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A Novel Model-Based Robust Super-Twisting Sliding Mode Control of PKMs: Design and Real-Time Experiments
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Abstract—In this paper, a new robust model-based super-twisting algorithm is proposed as a control solution for parallel kinematic manipulators (PKMs). The conventional super-twisting algorithm for robot manipulators has the structure of a computed-torque control which can be sensitive to measurement noise. This issue may deteriorate the dynamic performance of the manipulator and reduce its robustness towards changes in the operating conditions. The proposed approach, relying on the desired trajectory, is more computationally efficient and more robust. It includes a feedforward dynamic compensator, the super-twisting feedback control, and a feedback stabilizing term. As a validation, real-time experiments have been conducted on a 5-DOF redundantly actuated PKM. Several scenarios have been tested including nominal case and the robustness towards speed variations. The relevance of the proposed control solution is proved through the improvement of the tracking performance at different dynamic operating conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few decades, the interest about Parallel Kinematic Manipulators (PKMs) has increased thanks to their distinguished features. In contrast to their serial counterparts, parallel manipulators offer more stiffness, better accuracy, high-speed capabilities, and a higher payload-to-weight ratio. However, they still suffer from some drawbacks such as the limited workspace and the complex singularities behavior. The control design of PKMs is a key factor in obtaining satisfactory high dynamic performances [1]. Parallel manipulators are known by their high nonlinearities, coupled actuation, uncertainties, and actuation redundancy, which make their control task more difficult and challenging [2]. In the literature, a vast number of control solutions have been proposed and experimented on parallel manipulators [3] [4] [5].

On the one hand, the non-model-based control solutions, which are simple to be implemented, do not take into account the dynamics of the manipulator [6], [7]. Thus, the dynamic performance can be deteriorated leading sometimes to instability at high-speed motions. On the other hand, the model-based control solutions consider, fully or partially, the dynamics of the manipulator in their design, compensating for the high effect of the nonlinear dynamics [8], [9], [10], [11], [12]. As a result, model-based controllers can provide much higher performance and robustness towards nonlinearity variation compared to non-model-based controllers.

Sliding Mode Control (SMC) is a powerful tool against model uncertainties, unknown and/or time varying plant parameters, as well as parasitic dynamics [13]. Its control objective is to allow the convergence of a sliding variable, composed of the system states, to zero, and thus converge the system states to the sliding surface in a finite time and remain on it, allowing the state trajectories converge towards zero. SMC can provide the controlled system a high robustness towards disturbances thanks to the theoretical exact compensation of the bounded matched disturbances. However, standard SMC produces a discontinuous control signal which is not adequate with real-time implementation. Several techniques have been investigated in the literature to avoid or reduce this high-frequency switching, known as chattering phenomena, such as Quasi-SMC, integration of sign function, continuous high-order SMC [13], etc.

Furthermore, second-order SMC algorithms are able to achieve finite-time convergence of the sliding variable and its derivative. It can ensure a quadratic precision of the convergence with respect to the sliding output as well as the sliding surface is no longer needed (Twisting and Terminal controllers) [14], [15]. The second-order Super-Twisting SMC (ST-SMC) algorithm has been proposed and developed, resulting in an exact finite-time convergence of the sliding variable and its derivative, as well as a high accurate asymptotic convergence of the states, and a continuous control signal [16].

Decentralized SMC has been applied to parallel manipulators such that none of the dynamic parts of the manipulator does appear in the control law [17], [18]. An adaptive terminal sliding mode control has been proposed in [19] for parallel manipulators. To the best of the authors knowledge, while ST-SMC approach has never been applied to parallel manipulators, it has some other robotic applications. Those applications include under-actuated robotic systems [20], attitude tracking problem of quadrotors [21], and mobile robots [22]. Furthermore, a variable-gain ST-SMC version has been proposed for a robotic arm in [23] allowing to compensate for a larger class of perturbations than the conventional ST-SMC.

