nrm2 is presented in [9]. For parallel dot product, we distinguish three steps. (1) In the first step vectors are distributed equally to threads. Each thread make an error-free transformation of its local dot product. Note that the local result is not rounded, the dot product is transformed to a sum of non-overlapping floating-point numbers. The process is done in different ways depending on the vector size (more details in [9]). (2) Afterwards, local results of transformation are gathered by master thread. All previous transformations do not generate any error. (3) Finally the master thread uses sFastSum [12] to calculate a correctly rounded sum of the gathered data (See Figure 1 in [9]).

3) Euclidean Norm: The euclidean norm of a vector p is defined as \( (\sum p_i^2)^{1/2} \). The sum \( \sum p_i^2 \) can be correctly rounded using the previous dot product. Finally, we apply a square root that returns a faithfully rounded euclidean norm [13]. This does not compute a correctly rounded norm-2 but this faithful rounding is reproducible because it depends on a reproducible dot.
B. Parallel Algorithms for the Level 2 BLAS

Matrix-vector multiplication is defined in the BLAS as $y = \alpha A \cdot x + \beta y$. In the following, we denote $y_i = \alpha a^{(i)} \cdot x + \beta y_i$, where $a^{(i)}$ is the $i^{th}$ row of matrix $A$.

To compute a correctly rounded value of $y_i$ we pass through the following stages: (1) First we transform the dot product $a^{(i)} \cdot x$ into a sum of non-overlapping floating-point numbers. We use the same algorithm as for parallel dot product in section II-A2. (2) The second step evaluates multiplications by the scalars $\alpha$ and $\beta$ using TwoProd [14]. Again data are transformed with no error. (3) Finally we distillate results of the previous steps to get a correctly rounded result of $y_i = \alpha a^{(i)} \cdot x + \beta y_i$. The same process should be repeated for each row of the matrix $A$.

To perform a parallel matrix-vector multiplication let $A$ be a $n \times m$ matrix, $y$ be a $n \times p$-elements vector, and let us be given $p$ available threads. The matrix $A$ and the vector $y$ are equally split to threads, and the vector $x$ is shared by all threads. Note that $A$ is split by rows (Figure 2 in [9]). Therefore, each thread will use the previous algorithm to compute the correctly rounded value of $n/p$ elements of $y$.

This algorithm scales almost perfectly since it does not require any reduction operation.

III. TEST AND RESULTS

A. Experimental framework

We consider the three frameworks described in Table I. They are significant of today’s practice of floating-point computing.

We test the efficiency of the shared memory parallel implementation on platform A. Platform B illustrates the many core accelerator use. The scalability of our approach on large supercomputers is exhibited on platform C (Occigen supercomputer).
B. Implementation and Performance Results

We compare the performance results of our implementation to the highly optimized Intel MKL library, and to implementations based on algorithm OneReduction used by the library ReproBLAS [15]. We have implemented an OpenMP parallel version of this algorithm since ReproBLAS only offers one MPI parallel version. We derive reproducible version of dot and gemv replacing all non-associative accumulation by the algorithm OneReduction [4]. These versions are for instance denoted OneReductionDot and OneReductionGemv. The same implementations is provided for other level 1 BLAS routines.

We do not compare to the CNR feature because it does not guarantee reproducibility between sequential and parallel runs. According to [2] and our tests, the number of threads must remain the same from run to run for the results to be reproducible.

Running time is measured in cycles using the RDTSC instruction. In the parallel case, RDTSC calls have been made out of parallel region before and after function calls.

Parallel implementations for platform A are based on OpenMP library. All available 16 cores are used with no hyper-threading. Implementations for the Intel Xeon Phi accelerator are also based on OpenMP. Intrinsic functions are used to benefit from the available instruction set extension AVX-512. A FMA (Fused Multiply and Add) is also available. Therefore TwoProd is replaced by 2MultFMA [16] which only requires two FMAs to compute the product and its error, and so improves performance.

In this paper we only focus on gemv and dot. Results for asum and nrm2 are presented in [7], [9].

1) Dot Product: Results for dot product are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The condition number of all dot products tested on platforms A and B is $10^5$. In Figure 1a all 3 algorithms OneReductionDot, Rdot and MKLDot hit the limit imposed by the memory bandwidth. Therefore, the correctly rounded Rdot do not exhibit any extra-cost compared to MKLDot.

