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#### Abstract

We present a family of avoidable formulas with reversal whose avoidability index is unbounded. We also complete the determination of the avoidability index of the formulas with reversal in the 3 -avoidance basis.


## 1. Introduction

The notion of formula with reversal [3, 4] is an extension of the notion of classical formula such that a variable $x$ can appear both as $x$ and $x^{R}$ with the convention that in an occurrence $h$ of the formula, $h\left(x^{R}\right)$ is the reverse (i.e., mirror image) of $h(x)$. For example, the word $w=20012210101122201$ contains the occurrence $h: x \rightarrow 01, y \rightarrow 221$ of the formula $x y x \cdot x y^{R} \cdot x^{R}$ because $h(x y x)=0122101, h\left(x y^{R}\right)=01122, h\left(x^{R}\right)=10$ are all factors of $w$. The avoidability index $\lambda(F)$ of a formula with reversal $F$ is the minimum number of letters contained in an infinite word avoiding $F$.

Currie, Mol, and Rampersad [3] have asked if there exist formulas with reversal with arbitrarily large avoidability index. They considered the formula $\psi_{k}=x y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{k} x \cdot y_{1}^{R} \cdot y_{2}^{R} \cdots y_{k}^{R}$ and obtained that $\lambda\left(\psi_{1}\right)=4, \lambda\left(\psi_{2}\right)=$ $\lambda\left(\psi_{3}\right)=\lambda\left(\psi_{6}\right)=5,5 \leqslant \lambda\left(\psi_{4}\right) \leqslant 6,5 \leqslant \lambda\left(\psi_{5}\right) \leqslant 7,4 \leqslant \lambda\left(\psi_{k}\right) \leqslant 6$ if $k \geqslant 7$ and $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, and $4 \leqslant \lambda\left(\psi_{k}\right) \leqslant 5$ if $k \geqslant 9$ and $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. They conjecture that $\lambda\left(\psi_{k}\right)=5$ for all $k \geqslant 2$. Computational experiments suggest that the upper bound $\lambda\left(\psi_{k}\right) \leqslant 5$ for $k \geqslant 3$ is witnessed by the image of every $\left(\frac{7}{4}^{+}\right)$-free ternary word under the following $(k+3)$-uniform morphism where $k=3 t+i, t \geqslant 1$, and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow(012)^{t+1-i}(0123)^{i} \\
& 1 \rightarrow(013)^{t+1-i}(0134)^{i} \\
& 2 \rightarrow(014)^{t+1-i}(0142)^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We do not try to prove that such words actually avoid $\psi_{k}$. Instead, we give a positive answer to their original question with Theorem 1 below. Consider the formula $\phi_{k}=x_{0} x_{1} \cdot x_{1} x_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{k-1} x_{0} \cdot x_{0}^{R} \cdot x_{1}^{R} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{k-1}^{R}$. That is, $\phi_{1}=x_{0} x_{0} \cdot x_{0}^{R}$, $\phi_{2}=x_{0} x_{1} \cdot x_{1} x_{0} \cdot x_{0}^{R} \cdot x_{1}^{R}, \phi_{3}=x_{0} x_{1} \cdot x_{1} x_{2} \cdot x_{2} x_{0} \cdot x_{0}^{R} \cdot x_{1}^{R} \cdot x_{2}^{R}, \ldots$

Theorem 1. For every fixed $b$, there exists $k$ such that $b<\lambda\left(\phi_{k}\right) \leqslant k+1$.
This result contrasts with the situation of classical formulas (without reversal). Clark [1] has shown in 2001 that there exist formulas with index 5 (such as ab.ba.ac.bc.cda.dcd), but no avoidable classical formula with higher index is currently known.

Currie, Mol, and Rampersad [4] have also determined the 3-avoidance basis for formulas with reversal, which contains the minimally avoidable formulas with reversal on 3 variables. They obtained several bounds on the avoidability index of the formulas with reversal in the 3-avoidance basis. The next two results finish the determination of the avoidability index of these formulas.