In this paper, a novel model-based ST-SMC is proposed for parallel manipulators as an extension of the original second-order ST algorithm [16]. The proposed control structure formed by a dynamic feedforward term, a feedback super-twisting term, and a stabilizing feedback term, can be more adequate for real-time implementations. Moreover, this control scheme can be easily applied to the general class of robotic manipulators whose dynamics is described by (4).

It could be less sensitive to measurements noise that may deteriorate the dynamic performance and stimulate the chattering effect. Experimental tests are conducted on a five-Degrees-Of-Freedom (5-DOF) redundant parallel manipulator, called SPIDER4 robot, showing an improved dynamic
performance and a more robustness towards disturbances and changes in the operating conditions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces a brief description of the structure and modeling of SPIDER4 robot. The proposed control solution is introduced in section III. The real-time experimental results are presented and discussed in section IV. Section V sums up the main drawn conclusions and proposes future directions of the present work.

II. SPIDER4 ROBOT: DESCRIPTION AND MODELING

A. Description of SPIDER4 robot

SPIDER4 is a Delta-like 5-DOF redundantly actuated parallel manipulator, designed at LIRMM for machining applications [24]. Fig. 1 shows a CAD-view of SPIDER4 PKM and its main components. It consists of a fixed-base linked to a moving platform (nacelle) through four kinematic chains. Each kinematic chain is composed of an actuator (rotational motor), a rear-arm, and a forearm. The overall assembly allows the nacelle to perform in three translational motions x, y and z. An additional independent serial wrist mechanism (actuated by two motors) is attached to the nacelle, offering two more rotational movements for the manipulator, including the mass of the nacelle, the payload lifted by the nacelle (the wrist including the two motors and the spindle), and the half-masses of the forearms, $X \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the Cartesian acceleration vector and $G = [0, g, 0]^T$ represents the gravity vector with $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ being the gravity acceleration.

On the other hand, the dynamics of the rear-arms, from the joint side, comprise (i) the torque generated by the actuators $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^4$, (ii) the torque contribution of the gravitational force acting on the rear-arms $\Gamma_{G_{arm}} \in \mathbb{R}^4$, and (iii) the inertial contribution due to the rear-arms’ acceleration $\Gamma_{arm} \in \mathbb{R}^4$. After some geometric considerations, one can obtain the torque contribution of the gravitational forces on the rear-arms as follows:

$$\Gamma_{G_{arm}} = -gM_{ra} \cos(q)$$

where $M_{ra}$ is a geometric constant and $\cos(q) \triangleq [\cos(q_1), \cos(q_2), \cos(q_3), \cos(q_4)]^T$. The torque contribution of the inertial force acting on the rear-arms can be defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_{arm} = I_{arm} \ddot{q}$$

where $I_{arm} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$ is a diagonal inertia matrix including the inertia of the actuators, the rear-arms and the half-masses of the forearms with respect to the actuators’ rotation axes. $\ddot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ is the acceleration vector in joint space.

Finally, the inverse dynamic model of SPIDER4 PKM can be formulated using the virtual work principle as follows:

$$\Gamma(t) = M(q) \ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} + G(q)$$

where $M(q) = I_{arm} + J^T M_{tp} J$ is the total mass and inertia matrix of the robot, $C(q, \dot{q}) = J^T M_{tp} \dot{J}$ is the Coriolis and centrifugal forces matrix, $G(q) = -\Gamma_{G_{tp}} - \Gamma_{G_{arm}}$ is the gravitational forces vector, and $\Gamma(t)$ is the control input vector. The main dynamic parameters of SPIDER4 parallel robot are summarized in Table I.
III. PROPOSED MODEL-BASED SUPER-TWISTING SMC

A. Motivation

If we consider the external disturbances, the dynamic model can be rewritten as:

\[ M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \Gamma_d = \Gamma \]  

where \( \Gamma_d \in \mathbb{R}^n \) represents the vector of external disturbances, uncertainties, and non-modeled dynamics. Assuming that \( \Gamma_d \) is bounded, the conventional ST-SMC algorithm that can be designed for such type of systems can be expressed as follows [16], [26]:

\[ \Gamma = M(q)(\ddot{q} + \Gamma_{ST-SMC}) \]

\[ \Gamma_{ST-SMC} = k_1|s|^{\frac{2}{3}} \text{sign}(s) + w \]  

where \( \ddot{q} = \dot{q}_d + \lambda \dot{e} \) with \( \lambda \) being a positive feedback gain, the tracking error is defined as \( e = q_d - q \), and \( \dot{e} = \dot{q} + \lambda e \) being the sliding surface. One of the main limitations of this control structure is the lack of some parts of the model dynamics (actually including only the inertia matrix) which may decrease the dynamic performance of the parallel robot.

