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Towards Transfers in Paraplegia Assisted by Electrical Stimulation and Inertial System

Lucas O. da Fonseca¹, Ana Claudia Garcia Lopes², Claudia Ochoa-Dias¹, Christine Azevedo-Coste³, Emerson Fachin-Martins² and Antônio P. L. Bô¹

Abstract—Spinal cord injured (SCI) patients that have no lower limb motor function perform several transfers during a day. Those transfers are from and to a wheelchair, a car, a hygienic chair, among other situations. These repetitive motions can cause overload on their upper limbs over time. Functional Electrical Stimulation may be used to induce contraction on knee extensors, providing additional support at the joint level during transfer. However, the design of the interface with which to control the onset of stimulation is challenging. The use of some automated system is beneficial, particularly since the user is using both hands to perform the transfer. Therefore, the precise moment of activation is important because, if erroneous, it can cause the user’s loss of balance. A system with which the users themselves can activate the stimulation with triggers in gloves is used to collect kinematic data from SCI patients during Sitting Pivot Transfers. The results show that the trunk angle can be used along a threshold for a reliable assistance device. Strategies for portability using a wireless inertial measurement unit are also discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

A spinal cord injury (SCI) can deeply impact the individual’s life and there are numerous challenges they must face everyday. Transfer from/to wheelchair is a key ability to gain mobility and independence, allowing greater interaction with the environment, besides social participation and improvements of the quality of life for individuals with spinal cord injury [9], [12], [4], [7]. The most commonly performed type of transfer by paraplegic subjects is sitting pivot transfer (SPT) [11], [3]. In most of the cases, they have potential to perform this task independently. The SPT is executed on average 15 to 20 times a day [11]. This large number of transfers also contributes to the development or perpetuation of secondary upper limbs musculoskeletal impairments over time and it is known that after SCI, individuals have great risks of pain and injury in the upper limb due to joint overload during activities of daily living [11], [1], [2]. Functional electrical stimulation (FES) can be used as a potential technological resource to assist these people during transfers [8], [10], [6], [5]. However, how the user activates the stimulation poses a problem. Since he uses both upper limbs during the transfer, any strategy that requires manual activating at the time of stimulation is not practical. The moment of the stimulation onset must be automated in order to free the user’s upper limbs.

We hypothesized that kinematic information could be used to trigger the stimulation with minimal user training, as proposed by [5]. A possible system for FES-assisted SPT could work as follows: the user has some kind of interface, like a switch, that he uses to enter a “SPT mode” when he is already in the starting position. Then he places his hand wherever he wishes and perform the transfer. The IMU, placed over his C7 vertebra, captures the trunk angle and triggers the lower limbs stimulation according to the relative angle threshold previously set to that user.

We have previously performed similar tests with able-bodied subjects [8] with manual stimulation triggering. This work was motivated by the following questions: 1) can an inertial measurement unit (IMU) collect kinematic information capable of reliably trigger FES for SPT assist on paraplegic subjects? And 2) can this IMU be placed on the user such as a wearable device? In order to do that we captured kinematic data from SCI subjects performing numerous SPTs with two different systems simultaneously; an IMU and a motion capture system. In addition, we developed a glove that allowed the subject to activate the stimulation as intended during the transfer.

II. METHODS

A. Subjects and setup

Three patients underwent the experiment: 2 men and 1 woman aging between 34 and 49 years old, all with AIS A (American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale) lesions between T2 and T11. They signed the Consent Form, which, along with this work, was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee (CAAE: 54748116.9.0000.0022). This work was approved by the Ethical Committee from the SARAH Network Rehabilitation Hospitals.

The patients were involved in two sessions. The first one took place at the hospital, where a physiotherapist applied a physical exam to make sure he/she was able to perform the task of SPT. The second session was the experiment in the laboratory.

Two benches were placed next to each other, with a 10º angle in between. The patient’s feet were positioned in front of the benches, and equidistantly to both of them. There were specific places for positioning the hands, which were kept unchanged during the whole work. The experimental set-up can be seen on Fig. 1.

In order to collect accurate kinematic data, a golden standard motion capture system was used. Also, an IMU collected...
data for the purpose of this work. Finally, pressure sensors on the hands provided readings related to the pressure applied by the hand on the support.

B. Stimulator

The stimulator was a Rehastim (Hasomed, Germany). Two channels were used, one for each leg on the quadriceps muscle group. An emergency stop button was positioned on the metal structure, easily accessible by both patient and supervising physiotherapist. Stimulation was applied at 50 Hz and 450 µs. The current was determined on the day of the experiment, based on each subject’s individual response to achieve leg extension for 10s.

C. Hands pressure sensors

A couple of hand pressure sensing gloves were custom-made. Each glove embeds three FlexiForce sensors (Tekscan, EUA), which were connected to a microcontroller. The resistive sensors are placed on specific palm areas to maximize the force application. A microcontroller sampled data at 1 kHz and forwarded it to a computer at 20 Hz.

D. Inertial measurement unit

One inertial measurement unit (IMU, Yost Labs, EUA) was positioned over the patient’s C7 vertebrae. It communicated wirelessly with the computer with a proprietary protocol and was able to sample orientation data at about 170 Hz. In order to simulate real applications, IMUs readings were performed with respect to the initial position, i.e. angle data was always set to 0 at the start of each trial. Indeed, for everyday use, a helpful feature is possibility of using the device without any initialization, such as positioning in vertical position for providing an absolute reference. In our case, relative reference position could be obtained by high-pass filtering the measured angle.