Theorem 2. The following formulas are simultaneously 2-avoidable:

- $x y z y x \cdot z y x y^{R} z$
- $x y z y x \cdot z y^{R} x y z$
- $x y z y x \cdot z y^{R} x y^{R} z$
- $x y z y^{R} x \cdot z y x y^{R} z$
- $x y z y^{R} x \cdot z y^{R} x y z$

Theorem 3. The formulas $x y z x \cdot y z x y \cdot z^{R}$ and $x y z x \cdot y z^{R} x y$ are simultaneously 3-avoidable.

Theorems 1 to 3 are proved in Sections 2 to 4, respectively.
A word $w$ is $d$-directed if for every factor $f$ of $w$ of length $d$, the word $f^{R}$ is not a factor of $w$.

Remark 4. If a d-directed word contains an occurrence $h$ of $x \cdot x^{R}$, then $|h(x)| \leqslant d-1$.

In order to express the simultaneous avoidance of similar formulas, as in Theorems 2 and 3 , we introduce the notation $x^{U}$ to represent equality up to mirror image. That is, if $h(x)=w$, then $h\left(x^{R}\right)=w^{R}$ and $h\left(x^{U}\right) \in\left\{w, w^{R}\right\}$. For example, avoiding $x y x y$ and $x y x^{R} y$ simultaneously is equivalent to avoiding $x y x^{U} y$. Notice that the notion of undirected avoidability recently considered by Currie and Mol [2] corresponds to the case where every occurrence of every variable of the formula is equipped with $-{ }^{U}$.

Recall that a word is $\left(\beta^{+}, n\right)$-free if it contains no repetition with exponent strictly greater than $\beta$ and period at least $n$. Also, a word is $\left(\beta^{+}\right)$-free if it is $\left(\beta^{+}, 1\right)$-free.

The C code to find and check the morphisms in this paper is available at http://www.lirmm.fr/~ochem/morphisms/reversal.htm.

## 2. Formulas with unbounded avoidability index

Let us first show that for every $k \geqslant 2, \phi_{k}$ is avoided by the periodic word $\left(\ell_{0} \ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{k}\right)^{\omega}$ over $(k+1)$ letters. This word is 2-directed, so every occurrence $h$ of $\phi_{k}$ is such that $\left|h\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$ for every $0 \leqslant i<k$ by Remark 4. Without loss of generality, $h\left(x_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}$. This forces $h\left(x_{1}\right)=\ell_{1}, h\left(x_{2}\right)=\ell_{2}$, and so on until $h\left(x_{k-1}\right)=\ell_{k-1}$ and $h\left(x_{0}\right)=\ell_{k}$, which contradicts $h\left(x_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}$. Thus $\lambda\left(\phi_{k}\right) \leqslant k+1$.

Let $b$ be an integer and let $w$ be an infinite word on at most $b$ letters. Consider the Rauzy graph $R$ of $w$ such that the vertices of $R$ are the letters of $w$ and for every factor $u v$ of length two in $w$, we put the $\operatorname{arc} \overrightarrow{u v}$ in $R$. So $R$ is a directed graph, possibly with loops (circuits of length 1) and digons (circuits of length 2). Since $w$ is infinite, every vertex of $R$ has out-degree at least 1 . So $R$ contains a circuit $C_{i}$ of length $i$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Let $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{i-1}$ be the vertices of $C_{i}$ in cyclic order. Let $k$ be the least common multiple of $1,2, \ldots, b$. Since $i$ divides $k, w$ contains the occurrence $h$ of $\phi_{k}$ such that $h\left(x_{j}\right)=c_{j \bmod i}$ for every $0 \leqslant j<k$. Thus $\lambda\left(\phi_{k}\right)>b$.

## 3. Formulas that flatten to $x y z y x \cdot z y x y z$

Notice that avoiding simultaneously the formulas in Theorem 2 is equivalent to avoiding $F=x y z y^{U} x \cdot z y^{U} x y^{U} z \cdot y^{R}$. The fragment $y^{R}$ is here to exclude the classical formula $x y z y x \cdot z y x y z$. Indeed, even though Gamard et al. [5] obtained that $\lambda(x y z y x \cdot z y x y z)=2$, a computer check shows that
$x y z y x \cdot z y x y z$ and $F$ cannot be avoided simultaneously over two letters, that is, $x y z y^{U} x \cdot z y^{U} x y^{U} z$ is not 2 -avoidable.