The control design of the ST-SMC approach taking into account the nonlinear dynamics within a computed-torque formulation can be expressed as follows [21], [23]:

\[ \Gamma = M(q)(\ddot{q} + \Gamma_{ST-SMC}) + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) \]

This computed-torque control based on the ST-SMC algorithm needs a well and precise knowledge of the dynamic model to obtain satisfactory tracking performances. Relying on measured signals to compute the dynamic model in an online form can also make the controller more sensitive to noise measurements, decreasing the global performance and increasing the chattering effect. Furthermore, it has been shown in [27] that computed-torque control is unable to cope well with modeling errors.

To avoid all the above issues, we propose to replace the computed-torque with a feedforward term having at the end a super-twisting feedforward sliding mode control approach. The feedforward dynamic term, relying on the desired trajectories instead of the measured ones, can be much more efficient in terms of computation cost, since it can be computed offline and stored to be used online within the control. Also, it is less sensitive measurement noises providing then a better performance and a less chattered signal.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>Rear-arm length</td>
<td>535 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l )</td>
<td>Forearm length</td>
<td>1100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_r )</td>
<td>Rear-arm mass</td>
<td>17.6 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_f )</td>
<td>Forearm mass</td>
<td>4.64 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{tp} )</td>
<td>Total traveling-plate mass</td>
<td>51.54 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{arm} )</td>
<td>Rear-arm inertia</td>
<td>1.69 kg.m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{act} )</td>
<td>Actuator inertia</td>
<td>2.23 \times 10^{-3} kg.m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From (14), if $\Lambda$ and $K_1$ are chosen such that the two matrices
\[
\left( I - \Lambda + K_1 M^{-1}(q) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \left( I + K_1 M^{-1}(q) \right)
\]
are positive definite, then the error can converge exponentially to zero as time goes to infinity. Thus, the stability of the system can be guaranteed under the proposed controller.

IV. REAL-TIME EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, the obtained experimental results of the conventional Computed-Torque ST-SMC (CT-ST-SMC) algorithm (12) and the proposed FeedForward ST-SMC (FF-ST-SMC) algorithm (13) are presented and compared in comparison with a standard PID with computed FeedForward (PIDFF) controller.

A. Experimental platform and implementation issues

1) Experimental platform: The experimental platform of SPIDER4 robot is shown in Fig. 2. The parallel structure of SPIDER4 robot consists of four TPM+ high torque rotary motors. A gearbox of a gear ratio of 22 is merged seamlessly to the motor forming one compact versatile unit. The peak torque that can be delivered by each motor after the gear transformation can reach up to 3100 Nm. The maximum speed for each motor after the gear can reach up to 189 rpm. The robot actuators are equipped with multi-turn absolute encoders to measure the joint positions. The overall structure provides at the traveling-plate level a maximum speed of 2 m/s and a maximum acceleration of 4 G. The control program of SPIDER4 robot is established within Matlab/Simulink software environment, of MathWorks, and C/C++ environment which is accessible from Automation Studio software provided by B&R Perfection in Automation.

2) PIDFF control law: The PIDFF control law is implemented as follows:
\[
\Gamma = K_p e + K_i \int e \, dt + K_d \dot{e} + M(q_d) \ddot{q}_d + C(q_d, \dot{q}_d) \dot{q}_d + G(q_d)
\]
with $K_p$, $K_i$, and $K_d$ are symmetric positive definite matrices representing the feedback gains of the PIDFF controller.