Figure 1b shows the performance results on the Intel Xeon Phi accelerator. Since it has much more memory bandwidth than the platform A, the MKLDot takes this advantage to improve its scalability. On the other side, our Rdot is not so efficient here because it has many operations that can not be vectorized (but still occupy the 512 bit units to perform scalar operations), which decreases significantly the performance on an accelerator. We measure an extra-cost of $4\times$ in this case.

Finally for dot product we show the performance on a distributed memory parallel system (platform C). In this case we have two levels of parallelism: OpenMP is used for thread level parallelism on a single socket and OpenMPI library is used for socket communication. The algorithm scalability is tested on a single data set with input vectors of length $10^7$ and a condition number of $10^{32}$.

Fig. 2a shows the scalability for a single socket configuration. It is not a surprise that MKLDot does not scale so far since it is quickly limited by the memory bandwidth. OneReductionDot and OnlineExactDot scale well up to exhibit no extra-cost compared to optimized MKLDot. Again such scaling occurs until being limited by the memory bandwidth.

Performance for the multi socket configuration is presented in Figure 2b. ClassicDot denotes a socket local MKLDots followed by a MPI sum reduction. X-axis shows the number of sockets where all the 12 available cores are used. Y-axis represents the execution time and this measure is normalized by ClassicDot on Figure 2c.

Algorithms OnlineExactDot and OneReductionDot are almost as efficient as ClassicDot. Since all 12 cores are used per socket all algorithms hit the limit imposed by the memory bandwidth. For inter socket communication all 3 algorithms rely on a single communication. Therefore, they exhibit the same performance on platform C.

2) Matrix-Vector Multiplication: Our Rgemv matrix-vector multiplication computes a correctly rounded result using iFastSum [12] for small matrices and HybridSum for large ones, this latter being slightly more efficient than OnlineExact [17] on both platforms A and B.

In the shared memory parallel case, our correctly rounded gemv costs about twice compared to MKLGemv as shown in figure 3a. As for MKLDot, also MKLGemv hits the limit that is imposed by memory bandwidth. Therefore, the scalability of MKLGemv is limited. Rgemv benefits from a better scalability which gives it the advantage of reducing the extra-cost to $2\times$, even if it performs much more floating-point operations.

Intel Xeon Phi results for gemv are presented in Figure 3b. Since the building blocks for both algorithms MKLGemv and Rgemv are
respectively based on MKLDot and Rdot, we observe the same behavior already noted for the dot product. MKLGemv benefits from higher bandwidth and AVX-512 vector capabilities to run even faster than Rgemv. An extra-cost of 6× is observed here.

C. Accuracy Results

Now we present accuracy results for dot and gemv variants for the IEEE-754 binary64 arithmetic rounded to the nearest. In both figures 4a and 4b, we measure the relative error with respect to the condition number of the problem. The latter ranges from $10^5$ to $10^{16}$. Relative errors are calculated comparing with results from MPFR library [18]. The two subroutines norm2 and asum are excluded from this test because condition number is fixed for both of them. In almost all cases, solutions based on algorithm OneReduction besides being reproducible are more accurate than MKL. However, for ill-conditioned problems both MKL and OneReduction derived implementation gives worthless results. On the other side the RARE-BLAS subroutines ensure that results are always correctly rounded independently from the condition number (one horizontal line of plots at the computing precision level).

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have introduced algorithms that compute reproducible and accurately rounded results for BLAS. Level 1 and 2 subroutines have been addressed. Implementations of these algorithms have been tested on three platforms significant of the floating-point computing practice. While existing solutions tackle only the reproducibility problem, our proposed solution aims at ensuring both reproducibility and accuracy. We measure interesting performance on CPU based parallel environments. Extra-cost on CPU when all available cores are used is at worst twice compared to optimized but non-reproducible libraries. However performance on Xeon Phi accelerator is lagging behind: extra-cost is between 4 and 6 times more. Nevertheless, our algorithms remain efficient enough to be used for validation or debugging programs, and also for parallel applications that can sacrifice performance to increase the accuracy and the reproducibility of their results.

Our plan for future development includes achieving reproducibility and precision for other BLAS subroutines. We are currently designing an accurate and reproducible version of triangular solver. Other Level 3 BLAS routines will be addressed even if the performance gap with optimized libraries will enforce the previously identified restriction of the application scope.

Fig. 4: Accuracy results for dot and gemv (IEEE-754 binary64 with round-to-the-nearest).
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