We use the method in [6] to show that the image of every $\left(\frac{7}{5}^{+}\right)$-free word over $\Sigma_{4}$ under the following 21-uniform morphism is $\left(\frac{22}{15}, 85\right)$-free. We also check that such a binary word is 11-directed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow 000010111000111100111 \\
& 1 \rightarrow 000010110011011110011 \\
& 2 \rightarrow 000010110001111010011 \\
& 3 \rightarrow 000010110001001101111
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider an occurrence $h$ of $F$. Since $F$ contains $y \cdot y^{R}$, then $|h(y)| \leqslant 10$ by Remark 4. Suppose that $|h(x z)| \geqslant 83$. Then $h\left(x y z y^{U} x\right)$ is a repetition with period $|h(x y z y)| \geqslant 85$. This implies $\frac{|h(x y z y x)|}{|h(x y z y)|} \leqslant \frac{22}{15}$, which gives $|h(x)| \leqslant$ $\frac{7}{8}|h(y z y)|$. Since $|h(y)| \leqslant 10$, we deduce $|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{35}{2}+\frac{7}{8}|h(z)|$. Symmetrically, considering the repetition $h\left(z y^{U} x y^{U} z\right)$ gives $|h(z)| \leqslant \frac{35}{2}+\frac{7}{8}|h(x)|$. So

$$
|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{35}{2}+\frac{7}{8}|h(z)| \leqslant \frac{35}{2}+\frac{7}{8}\left(\frac{35}{2}+\frac{7}{8}|h(x)|\right)=\frac{525}{16}+\frac{49}{64}|h(x)|
$$

and

$$
|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{\frac{525}{16}}{1-\frac{49}{64}}=140
$$

Symmetrically, $|h(z)| \leqslant 140$.
In every case, $|h(x)| \leqslant 140,|h(z)| \leqslant 140$, and $|h(y)| \leqslant 10$. Thus we can check exhaustively that $h$ does not exist.

## 4. The formulas $x y z x \cdot y z x y \cdot z^{R}$ and $x y z x \cdot y z^{R} x y$

Notice that avoiding $x y z x \cdot y z x y \cdot z^{R}$ and $x y z x \cdot y z^{R} x y$ simultaneously is equivalent to avoiding $F=x y z x \cdot y z^{U} x y \cdot z^{R}$. We use the method in [6] to show that the image of every $\left(\frac{7}{5}^{+}\right)$-free word over $\Sigma_{4}$ under the following 9 -uniform morphism is $\left(\frac{131}{90}^{+}, 28\right)$-free. We also check that such a ternary word is 4-directed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow 011122202 \\
& 1 \rightarrow 010121202 \\
& 2 \rightarrow 001112122 \\
& 3 \rightarrow 000101120
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider an occurrence $h$ of $F$. Since $F$ contains $z \cdot z^{R}$, then $|h(z)| \leqslant 3$ by Remark 4. Suppose that $|h(x y)| \geqslant 27$. Then $h(x y z x)$ is a repetition with period $|h(x y z)| \geqslant 28$. This implies $\frac{|h(x y z x)|}{|h(x y z)|} \leqslant \frac{131}{90}$, which gives $|h(x)| \leqslant$ $\frac{41}{49}|h(y z)|$. Since $|h(z)| \leqslant 3$, we deduce $|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{123}{49}+\frac{41}{49}|h(y)|$. Symmetrically, considering the repetition $h\left(y z^{U} x y\right)$ gives $|h(y)| \leqslant \frac{123}{49}+\frac{41}{49}|h(x)|$. So

$$
|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{123}{49}+\frac{41}{49}|h(y)| \leqslant \frac{123}{49}+\frac{41}{49}\left(\frac{123}{49}+\frac{41}{49}|h(x)|\right)=\frac{11070}{2401}+\frac{1681}{2401}|h(x)|
$$

and

$$
|h(x)| \leqslant \frac{\frac{11070}{2401}}{1-\frac{1681}{2401}}=\frac{123}{8}=15.375
$$

So $|h(x)| \leqslant 15$ and, symmetrically, $|h(y)| \leqslant 15$.
In every case, $|h(x y)| \leqslant 30$ and $|h(z)| \leqslant 3$. Thus we can check exhaustively that $h$ does not exist.
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