3) Reference trajectories generation: Using linear and circular interpolations, the 3D views of the generated desired trajectories are sketched in Figs. 3 and 4, illustrating the interpolation points within the workspace. The trajectories are generated smoothly to reduce the effect of the noise and stimulate all the degrees of freedom of the robot.

4) Performance evaluation criteria: An accuracy evaluation tool frequently used to evaluate differences between a desired trajectory and a measured one is the Root-Mean-Square Error ($RMSE$) criterion. The $RMSE$ for Cartesian ($RMSE_C$) and for joint ($RMSE_J$) spaces are given respectively as follows:
\[
RMSE_C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} (e^2_j(i)) \right)}
\]
\[
RMSE_J = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e^2_j(i)) \right)}
\]

where $N$ denotes the number of the collected samples along the whole trajectory, $e$ represents the tracking error between the desired and measured positions (at Cartesian or joint space), $m$ is the number of translational motions that can be performed by the nacelle of the robot, and $n$ is the number of the actuators.

5) Tuning of the feedback gains: A common method for the tuning of the feedback gains in experiments, often used for complex robotic systems, is the Trial-and-Error method. The gains have been experimentally tuned to get the best tracking performance while avoiding the saturation of the actuators. Increasing further the gains may amplify the noise effect and degrade the tracking performance. The obtained values of the gains resulting from the application of this method are summarized in Table II.

![Fig. 3. 3D-view of Trajectory I for SPIDER4 robot in Cartesian space.](image3)

![Fig. 4. 3D-view of Trajectory II for SPIDER4 robot in Cartesian space.](image4)
TABLE II
SUMMARY OF THE TUNED FEEDBACK GAINS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard PIDFF</th>
<th>Conventional CT-ST-SMC</th>
<th>Proposed FF-ST-SMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_p = 3500$</td>
<td>$\Lambda = 80$</td>
<td>$\Lambda = 90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_i = 1500$</td>
<td>$K_1 = 3$</td>
<td>$K_1 = 7.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_2 = 2$</td>
<td>$K_2 = 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_3 = 18$</td>
<td>$K_3 = 25$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Experiment 1: Feedforward PID versus CT-ST-SMC

In this section, the conventional CT-ST-SMC algorithm and the standard PIDFF control are implemented on SPIDER4 parallel robot. Two scenarios are adopted for this experimental validation: 1) scenario 1: trajectory I shown in Fig. 3, at feedrate of 12000 Inch/Minute, 2) scenario 2: trajectory II shown in Fig. 4, at feedrate of 12000 Inch/Minute.

1) Scenario 1: The conventional CT-ST-SMC algorithm shows a bad behavior when the robot follows Trajectory I. The evolution of the control signals generated by the conventional CT-ST-SMC control law is plotted in Fig. 5. The high chattering effect that appears clearly in the control signals induced a lot of vibrations into the mechanical structure of the robot. The generated control signal may heat the electrical and mechanical parts and lead to premature wear in the actuators. This may be harmful for the actuators and this scenario was not repeated any more.

2) Scenario 2: In this scenario, the robot’s nacelle follows Trajectory II at feedrate of 12000 Inch/Minute considering that circular motions can be more smooth on the actuators. The measured signals and the generated output are processed with second order filters only for the conventional CT-ST-SMC algorithm (due to the above mentioned vibration problem).

The Cartesian tracking errors for both controllers are plotted in Fig. 6. One can observe clearly the superiority of the PIDFF control law w.r.t the conventional CT-ST-SMC in terms of tracking precision along the reference trajectory. The RMSE performance index is evaluated in both Cartesian and joint spaces for both controllers and reported in Table III. The generated control torques of the four motors of SPIDER4 robot for both controllers are depicted in Fig. 7. It is clear that both control algorithms generate an input signal within the admissible limits of the motors. However, still low vibrations can be observed on the mechanical system of the robot with the conventional CT-ST-SMC algorithm.

Finally, this experimental validation proves that computed-torque based control approaches with sliding mode controllers are sensitive to measurement noises and produce chattering effects. This is more effective especially when dealing with dynamical systems of high nonlinearities and large parameter values such as SPIDER4 robot.