E. Motion capture system

Qualisys QTM (Qualisys, Sweden) was used to capture motion data, as well as data from the force plates. It was all sampled at 200 Hz and recorded on a local computer. The upper body marker protocol was used with 31 markers. The C7 marker, which is usually positioned over the C7 vertebrae, was instead positioned over the IMU.

F. Experimental protocol

At the beginning of each trial, the subject was either on one bench or the other, already prepared to execute the transfer. Their hand would be placed on the predefined places, but it was asked them not to apply weight on it to prevent the stimulation activation ahead of time. The system would start collecting data, and a researcher would tell the subject they could do the transfer whenever they wanted. The subject would do it, and when they supported their weight on their upper limbs, the stimulation would be activated and cause the lower limb muscles to contract, assisting with the transfer. After the stimulation was over, and the transfer was finished, the trial was concluded.

Each subject underwent 12 transfers, 6 in each direction (left and right). Out of the total 12, 6 were performed with stimulation and 6 without it. Before the 12 transfers in which data was collected for this work, there were 6 transfers with the purpose of familiarizing the user with the system, particularly the stimulation activation method.

G. Control strategy

The hand pressure sensors gave the subjects the control over when to activate the stimulation. They could prepare themselves for the transfer and activate the stimulation when they supported their weight on their upper limbs, in a intuitive way. The stimulation then remains activated for 1 second during the lift pivot phase. The lift pivot phase is defined between the moment the subject supports his weight on the upper limbs and the moment he reaches the target seat, and it lasts on average 1 second, according to [4].

Knowing when the subject intended to activate the stimulation, we could evaluate if the wireless IMU provided kinematic data that is consistent intra-subject with the user intended stimulation activation timing. If this is indeed the case, a simpler measurement system (i.e. IMUs) could be used instead of sensorized gloves for controlling stimulation onset in FES-aided SPT. This is particularly relevant for the users, who will have their upper limbs free to guide the transfer.

H. Data analysis

After the trunk angles were recorded for both the motion capture system and the IMU, intra-subject trials correlation of

trunk angle on the sagittal plane was calculated. Then the trunk angle was analyzed along the moment in which the stimulation was activated by the subject. The same was done with the trunk angle first derivative, second derivative, relative angle and standard deviation (along a moving window of 0.1s). Since this work aims at developing wearable technologies which must work in real time, no offline non causal filters were used.

### III. RESULTS

The trunk angle correlation between all trials in each subject presented correlation greater than 0.75, where 1 indicates complete similarity, both with the motion capture system and the IMU data. We may infer that, even though all subjects had just been presented to a new technology and, thus, a new way of performing transfers, there was reasonable degree of similarity between the trials, concerning trunk angle on the sagittal plane. Fig. 2 shows the trunk angle on the sagittal plane on all 12 trials for one subject with the motion capture system (Fig. 2a) and the IMU (Fig. 2b). From the moment the subject was told he could do the transfer, there was no instructions for specific timing on when he should start it. Therefore, for visualization purposes, all trials were centralized by the peak trunk angle and plotted on top of each other. Also, the data was trimmed to show only the 5 seconds around the peak trunk angle. This is why not all trials start at exactly 0º on Fig. 2b, even though the IMU angles are set to 0º at the beginning.

Fig. 3 show the angles on which the stimulation was activated by one subject related to the initial angle position. Since the angles are relative to the initial position, it was expected that the activating angles would be low. Table I summarizes these relative angles means and standard deviations for the IMU data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mean [º]</th>
<th>Standard deviation [º]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between the angles captured by the motion capture system, Fig. 2a, and the IMU, Fig. 2b, are due to two reasons. First, the procedure on which the IMU angles are set to 0º on the beginning of each trial. Also, the two systems do not measure the exact same body segment. The trunk angle from the motion capture system is based on the trunk body segment, which was built using the shoulders and hips markers. Therefore, it does not capture the curvature along the trunk. The IMU, however, was placed over the C7 vertebra. As a result, the IMU captures the upper trunk orientation, which may also be affected by the neck, but ignores the lower trunk movement, close to the hips.

We can see on Fig. 2a that the absolute angle varies substantially between trials of the same subject, which also makes it unsuitable to be used as trigger for the stimulation. However, the relative angle between the starting position and the moment of activation is more consistent, as can be seen on Fig. 3. Note that, despite the differences aforementioned between the two systems used, the relative angle seems similar between the two.

Following a high trunk angle correlation between trials on each subject is a strong indication that a device to automate the stimulation trigger is feasible. Table I shows low standard variations for the angles in which the stimulation was activated. That suggests that these angles collected by the IMU...
V. Conclusion

The IMU is a small device that could easily be adapted as a wearable device. The results found on this work indicate its data can be used to trigger the stimulation of lower limbs and assist SPT on paraplegic users. That would free their upper limbs to be used to support their remaining weight and balance, and possibly reduce the load, particularly on the shoulders. That, along with the high trunk angle correlation between trials, indicate that a reliable wearable device for such purpose if feasible.
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