Exploring the 3-Dimensional Variability of Websites' User-Stories using Triadic Concept Analysis

Alexandre Bazin¹, Thomas Georges^{1,3}, Marianne Huchard¹, Pierre Martin², Chouki Tibermacine¹

> ¹LIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS ²AIDA, Cirad ³ITK -Predict & Decide

(****N(EPTS'24

Context

Software Product Line (SPL)

- Framework to build similar software in a disciplined way
- Low cost, easy customization
- Various implementation approaches
- Main common artefact: Variability model (options/features and constraints)

Extractive approach to build a SPL

- Using existing similar software
- Extract Variability model, shared artefacts (requirements, specification, code)

Context

Variability model

- Available features and constraints
- Partial or exhaustive expression of variability
- Diverse forms: Feature models, Textual models (UVL), CSP, Binary Implication Graph, Variable UML diagrams



Extract variability model

Standard case Software described by features

	search(s)	$view\ comment\ (vc)$	$manage \ cart \ (mc)$
MyManga	×		×
MangaStore	×		
MangaHome	×	×	×



 $\{\} \Rightarrow search$

view comment, search \Rightarrow manage cart

Graphical variability expression (e.g. feature model [Kang, 1990])

Textual variability expression (e.g. dyadic implications)

Extract Software-feature-role variability model

Agile approach

- Software described by a set of user-stories
- As a < user/role >, I want to < action/feature >

	8	vc	mc	s	vc	mc	8	vc	mc
MyManga	×			×		×			×
MangaStore	\times			×					
MangaHome	×	\times			×			\times	×
	FinalUser			Administrator			Pi	roduct	tManager

Interest

- For software with various access (e.g. e-shop, forum, ERP, wiki, healthcare software, etc.)
- To take into account the role dimension in variability and further in new software configurations and code generation

Extract Software-feature-role variability model

State of the art

- No known extraction method
- No identified representation: Variable use case diagram in UML [Junior et al., 2010])?, logical expressions?



Graphical variability expression (freely inspired from SMarty profile [Junior et al., 2010]) $\forall (S,F) \in C_{FinalUser} \\ \cap C_{ProductManager}, \\ (S,F) \in C_{Administrator}$

 $\ln C_{FinalUser},$ viewComment \Rightarrow search

Possible textual variability expression

Proposed solution for extraction: Triadic Concept Analysis

Ternary relations between three object sets: software, roles and features

	s	vc	mc	8	vc	mc	8	vc	mc
MyManga	×			×		×			×
MangaStore	\times			×					
MangaHome	\times	\times			×			×	×
	FinalUser			Ad	minis	strator	P	roduc	tManager

Triadic implications

What is a rule in a triadic setting?

- Rules between software
- Rules between roles
- Rules between features
- Rules between pairs (feature, role)
- Rules between pairs (software, feature)
- Rules between pairs (software, role)
- Rules that hold only given some condition

Triadic implications: Conditioning

	\boldsymbol{s}	vc	mc	8	vc	mc	8	vc	mc
MyManga	×			×		×			×
MangaStore	×			×					
MangaHome	×	\times			×			\times	×
	FinalUser		Administrator		Pi	roduc	tManager		

$\mathcal{C}_{\{FinalUser\}}$

	search	viewComment	manageCart
MyManga	×		
MangaStore	×		
MangaHome	×	×	

Rule that holds only given some condition $(\{viewComment\} \Rightarrow \{search\})_{\{FinalUser\}}$

Triadic implications: Rules between Pairs (feature,role)

	(<u>s</u> ,FU)	(vc, FU)	(mc, FU)	(<u>s</u> , <u>A</u>)	(<i>vc</i> , <i>A</i>)	(<u>mc</u> ,A)	(<u>s</u> , <i>PM</i>)	(vc, PM)	(mc, PM)
MyManga	×			×		×			
MangaStore	×			×					
MangaHome	×	×			×			×	×

 $\{(viewComment, Administrator)\} \Rightarrow \{(manageCart, ProductManager)\}$

Triadic implications: Rule between Roles

	FinalUser	Administrator	ProductManager
(<i>MM</i> , <i>s</i>)	×	×	
(<i>MM</i> , <i>vc</i>)			
(<i>MM</i> , <i>mc</i>)		×	×
(MS,s)	×	×	
(<i>MS</i> , <i>vc</i>)			
(<i>MS</i> , <i>mc</i>)			
(MH,s)	×		
(<i>MH</i> , <i>vc</i>)	×	×	×
(<i>MH</i> , <i>mc</i>)			×

 $\{FinalUser, ProductManager\} \Rightarrow \{Administrator\}$

Goal

- An help for a software engineer:
 - Reasonable number of implications after relevant filtering
 - Understandable implications
 - Informative implications

Dataset: Manga Websites

- 67 systems
- 145 features
- 17 roles
- 1546 triples (density 0.009)

Number of Rules between Features per Support



13/17

Understandable implications

- Currently for users familiar with TCA
- More complex to interpret than dyadic implications
- Example:
 - Between features
 - ▶ $f1 \rightarrow f2$ states that when there is a triple (s, r, f1), then there is a triple (s, r, f2).
 - ► E. g. browse_productlist, update_userprofile ⇒ search_product
 - Means: when a role can browse a product list and update a user profile in a system, they can also search products
 - And not exactly: when a system has the features 'browse a product list' and 'update a user profile', it has feature 'search products'

Informative implications

Can be used to establish a graphical variability model + additional textual constraints
E.g. inspired from the dataset (Content creator; add_product_price), (Final user; pay_shoppingcart) → (Final user; search_product)



Conclusion

Overall...

- The number of implications is surprisingly okay
- Triadic implications are too difficult to interpret
- The rules are truly informative

Research Agenda

- Addressing more complex features (e.g. with attributes)
- Making implications efficient for Software Engineers:
 - A reading guide likely needed for the raw form
 - Translation rules towards (graphical) variability model

Thank you for your attention !

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2024.109248



ANR SmartFCA project Grant ANR-21-CE23-0023 anr°

Junior, E. A. O., de Souza Gimenes, I. M., and Maldonado, J. C. (2010).

Systematic management of variability in uml-based software product lines.

J. Univers. Comput. Sci., 16(17):2374–2393.

Kang, K.-C. (1990).

Feature-oriented Domain Analysis (FODA): Feasibility

Study; Technical Report CMU/SEI-90-TR-21 -

ESD-90-TR-222.

Software Engineering Inst., Carnegie Mellon Univ.