C. Experiment 2: Feedforward PID versus proposed FF-ST-SMC

Within this section, the experimental results on SPIDER4 robot of a PIDFF control and the proposed FeedForward ST-SMC (FF-ST-SMC) algorithm are presented and discussed. The considered trajectory for these experiments is Trajectory I in which both linear and circular motions are combined. Two main scenarios are also conducted in this experimental

TABLE III
EXPERIMENT 1-SCENARIO 2: Control performance evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$RMSE_{Ez}$ [mm]</th>
<th>$RMSE_{Ez}$ [deg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional CT-ST-SMC</td>
<td>1.9895</td>
<td>0.2751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard PIDFF</td>
<td>0.6785</td>
<td>0.0521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
demonstration: scenario 1: nominal case, scenario 2: robustness towards speed changes.

1) Scenario 1: nominal case: In this scenario, the robot’s nacelle has to follow Trajectory I with a feedrate of 6000 Inch/Minute.

Following that trajectory, the Cartesian tracking errors for both controllers are recorded and plotted in Fig. 8. One can observe a good tracking error performed by the proposed controller on all the translational axes compared to the classical PIDFF control. In particular, the tracking error at y-axis is dragged towards zero with the proposed controller by a remarkable compensation that can be noticed clearly in Fig. 8. Due to the horizontal orientation of the kinematic chains of SPIDER4 robot and its heavy parts, the y-axis motion is highly subjected to the effect of gravity. Thus, we can notice from the tracking errors that the proposed FF-ST-SMC is more robust towards gravitational effects than the standard PIDFF control. The RMSE performance indices in the Cartesian and joint spaces are evaluated for both controllers along the reference trajectory and the obtained values are reported in Table IV. Those indices show a significant improvement of 55.4 % and 44.1 % in both Cartesian and joint spaces respectively.

The generated control torques of the four motors of the robot for both controllers are depicted in Fig. 9. It is clear that both control algorithms generate an input signal within the admissible torques of the motors. Furthermore, both control signals are smooth enough for the whole trajectory.

This scenario validates the relevance and applicability of the proposed FF-ST-SMC in real-time experiments. It shows also a high dynamic performance of the proposed controller, compared to the classical PIDFF control law.

2) Scenario 2: robustness towards speed changes: In this scenario, the feedrate of the robot’s nacelle is increased to 36000 Inch/Minute following reference Trajectory I. The obtained Cartesian tracking errors for both controllers are depicted in Fig. 10. The dynamic error is considerably reduced for the proposed controller, compared to the PIDFF control law as well as the static error.

The evaluation of the performance indices of both con-
trollers is reported in Table V. It show an improvement of 44.3 % in Cartesian space and 38.4 % in joint space. The disturbance-rejection and high nonlinearities compensation at high-speed motions are fulfilled by the proposed FF-ST-SMC approach improving the global dynamic performance of SPIDER4 robot.

The evolution of the control torques generated by both controllers is displayed in Fig. 11. The control signals show a good behavior within the allowable capacities of the motors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPERIMENT 2-SCENARIO 2: CONTROL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard PIDFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE$_X$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE$_J$ [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A novel model-based ST-SMC strategy based on the feedforward dynamics has been proposed for PKMs. A 5-DOF redundantly actuated PKM has been used for real-time validation of the proposed control scheme. The obtained experimental results have shown better global performances of the proposed controller at low and high dynamic operating conditions, compared to conventional computed-torque ST-SMC and standard PID-FF controllers. Furthermore, it has been experimentally shown the high sensitivity of the conventional computed-torque ST-SMC algorithm to measurements noise, especially when dealing with highly nonlinear PKMs of large dynamic parameter values. As a future work, this paper can be extended with full stability analysis of this paper can be extended with full stability analysis of the proposed controller at low and high dynamic operating conditions. As a future work, this paper can be extended with full stability analysis of the proposed controller at low and high dynamic operating conditions.
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